

**G. Scandurra**

## **Photography and urban marginality**

### **Foreword<sup>1</sup>**

Much ethnographic research, which could fall within a disciplinary subfield that in this paper I try to summarize with the title of “Anthropology of urban marginality”, has been published in recent years in Italy [Bonadonna 2001, Barnao 2004, Tosi Cambini 2004, Bergamaschi 2009 and 2011]. The majority of these publications address a topic of study that first arose in the fifties, to wit: is it legitimate, in anthropological terms, to talk about a “culture of poverty”<sup>2</sup>? [Lewis 1973]

The work of Bonadonna [2001], Barnao [2004] and Tosi Cambini [2004] which gave rise in Italy to a series of ethnographic studies focussing on urban marginality realities linked to old and new forms of poverty and social disadvantage, along with other studies published more recently in our country [Bergamaschi and Castrignanò 2011], have another thing in common: the merit of addressing specific issues in connection with the «politics of representation» [Bourgois 1995]. Indeed almost all the authors of recently published papers, starting from the monographs of Bonadonna, Barnao and Tosi Cambini, ponder on the best approach to portray urban marginality in Italy when, over these last years and following the diffusion of «zero tolerance» policies directly from the United States [Wacquant 2000], the debate on poverty has been more and more polarized into immigration issues - the ever-growing presence of immigrants - and of individual choices - the increasingly common discrimination in the media between “honest” homeless, who respect the rules and by dint of work have been able to reintegrate themselves, and others who opt to break the law. [Wacquant 2002]

Meanwhile, over the last few decades, the production of monographic research and publications has also significantly increased abroad [Gaboriau 1993, Desjarlais 1997, Glasser and Bridgman 1999]. Some of the more recent works also interact specifically with a tradition of studies on urban marginality that can be traced back to the first generation of the Chicago School [Semi 2006], if we think of the date of publication of Nels Anderson’s work “The Hobo” [1923]. Along this line of research I conducted between 2004 and 2005 the study at the core of this paper.

## 1. The field of research

The “Project Carracci” was launched in Bologna in December 2000 thanks to various social bodies and voluntary organizations responding to a call of the City of Bologna for coping with the cold emergency. They committed to create a network that would be able to deal, in just ten days, with the urgent need to shelter the homeless who, at that time of year, would not find a place at the existing city facilities [Rete Carracci 2005]. The Network, comprising a varied group of organizations, won the contract for managing the facility made available by the City behind the central station of Bologna, in the inner northern suburb of the city, undertaking to set up a “low threshold”<sup>3</sup> support service combined with a particular notion of damage limitation. The recipients were identified from the beginning on the basis of few easily detectable criteria, i.e. residents in Bologna suffering from particularly difficult socio-economic conditions, Italian non-resident citizens living in the area temporarily in a disadvantaged position, foreign citizens with a residence permit and political refugees.

The night shelter in Via de Carracci opened in 2000 with a capacity of sixty to eighty beds, but attendance gradually reached a peak of one hundred and ten beds with a yearly turnover of over two hundred people. At once it became evident that the average age of the guests at the “Massimo Zaccarelli” Night Shelter was around thirty-three years, that is, the lowest average age found among the other city day and night structures - at the time seven in all. In addition, already in the early years, the presence of the largest absolute number of immigrants, the highest proportion of unemployed and a significant number, accounting for 24-26% of people with middle, high and university education was observed. [Rete Carracci 2005]

The ethnographic study, which lasted about fifteen months and was later presented in Bologna between January and February 2006 through an exhibition on the users of the Carracci night shelter<sup>4</sup>, was intended from the very start to address the local civil society and institutions in order to avoid remaining a self-referential academic exercise. On the one hand it was meant as a campaign to promote public awareness and divulge our insight into the realities explored in order to overcome prejudices and fears due largely to difficulties of communication between the homeless and the rest of the townsfolk, and a

lack of correct information on their daily lives; on the other, it had the purpose of generating data and analytical readings that might have been useful for those cooperatives and associations that for many years had dealt with the problem of “social exclusion” in order to improve the quality of their agency and the very nature of their work. From the onset the stated goal, shared with the photographer Armando Giorgini, was to deliver, after fifteen months of research and photo workshop, an exhibition to wrap up the ethnographic work and the production of images in and out of the shelter, so as to demonstrate to the citizens how fundamental had the photographic instrument been for us to achieve the goals that we had set in September 2004, namely the promotion of forms of self-representation that made use of an unconventional medium capable of stimulating self-awareness in the social actors involved.

Within this context the photo workshop, in addition to being a tool chronicling the circumstances of the homeless in Bologna, was to be instrumental in building a relationship of trust and involvement during the research with at least fifteen guests of the shelter. It turned out to be an ideal tool because it would have allowed the guests of the Carracci with whom we shared the experience of the Workshop and the organization of the exhibition to produce their own version of reality as well.

The Carracci had accommodated many homeless guests of non-Italian nationality from September 2004 to December 2005. The photographer and I primarily built relationships with, and involved in our study, Italians, mostly men<sup>5</sup>, simply for practical reasons, given our inexperience in terms of studies on migration<sup>6</sup>. In general, we interviewed, collected life stories and photographed people who had chosen to live for several months in this night care facility, but also homeless who refused shelters and preferred to stay on the street: they were all men and women who had led this life for quite some time and had been deeply affected by it. Working in terms of networks we then lived for some time with those homeless living in other shelters who had daily contact with the guests of the Carracci.

The field was thus initially circumscribed to the Massimo Zaccarelli night shelter. Subsequently we expanded our field of investigation focusing on those urban routes that these people followed every day, talking to them in public restrooms, soup kitchens, city libraries and in other shelters, mostly day centres. In the latter we got to meet their friends, to know what their relationships were and how they spent their free time.

The broadening of the scope of our research from the shelter to the town and back to the Carracci was to follow the photographic work that began with the “Staged portraits”: the guests of the Shelter had to choose a place in the shelter they considered particularly significant, their attire and facial expression. The photographer would next give them advice on how to effectively pose in front of the camera, he would then position the lights and select the frame after it would have been illustrated and agreed upon. The long exposure time, in which the subject had to remain perfectly still, would have lent solemnity to the moment when the picture was taken and subsequently to the photograph itself.

The “Self-portraits” were next: a fixed background collectively chosen or set up by the guests of the shelter and coordinated by us. These were to be shot with a six meters long remote shutter release cable that would be visible in the frame in order to make clear the nature of the image and the fact that the subject himself had taken the photo. The camera was to be placed on a tripod so that the shot would be the same for all subjects; to accomplish this we thought from the onset to organize evening events in which the participants of the Workshop would be required to work in groups to arrange the set.

In addition, by shifting the scope of the survey we would have started following the social actors involved in the project outside the shelter. Thus the idea of a photographic essay strictly in black and white: this would not have entailed any form of active involvement by the subjects to be photographed. The photographer Armando Giorgini had to capture the subjects at various times in their daily lives and every technical or aesthetic decision would be his own.

While walking through the city along with them the idea was, at this stage, to have also some photographs taken by the participants themselves with a disposable camera: the participants had to be encouraged to express themselves through the individual use of the photographic medium and to tell their own real and imaginary daily life. The object of the shots was to be completely free. We would have only provided them with some basic technical instructions, standards of aesthetics and photo set up notions.

The idea of a photo workshop that would have ended with the setting up of an exhibition had been initially merely instrumental, as it was the only way for us to gain access to the Shelter for some time. I had not meant in any way to put at the centre of my research the representations of the homeless by the

Carracci social workers - who knew that I was an anthropologist wanting to do some research in order to narrate the stories of their guests - and the only way to access the Shelter's daily life was by organizing cultural activities. In proposing to do the Workshop I had not thought, at first, that it could have turned out to be so useful for my research.

## **2. The Shelter**

On the 3<sup>rd</sup> of November 2004 we entered for the first time the Carracci with our camera. The problems, however, were not long in coming. At seven in the evening all guests would return to the shelter. In order to make sure they would bear in mind the beginning of the Workshop we decided to put at the centre of the entrance a tripod with the camera on it: their reaction was very dramatic.

*Are you crazy? Since when do criminals have their picture taken? (Armando, a guest aged forty-five)<sup>7</sup>*

The Workshop, rather than a photography course was meant to be a way to chronicle the daily routines of the shelter's guests, who not only were to be portrayed by us but had to learn to use the camera in order to shoot images of themselves inside and outside the Shelter. The agreement with them was clear from the start: none of these photos would appear on national or local newspapers.

*I can't do it, I can't risk that people at work find out that I come from this world, they will send me away. (Raffaele, one of the oldest guests of the shelter, who had found a job a few months before)*

There were also even more basic problems, as many guests wanted to rest, eat, take a shower and we were taking up the main space of the structure. For this reason, that night, we went upstairs in the room where the women slept and we approached the bed of Sara, who was the first to express her enthusiasm for the Workshop. We explained to her what would the first phase of this activity consist in and our task, i.e. to shoot staged portraits: all participants, including herself, had to choose a place in the shelter they considered particularly significant in itself, their attire and facial expression.

By making them choose a place that felt more like their own as a backdrop for the photos enabled us to understand how they lived the space at the Carracci. Sara, for example, as Laura, another very young woman guest at the shelter also in her early thirties, chose to be photographed on her bed. For many guests this was something more than a mesh base and a mattress on which to rest: under the bed Sara and Laura kept their most cherished possessions.

Other guests we photographed in the evenings after the first day of the Workshop used to collect all their properties on a bedside table. Others still had filled the small space of the wall above the bed with photos or posters; this is where they chose to be photographed (Fig. 1). As we prepared the photographic set we asked Sara why she had chosen those particular objects and that space. These simple questions turned out, in retrospect, to be a good approach to broaden the discussion and to talk in a more general way of their lives, their loves, the difficulties they faced every day by not having a home.

Around eleven o'clock in the evening of the first day of the Workshop we moved into the dormitory on the first floor where all the men slept together. It was Silvano, one of the quietest and shyest guests, who first asked to be photographed, as soon as he entered the facility: "I want a souvenir!". From that moment onwards the narcissism of each guest of the Shelter prevailed. This also gave us the opportunity to understand the "alliances", and in a broader sense the friendships and the groups that had formed within the Shelter; and to learn more and more about their personal stories, due to the fact that as between one shot and the other at least ten minutes elapsed, the guests had time to tell their stories. The decision to do the staged portraits, which involved a long exposure time for each shot, was beneficial not only for representing the stillness, one of the dominant features of this low threshold facility, but also because it facilitated communication between us and them.

On the following Saturday evening we worked at the Carracci from nine to midnight just like we did on every Saturday, and often every Sunday, for the first three months of the Workshop. On that occasion, however, for the first time since the beginning of the Workshop, we had something to give to the guests: their first photos. We had wondered more than once if it would have been better to work with a digital camera as this might have been more stimulating for the homeless of Shelter, as they could have viewed straight away their pictures on the computer of some municipal public facility. However, having seen the

final result, and always in retrospect we can say that we had been right in choosing to work in a different way. When we left, after the second round of the Workshop, many guests asked us when the photographs we had just taken would be ready. This created a sense of expectation, which then lasted throughout our research and kept us in the game as comrades and accomplices.

### **3. Life stories**

After three months of research we moved onto the second phase of the Workshop with the self-portraits. To do so we asked the guests of the Shelter to choose a backdrop and we connected the camera to a squeeze air bulb shutter release mechanism through a six-meter long wire so that they could photograph themselves in the moment and in the pose they deemed most appropriate. From December 2004 we organized the evening events by asking the participants to work in groups to prepare the setting. Thanks to the work previously done on portraits we had got to know the guests better but we still did not know much about the journey that had brought them this far.

Emanuele, who had been at the Carracci for about a year, was the first to go on the stage setting that we had created. After about a thirty minutes long monologue addressed to all of us, researchers and guests of the shelter alike, waiting under the stage, he made up his mind and squeezed the air bulb. In those thirty minutes he felt he was the focus of everybody's attention, and, like all the others after him, he perfectly played the role of subject/object of the Workshop.

Sara:

*A tragic story. I still think about it, day in and day out, my love down there in Caserta... that's where my son is, but I was so young... in love....*

Marcello:

*Of course I have a child, a love child, but Naples is not an easy city; besides in those days there were shootings, better that I don't go back there....*

Leonardo:

*We are dealing with our divorce papers, no fuss hub, we were very young, things come to an end, love blooms somewhere else, so I left Bergamo...*

Stories of love, of betrayal, of divorces. Throughout the days of the self-portraits, which lasted until January 2005, we listened to these stories. Sara, Marcello and Leonardo were all very young people and had been guests of the Shelter for at least a year: all had become fathers or mothers before turning 18. By the time they were 20 they had travelled through most of Italy, had tried almost everything when it comes to drugs and alcohol, they had married, divorced and remarried. They all had a family that they could no longer see. (Fig. 2):

*Rome is terrible from this point of view, it is an underworld, I've lived there at the Termini Train Station, it is really an underworld that should be studied, a true hell, the real one, I made some portraits of the horror, of the people who lived in this underworld, they were thousands. Like cubist portraits, all in pieces. (Claudio)*

Armando was from Rome too; Ivan, however, had lived there for several years before getting to Bologna. They had met in the streets of the Capitoline historic centre:

*Do you remember those streets where we spent our adolescence, we were about thirty odd people? We got around either on foot or on the subway, we controlled that area, we pushed drugs... once my brother called me and told me he was smoking hashish in the police car. The police would not say a thing as we were chasing the Moroccans and others out... we all came from risk areas and we would meet up there, when the old town was ours... I was from Nuova Ostia, others from Acilia, Laurentino 38, Prenestina, from the suburbs of Rome... we all did odd jobs then we would meet up there, we were a big bunch, like a generation.*

There were not only groups connected by a common geographical identity. At that stage of the Workshop, thanks to our work on self-portraits, when on stage the guests freely recited their story without there being a need for us to ask specific questions, we learnt to read into the alliances that

characterized the Carracci especially on a generational level. Claudio, Armando and Ivan had lived their late teens in the late 1970s; so had Federico, and like him those few guests from Milan who we had met in the streets, who had grown up during that period marked by violent clashes and student riots in Bologna. For many of them 1977 had been the freest period in the history of our country, «before things had changed», as Claudio shouted on the stage one evening, who wanted his pictures taken with two music magazines of the seventies: “Because that is when the repression began”:

*1977 does not bring back good memories to me, not at all, they are almost tragic ... many friends that I had back then did not make it through 1977, I lost a lot of them to AIDS and drugs ... and I was lucky as I also had unprotected sexual intercourses, and yet nothing, besides, as I always had a bit of money on me, I never shared syringes. I was, like others, a drug pusher. I took advantage of political demonstrations in the streets and delivered drugs uptown, to middle-class people. After all the police were busy with the student movement, and just as well. (Federico)*

Indeed, in those years Bologna became a favourite destination for many guests of the Carracci:

*I arrived in Bologna, as the train that took me up North always passed through Bologna and so I stopped here; and then in Bologna I felt at home, I was young, really young and I found the porticoes reassuring when I walked alone. It was the porticoes that convinced me to stay when I was young. (Ivan)*

For many of them, Bologna represented a genuine metropolitan experience in those years.

*I remember that I used to go out with a girl who took me through the maze of mirrors, do you remember them when we were little? Another girl took me to the wax museum. I had come from, we came had come from a dictatorship, I don't know how to explain it. It was a liberating experience, there was a lot of bogwash too for sure, however I felt free to do whatever I felt like doing here. (Ivan)*

On a photographic level we were not able to turn our attention to specific groups within the shelter. The guests of the Carracci, and more generally the homeless who lived in the public shelters, those who we had the opportunity to meet during the research, lived alone and did not enjoy company in the least;

friendships were very rare. Even the women of the Shelter did not let down their defences: basically the guests were on their own. Likewise, we were never able to detect the difference - which we had observed especially at the beginning of our research - and therefore to study it as such, between the long-standing homeless, who had made the street their home, and the others, viewed as a group of people who had lost their home and contact with their families and were out of work. If it was true that during the fifteen months of our research the picture of the “homeless” was constantly enriched with new faces - immigrants, students, youths - it was also true that it was, however, made up of individual portraits.

#### **4. Places of urban marginality**

The first of February 2005 we went for the first time outside the Carracci. The third phase of the workshop included the creation of a photographic essay. The idea was very simple: we would have gone out with them and spent the day together until our return to the Night Shelter at seven in the evening. This would have allowed us to answer another question we had asked ourselves at the beginning of our research: which places of Bologna did these citizens without residency pass through? Where did they meet up? How did they spend their time?

Obviously there were - and in most cases it can be said that there still are, as today they remain largely unchanged - many and very disparate places. On the one hand there were the shelters and all daytime municipal and private centres; on the other there were small informal flea markets with no fixed venue as they were changed depending on the frequency of police checks. They all took place in the historic centre because it was possible to panhandle or “scollettare” there. (Fig. 3)

In addition there were those places that many homeless attended in order to hide in the crowd. Of these, the Salaborsa public library in Bologna was the most representative. Here many guests of the Carracci spent the day availing themselves of the restrooms, of the vending machines that distributed coffee and food and thus enjoying, at least for a few hours, the feeling of being “Bolognesi”; besides they could also read, because books could be browsed through without being obliged to buy them. (Fig. 4)

#### **5. Self-representations**

In the spring of 2005 we began the last phase of the Workshop. Our intention was to ask the participants to take photographs with disposable cameras. The Shelter's guests were to take them themselves: through their own personal use of this medium they would narrate their daily lives, the city they inhabited, the places they frequented, the people with whom they spent their time. Right from the start, once we began this last phase of our research, we decided that the object of the shots would be completely free. The camera was after all the tool we had brought along every day in our ethnographers' toolbox; what had allowed us straightaway to interact with our interviewees and to build strong and trusting relationships with the Shelter's guests. Connections that would never have been established had we not offered them the chance to express themselves, had we not traded something with them. Our decision to let them take their own pictures arose in this sense from a methodological necessity: no matter how much we could learn about the reality of the homeless we were immediately aware of how their photos would have been more authentic and would have enabled us to understand better their world. [Marano 2007]

During the fifteen months of our research there were certain places that were out of bounds for us, certain people we could not take pictures of. Marcello, for example, took several photographs to show us the places where he, like other homeless of the Carracci, had sex - so we discovered how some parks in the historic centre frequented by families with children during the day became at night places where the homeless had sex, since men and women could not sleep together in the Carracci.

Furthermore, through their photographs other participants in the Workshop addressed other questions in our research, such as how they managed to survive and consume drugs without an income. Two of the guests Shelter took pictures of places subject to repeated robberies and thefts, contexts that we could not and, in some cases, did not wish to view directly. Most of the photographs taken by the homeless had portrayed one or more objects. Emiliano, for example, who was barely twenty years old, took a photograph of his personal possessions: a lighter, a hat and a knife.

What all the guests of the shelter had in common, in fact, was the lack of a private place, their own space. In the Carracci there were no personal lockers. This reinforced on a daily basis the feeling of dispossession of the users of the Shelter. This is also why when leaving the Shelter at eight in the morning, the Carracci's guests used to pack their few possessions in the pockets of their trousers and jackets or in plastic bags. This

allowed us to understand how the problem mostly experienced by the guests was not the lack of food or sleep, but privacy; this justified the choice of some of them to go back for some periods - this happened to more than one user of the structure during our research - to sleep in the street even though there were vacancies in the Carracci - an attitude that during our research was promptly interpreted by the social workers as a sign of some of their guests' mental illness.

## **Conclusions**

It is now generally accepted that the homeless, and in particular the protagonists of this research, do not constitute a social class nor a homogeneous group but rather a vaguely defined mass lacking its own form of self-representation. Furthermore, with the development nowadays of what many municipal administrations refer to as «new poverty» [Pavarin 2006], the impoverishment paths are characterised by a strong individualisation, and it is thus possible to observe a variety of life circumstances, with forms of distress that exceed ordinary economic deprivation. In this sense, our research was initially meant to be a way to broaden and diversify the generally shared knowledge of circumstances linked to extreme poverty in the Bologna area.

Our decision to opt for the photographic medium proved to be effective not only in winning the trust of the protagonists of our research and to better understand certain situations that we had no way of seeing, and specific practices we did not want to participate in. The photographic portraits in the end turned out useful also in finding a way to represent these people which would comply with the way they conducted their daily lives as homeless. In particular, they enabled me not to view the people object of this study as victims of a repressive and discriminatory system without a choice, and, at the same time, as monads capable, in every context, of choosing what to do with their own lives - a dominant form of representation of them even in the afore mentioned recent scientific literature on urban marginality [Wacquant 2002]. For instance, working on the representations that these players had self-produced with disposable cameras we managed to avoid overemphasizing the structural weight so as not to regard the group of the Shelter's guests simply as passive victims of their own history; at the same time, for example by exploring the places they chose as a backdrop for their portraits, we were always careful not to omit the objective conditions

that had not allowed many of these people to adequately gamble their “careers” whether as citizens or users of the municipal facilities. [Colombo 1998]

Our choice of continuing the photographic work for all the fifteen months of our study without considering it simply as a parenthesis, or merely functional, has not been a random one: it has allowed the players of my study, often reduced by the local and national media to “pawns” moved by structural dynamics, to emerge as human beings in flesh and blood that shape their future within the theoretical debate on the relationship between «structure and action», that is to say on the relationship between «individual responsibility and structural constraints». [Bourgois 1995]

## Bibliography

Anderson, Elijah

1999 *Code of the Street: Decency, Violence, and the Moral Life of the Inner City*. New York: Norton.

Anderson, Nels

1923 *The Hobo*. Chicago: Chicago University Press, 1923. (trad. it. 1994)

Barnao, Charlie

2004 *Sopravvivere in strada: elementi di sociologia della persona senza dimora*. Milano: Franco Angeli.

Becker, Howard Saul

1964 *The Other Side: Perspectives on Deviance*. New York: Free Press of Glencoe.

Bonadonna, Federico

2001 *Il nome del barbone: vite di strada e povertà estreme in Italia*. Roma: Derive Approdi.

Bourgois, Philippe

1995 *In Search of Respect: Selling Crack in El Barrio*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. (trad.

it. 2003)

Callari Galli, Matilde, and Scandurra, Giuseppe

2009 *Stranieri a casa. Contesti urbani, processi migratori e giovani migranti*. Rimini: Guaraldi.

Cayton, Horace Roscoe and Drake, St.Clair

1945 *Black Metropolis. A Study of Negro Life in a Northern City*. New York: Harcourt, Brace &

Company.

Desjarlais, Robert

1997 *Shelter Blues. Sanity and Selfhood Among the Homeless*. Philadelphia: University of Pennsylvania

Press.

Du Bois, William Edward Burghardt

1896 *The Suppression of the African Slave Trade to the United States of America: 1638- 1870*, Tesi per

il PhD (Harvard Historical Studies). New York: Longmans Green and Co.

Duneier, Mitchell

1999 *Sidewalk*. New York: Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

Fabietti, Ugo

1999 *Antropologia culturale*. Roma: Laterza.

Gaboriau, Paul

1993 *Clochard: l'univers d'un groupe de sans-abri parisiens*. Paris: Julliard.

Gans, Herbert J.

1962 *The Urban Villagers. Group and Class in the Life of Italian-Americans*. New York: The Free

Press.

Glasser, Irene, and Bridgman, Rae

1999 *Braving the Street. The Anthropology of Homeless*. New York-Oxford: Berghahn Books.

Goffman, Erwin

2003 *Asylums. Le istituzioni totali: i meccanismi dell'esclusione e della violenza*. Torino: Einaudi.

Hannerz, Ulf

1969 *Soulside: Inquiries into Ghetto Culture and Community*. New York: Columbia University Press.

Lewis, Oscar

1973 *La cultura della povertà e altri saggi di antropologia*. Bologna: Il Mulino. (ed. or. 1959)

Liebow, Elliot

1967 *Tally's Corner. A Study of Negro Streetcorner Men*. Boston: Little Brown and Company.

Marano, Francesco

2007 *Camera etnografica. Storie e teorie di antropologia visuale*. Milano: Franco Angeli.

Newman, Katherine

1999 *No Shame in My Game: The Working Poor in the Inner City*. New York: Vintage Books.

Park, Robert E., and Burgess, Ernest W.

1921 *Introduction to the Science of Sociology*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Park, Robert E., Burgess, Ernest W., and McKenzie, Roderick D.

1925 *The City*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (trad. it. 1967)

Pavarin, Raimondo Maria (ed.)

2006 *Disagio sociale e marginalità a Bologna*. Roma: Carocci.

Rete Carracci

2005 *Più di un riparo. Il Progetto Carracci dall'emergenza alla casa del riposo notturno* Massimo Zaccarelli.

Roma: ZTL.

Scandurra, Giuseppe

2005 *Tutti a casa. Il Carracci: un'etnografia dei senza fissa dimora in Italia*. Rimini: Guaraldi.

2007 Il Carracci: memorie di un rifugio urbano. In *Mappe urbane*. Matilde Callari Galli M. (ed.)

pp. 112-187, Rimini: Guaraldi. 2007

2012 Esiste una 'cultura' della povertà. *Archivio antropologico Mediterraneo*, XV, 14 (2), pp. 29-42.

2013 Che cosa è la povertà. In *Vedere la povertà*. Matilde Callari Galli M. (ed.), Milano: Unicopli.

Semi, Giovanni

2006 *Nosing Around. L'etnografia urbana tra costruzione di un mito sociologico e l'istituzionalizzazione di una pratica di ricerca*, working papers.

Spradley, James P.

1970 *You Owe Yourself a Drunk: An Ethnography of Urban Nomads*. Boston: Little, Brown.

Suttles, Gerald D.

1968 *The Social Order of the Slum: Ethnicity and Territory in the Inner City*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.

Tosi Cambini, Sabrina

2004 *Gente di sentimento: Per un'antropologia delle persone che vivono in strada*. Roma: Cisu.

Wacquant, Loïc

2000 *Parola d'ordine: tolleranza zero*. Milano: Feltrinelli.

2002 Scrutinizing the Street: Poverty, Morality, and the Pitfalls of Urban Ethnography. *American*

*Journal of Sociology*, 107(6), pp. 1468-1532.

Whyte, William Foot

1943 *Street Corner Society*. Chicago: Chicago University Press. (trad. it. 1968)

Wirth, Louis

1968 *The Ghetto*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press. (trad. it. 1968)

---

#### Footnotes

<sup>1</sup> This paper is the result of a study, here revisited and revised, which was carried out between 2004 and 2005 and published in December 2005 [Scandurra 2005]. The data herein are therefore to be contextualized in this historical period of analysis. Part of the research was presented in a book edited by the anthropologist Matilde Callari Galli [Scandurra 2007], abridged for an article in the “Mediterranean Anthropological Archive” journal [Scandurra 2012] and taken up in a work promoted by the Gramsci Emilia-Romagna Institute on “new poverty” [Scandurra 2013].

<sup>2</sup> The anthropologist Oscar Lewis was the first to use the concept of “culture of poverty” in 1958 at the International Congress of Americanists in San José [Lewis 1973].

<sup>3</sup> The low threshold, as it was conceived right from the start, had to meet basic needs such as «a shelter, a blanket, a glass of milk» [Rete Carracci 2005].

<sup>4</sup> The exhibition, presented in February 2006 at the Cineteca di Bologna with the title “Everyone home”, comprised photographs of Armando Giorgini and many images produced by the guests of the shelter who participated in the Photography Laboratory and wrote the captions of the whole project. The exhibition was entirely funded by me and photographer Armando Giorgini, as well as the Laboratory and Research Project, and is the result of a negotiation with all the participants. The captions as well as the selection of the pictures were made by them. The images, all obtained through acquaintances, would not be published on newspapers or magazines before the exhibition’s opening. Some participants in the workshop who did not want to be “exposed” helped to organize the event and to set up the space. Thanks to the long time spent at the workshop building trust relationships, in fact, a significant number of homeless people of the Carracci shelter decided to participate after all. As with Photo Lab, the initial reluctance of many guests to be filmed, and more generally to get involved in the exhibition as a public event, was overcome day after day through a long process of identity negotiation between us as researchers and them, the subjects and actors of the research.

<sup>5</sup> With regard to the women guests of the Shelter, we got to know only four of them during our fifteen months’ research. Focusing our attention on them would have involved steering the scope of our survey into areas that deserved more attention. The phenomena of prostitution that we observed outside the shelter, in fact, usually involved homeless women who paid in kind, offering their body, a dose to male drug dealer; some social workers called it “third rate prostitution”.

<sup>6</sup> The conditions of many non-Italian homeless guests of the Carracci, at least until November 2005, was different from that of our countrymen as these, in addition to those problems common to all homeless had, due to their particular history, others related to residence permits and the consequent risk of being repatriated.

<sup>7</sup> For privacy reasons I changed all the names of the protagonists of this research.