Journal of the American Heart Association

ORIGINAL RESEARCH

Impact of Race on the In-Hospital Quality of Care Among Young Adults With Acute Myocardial Infarction

Valeria Raparelli, MD, PhD*; Diana Benea*; Marcella Nunez Smith, MD, MHS; Hassan Behlouli, PhD; Terrence E. Murphy, PhD; Gail D'Onofrio, MD; Louise Pilote, MD, MPH, PhD; Rachel P. Dreyer, PhD

BACKGROUND: The extent to which race influences in-hospital quality of care for young adults (≤55 years) with acute myocardial infarction (AMI) is largely unknown. We examined racial disparities in in-hospital quality of AMI care and their impact on 1-year cardiac readmission.

METHODS AND RESULTS: We used data from the VIRGO (Variation in Recovery: Role of Gender on Outcomes of Young AMI Patients) study enrolling young Black and White US adults with AMI (2008–2012). An in-hospital quality of care score (QCS) was computed (standard AMI quality indicators divided by the total a patient is eligible for). Multivariable logistic regression was performed to identify factors associated with the lowest QCS tertile, including interactions between race and social determinants of health. Among 2846 young adults with AMI (median 48 years [interquartile range 44–52], 67.4% women, 18.8% Black race), Black individuals, especially women, exhibited a higher prevalence of cardiac risk factors and social determinants of health and were more likely to experience a non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction than White individuals. Black individuals were more likely in the lowest QCS tertile than White individuals (40.8% versus 34.7%; P=0.003). The association between Black race and low QCS (odds ratio [OR], 1.25; 95% CI, 1.02–1.54) was attenuated by adjustment for confounders. Employment was independently associated with better QCS, especially among Black participants (OR, 0.76; 95% CI, 0.62–0.92; P- $_{interaction}$ =0.02). Black individuals experienced a higher rate of 1-year cardiac readmission (29.9% versus 20.0%; P<0.0001).

CONCLUSIONS: Black individuals with AMI received lower in-hospital quality of care and exhibited a higher rate of cardiac readmissions than White individuals. Black individuals had a lower quality of care if unemployed, highlighting the intersection of race and social determinants of health.

Key Words: acute myocardial infarction ■ health disparities ■ in-hospital quality of care ■ race ■ social determinants of health

acial disparities in life expectancy in the United States are largely explained by cardiovascular disease.¹ Black individuals have a greater incidence of acute myocardial infarction (AMI) than White individuals, regardless of sex and age.² In addition, Black individuals with AMI also have higher rates of long-term mortality³⁻⁷ and readmission,^{8,9} with young

Black women faring worse than young men and White women.^{3,10–12}

The greater prevalence of traditional cardiovascular risk factors such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension among Black individuals with AMI only partially explains racial differences in cardiac outcomes.^{7,13–15} Adverse social determinants of health (SDOH) in Black

Correspondence to: Louise Pilote, MD, MPH, PhD, Divisions of Clinical Epidemiology and General Internal Medicine, McGill University Health Centre Research Institute, 5252 boulevard de Maisonneuve, Montréal, Quebec, H3A 1A1, Canada. E-mail: louise.pilote@mcgill.ca

 $Supplementary\ Material\ for\ this\ article\ is\ available\ at\ https://www.ahajournals.org/doi/suppl/10.1161/JAHA.121.021408.$

For Sources of Funding, see page 7.

© 2021 The Authors. Published on behalf of the American Heart Association, Inc., by Wiley. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

JAHA is available at: www.ahajournals.org/journal/jaha

^{*}V. Raparelli and D. Benea are co-first authors.

CLINICAL PERSPECTIVE

What Is New?

- · Black individuals with acute myocardial infarction received lower in-hospital quality of care and exhibited a higher rate of cardiac readmission than White individuals did.
- Black individuals had a lower quality of care if unemployed, highlighting the intersection of race and social determinants of health.

What Are the Clinical Implications?

- Suboptimal in-hospital quality of acute myocardial infarction care is of immediate concern among young Black individuals, especially Black women.
- Bevond addressing traditional cardiovascular risk factors, social interventions such as facilitation of employment might mitigate racial disparities in the quality of acute myocardial infarction care and improve cardiovascular outcomes in young Black adults.

Nonstandard Abbreviations and Acronyms

QCS quality of care score SDOH social determinants of health

Variation in Recovery: Role of Gender

on Outcomes of Young AMI Patients

individuals are compounded by limited access to various resources including high-quality health care.1 Therefore, race as a social construct likely affects access to high-quality care. 1,4,7,15 In prior studies that evaluated in-hospital quality of AMI care, higher quality of care received was associated with lower 30-day and 1-year mortality. 16-20 However, quality of AMI care in young Black individuals has not been explored in relation to AMI outcomes because most studies have focused on older adults, without reporting any racedisaggregated data. 16-20 Furthermore, the intersection between race and sex (biological variable) or gender (social construct) is rarely addressed, yet adverse SDOH may affect quality of AMI care and outcomes differently across races.

To address this gap in knowledge, our aims were to examine racial disparities within in-hospital quality of AMI care and whether they are associated with SDOH. In addition, we assessed the impact of low in-hospital quality of care on 1-year cardiac readmissions. We hypothesized that Black young adults,

especially Black women, receive lower in-hospital quality of care and experience higher rates of cardiac readmissions relative to their White counterparts. In addition, we hypothesize that SDOH will be associated differentially by race with in-hospital quality of care.

METHODS

The authors declare that all supporting data are available within the article.

Participants and Study Design

We conducted an analysis of the VIRGO (Variation in Recovery: Role of Gender on Outcomes of Young AMI Patients) study. Details about the design of the VIRGO study have been previously described.²¹ In brief, VIRGO was a prospective, multicenter, observational cohort study designed to investigate the demographic, clinical, psychosocial, biological, and behavioral factors associated with worse outcomes in young patients with AMI.²² Between August 2008 and May 2012, patients aged 18 to 55 years were recruited into VIRGO from 103 US hospitals. Participants were recruited using a 2:1 femaleto-male ratio to increase the proportion of young women in the sample. We included only young adults with AMI from the United States who self-reported as Black or White race, and excluded patients who self-reported as American Indian, Alaska Native, Asian, Pacific Islander, East Indian, or of another or unknown race (n=139).

In order to be eligible, participants were required to have increased cardiac biomarkers indicative of myocardial necrosis (with at least 1 cardiac biomarker above the 99th percentile of the upper reference limit) within 24 hours of admission. Evidence of acute myocardial ischemia was also required, including at least 1 of the following: symptoms of ischemia, ECG changes indicative of new ischemia, or imaging evidence of infarction. Participants were excluded from the study if they had elevated cardiac markers as a complication of elective coronary revascularization or physical trauma, were previously enrolled in VIRGO, did not speak English, were unable to provide informed consent or to be contacted for follow-up, or were currently a prisoner.²¹ Institutional review board approval was obtained at each participating institution and individuals provided informed consent for their study participation.

Clinical Characteristics and SDOH

Baseline information, including patients' demographics, cardiac risk factors, comorbidities, and SDOH were obtained both from medical records and from standardized in-person interviews administered by trained personnel during the index AMI admission. At baseline, race was self-reported by the patient, selecting among 2 racial categories defined as White/ Caucasian or Black/African American.

Baseline cardiac risk factors and comorbidities included the following: obesity (ie, body mass index ≥30 kg/m²), hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, current smoking, family history of cardiovascular disease, physical activity, prior AMI, history of renal disease, history of depression (ie, before the index AMI), and alcohol use. Self-reported physical activity was measured with the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Survey physical activity instrument, which has high reliability and validity among young adults.²³ Information was also collected on disease severity (ie, type of AMI: non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction [STEMI]).

SDOH, as a gendered social construct, was collected by self-report at baseline and included socioeconomic status, current employment, number of work hours per week, marital status, household primary earner status, burden of stress, support for household chores, and social support. Low socioeconomic status was defined combining education (ie, less than high school) or the 2 lowest categories of personal income (ie, $\leq \! 30\,000\,\text{USD}$). Social support was measured using the ENRICHD Social Support Instrument, a reliable and valid measurement in individuals after AMI. 24,25 Low social support was defined as a score $\leq \! 3$ on at least 2 items of the ENRICHD Social Support Instrument and a total ENRICHD Social Support Instrument score of $\leq \! 18.^{26}$

Quality of Care Indicators

Guided by American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association recommendations regarding the standard of AMI care, quality of care indicators for in-hospital AMI care were selected^{27–31} including reperfusion benchmarks, in-hospital evaluations, and predischarge recommended counseling.

Based on prior published research, we calculated an opportunity-based in-hospital quality of care score (QCS), defined by dividing the total number of in-hospital quality indicators of care received (numerator) by the total number that the patient was eligible for (denominator). The in-hospital QCS ranges from 0 to 100%, with higher scores indicating better quality of care received. ¹⁶⁻²⁰ The in-hospital QCS outcome was further stratified into tertiles of in-hospital quality of care: low, intermediate, and high quality of care as previously reported.¹⁷

Cardiac Readmission Adjudication

Cardiac readmissions were identified during the 1-year follow-up period. The research coordinator at each site collected readmission records within their hospital network, and participants also reported any readmissions during this period. Next, each of the self-reported events and hospital records were compared by the Yale Coordinating Center. Adjudication for each readmission was completed by 5 physicians and an advanced practice registered nurse at Yale University after receiving extensive training using a custom-developed REDCap external module.

Statistical Analysis

We compared baseline characteristics, cardiac risk factors and comorbidities, disease severity, SDOH, and quality of care indicators by race (Black versus White individuals) using a χ^2 Test of Homogeneity for dichotomous variables and Student t tests for continuous variables. We also compared 1-year cardiac readmission rates across QCS tertiles¹⁷ between racial groups using the χ^2 Test of Homogeneity. The missingness was assumed to be missing-at-random (Tables S1 and S2); nevertheless, the modeling was performed both with and without the imputation of missing values and we reported the complete case analysis because the results were similar.

Univariate and multivariable analyses were performed using logistic regression models. Unadjusted univariate models were used to study the association between race, sex, age, cardiac risk factors, comorbidities, disease severity, and SDOH and the likelihood of receiving in-hospital QCS in the lowest tertile. Covariates for the multivariable models were selected using a combination of clinical judgment and statistical parameters from the univariate analysis (Table S3).

The independent association between several covariates and the likelihood of receiving in-hospital QCS in the lowest tertile was assessed in a multivariable analysis using 3 models, adding domains sequentially based on the statistical significance at the univariate analysis stage. Model 1 included age, race, and sex. Model 2 included age, race, sex, hypertension, diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, current smoking, and physical activity, prior AMI, history of renal disease, history of depression, and AMI severity. Model 3 included any additional SDOH that were statistically significant in the univariate analysis. Results from the multivariable analysis are presented as odds ratio (OR) of in-hospital QCS in the lowest tertile compared with other tertiles associated with each covariate and its 95% Cl. We also tested the 2-way interactions between race and any SDOH that were associated with low QCS using the Wald's χ^2

test. All statistical analyses were conducted using SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute), with 2-tailed tests for statistical significance and P=0.05.

RESULTS

Baseline Characteristics

Among a total of 2846 patients included in the analysis, the median age was 48 years (interguartile range, 44-52), 67.4% were female, and 18.8% were Black individuals. Compared with White participants, Black participants were more likely to be younger, female, with a higher burden of cardiovascular risk factors (eg, obesity, hypertension, and diabetes mellitus), and were less physically active (Table 1). Moreover, Black adults were more likely to have had a prior AMI, a history of renal disease, and to have had a non-ST-segmentelevation myocardial infarction. Black adults were also more socially vulnerable; they had a lower socioeconomic status, were less likely to be currently employed, married or living with a partner, and less likely to be the primary earner of the household. They were also less likely to receive support for household chores and received lower levels of social support than their White counterparts, Of note, Black women displayed the worst burden of cardiovascular risk factors and comorbidities, and of adverse SDOH compared with their White and male counterparts (Table S4).

Race and In-Hospital Quality of Care

The lowest QCS tertile included individuals who received an in-hospital QCS lower than 63.0%, representing 35.9% of individuals in the study. The intermediate QCS tertile included individuals who received an inhospital QCS between 65.0% and 80.0%, representing 37.2% of individuals. Lastly, the highest QCS tertile included individuals who received an in-hospital QCS >80.0%, representing 27.0% of individuals.

Black individuals were more likely to receive an in-hospital care in the lowest QCS tertile (40.8% versus 34.7%, P=0.003) (Table 2). When examining the proportions of Black individuals and White individuals receiving individual quality of care indicators, Black individuals were less likely at discharge to receive cardiac rehabilitation counseling (33.9% versus 49.3%; P<0.0001) and dual antiplatelet therapy (62.6% versus 67.2%; P=0.04).

When examining in-hospital care by race and sex, Black men were more likely to be in the lowest QCS tertile than either Black women, White women, or White men (41.8%, 40.6%, 36.7%, 31.1%, respectively, Table S4).

When examining baseline characteristics stratified by in-hospital QCS tertile (Table S5), our results demonstrate that individuals in the lowest QCS tertile were

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics of Young Adults with AMI Stratified by Race

	White (N=2312)	Black (N=534)	P Value		
Sociodemographics					
Age, y, mean±SD	47.4 ± 6.0	46.1 ± 6.9	<0.0001		
Sex					
Female	1491 (64.5)	426 (79.8)	<0.0001		
Male	821 (35.5)	108 (20.2)	1		
Cardiac risk factors					
Obesity	1193 (51.7)	333 (62.4)	<0.0001		
Hypertension	1446 (62.5)	439 (82.2)	<0.0001		
Diabetes mellitus	774 (33.5)	234 (43.8)	<0.0001		
Dyslipidemia	2009 (86.9)	451 (84.5)	0.14		
Current smoking	663 (28.7)	166 (31.1)	0.27		
Family history of CVD	1597 (69.3)	316 (59.3)	<0.0001		
Physically active	1559 (67.4)	283 (53.0)	<0.0001		
Comorbidities/medical history					
Prior AMI	462 (20.0)	152 (28.5)	<0.0001		
History of renal disease	250 (10.9)	74 (13.9)	0.04		
Alcohol abuse	825 (35.7)	159 (29.8)	0.01		
History of depression	1021 (44.2)	164 (30.7)	<0.0001		
Disease severity					
AMI type					
STEMI	1206 (52.2)	212 (39.7)	<0.0001		
NSTEMI	1106 (47.8)	322 (60.3)			
Social determinants of health					
Low SES	896 (39.9)	317 (62.3)	<0.0001		
Current employment	1474 (63.8)	267 (50.0)	<0.0001		
Number of work hours per wk, mean±SD	42.0 ± 13.6	40.1 ± 14.6	0.03		
Married or living with a partner	1389 (60.1)	186 (34.8)	<0.0001		
Primary earner	1753 (75.9)	358 (67.0)	<0.0001		
High burden of stress	1167 (50.9)	236 (45.2)	0.02		
Support for household chores	1502 (65.6)	313 (60.2)	0.02		
Low social support [†] , mean±SD	28.3 ± 5.7	27.4 ± 5.9	0.0007		

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction; CVD, cardiovascular disease; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; SES, socioeconomic status; and STEMI, ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction.

[†]The variable low social support is represented by the ENRICHD Social Support Instrument score, with lower scores indicating lower social support received.

more likely to suffer from a non–ST-segment–elevation myocardial infarction and to present with cardiac risk factors and comorbidities, including hypertension and a history of renal disease. They were also less likely to be currently employed than individuals in the intermediate and high QCS tertiles.

Table 2. In-hospital Quality of Care Indicators for Young Adults with AMI Stratified by Race

	White (N=2312)	Black (N=534)	P Value
In-hospital QCS tertiles			'
≤63%	706 (34.7)	194 (40.8)	0.003
64–80%	751 (36.9)	181 (38.1)	
>80%	577 (28.4)	100 (21.1)	
In-hospital quality indicators			
Young adults with STEMI			
Any reperfusion therapy	1030 (86.3)	178 (84.8)	0.56
Door-to-balloon exceed benchmark	414 (46.2)	65 (43.1)	0.48
Door-to-needle exceed benchmark	54 (50.5)	7 (70.0)	0.24
Young adults with NSTEMI			
Any reperfusion therapy	786 (74.9)	254 (82.7)	0.07
All young adults with AMI			
Stress test in conservatively treated individuals	14 (0.6)	2 (0.4)	0.52
Echocardiogram predischarge	1551 (67.3)	393 (73.9)	0.003
Cardiac rehabilitation counseling	1139 (49.3)	181 (33.9)	<0.0001
Smoking cessation counseling	1547 (66.9)	346 (64.8)	0.35
Diet counseling	2120 (91.7)	487 (91.2)	0.71
Aspirin at discharge	2164 (93.6)	495 (92.7)	0.45
P2Y12 receptor antagonist at discharge	1620 (70.1)	351 (65.7)	0.05
DAPT at discharge	1554 (67.2)	334 62.6)	0.04
Statins at discharge	2127 (92.0)	495 (92.7)	0.59
Beta-blockers at discharge	2117 (91.6)	476 (89.1)	0.08

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction; DAPT, dual antiplatelet therapy; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; QCS, quality of care score; and STEMI, ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction.

Factors Associated With Low In-Hospital QCS

Results from univariate analyses revealed that Black participants had a 30% greater odds of being in the lowest QCS tertile than White participants (Table S3). Female sex was also associated with greater odds of being in the lowest QCS tertile. In addition, comorbidities and cardiac risk factors including hypertension, diabetes mellitus, and current smoking, were associated with lower quality of AMI care. Among the SDOH examined, current employment was the only factor significantly associated with quality of care; it displayed a strong protective relationship with receiving better inhospital quality of care.

The ORs of receiving in-hospital care in the lowest QCS tertile in the 3 adjusted multivariate models are displayed in Table 3. In model 1 with sex, race, and age as covariates, Black race (OR, 1.25; 95% CI, 1.02–1.54), and female sex (OR, 1.23; 95% CI, 1.03–1.47) were each associated with greater odds of receiving the lowest in-hospital QCS tertile. However, adjustments for cardiac risk factors, comorbidities, disease severity, and employment attenuated the effects of race (OR, 0.97; 95% CI, 0.77–1.22). Of all the SDOH tested

for interaction with race, only employment moderated the effect of race on quality of care (P-interaction=0.02). Specifically, Black unemployed individuals were more likely to receive a low in-hospital QCS, while employed Black individuals and White individuals, regardless of their employment status, were less likely to receive a low in-hospital QCS.

Individuals who experienced a cardiac readmission were more likely to be in the lowest in-hospital QCS tertile (41.0% versus 34.4%; P=0.01). Black individuals experienced higher rates of 1-year cardiac readmissions than their White counterparts (29.9% versus 20.0%; P<0.0001).

DISCUSSION

We have demonstrated significant racial disparities within the in-hospital quality of care among young adults with AMI. Young Black individuals received the lowest quality of care, especially Black women, and experienced a higher rate of 1-year cardiac readmission. Nevertheless, the association of Black race with the likelihood of receiving poor in-hospital quality of care was attenuated after adjustment for individual

Table 3. Multivariate Model Showing Factors Associated With Having an In-hospital Quality of Care Score in the Lowest Tertile Among Young Adults with AMI

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3			
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)			
Sociodemographics						
Black race	1.25 (1.02–1.54)	0.99 (0.78–1.25)	0.97 (0.77–1.22)			
Age, y	1.00 (0.98–1.01)	0.99 (0.97–1.00)	0.99 (0.97–1.00)			
Female sex	1.23 (1.03–1.47)	0.97 (0.79–1.19)	0.94 (0.77–1.16)			
Cardiac risk factors						
Hypertension		1.17 (0.95–1.45)	1.16 (0.94–1.43)			
Diabetes mellitus		1.04 (0.85–1.26)	1.01 (0.83–1.24)			
Dyslipidemia		0.72 (0.55–0.94)	0.71 (0.54-0.93)			
Current smoking		1.22 (1.00–1.49)	1.28 (1.04–1.56)			
Physically active		0.92 (0.76–1.11)	0.95 (0.78–1.14)			
Comorbidities/medical history						
Prior AMI		1.15 (0.92–1.44)	1.11 (0.89–1.40)			
History of renal disease		1.31 (0.99–1.73)	1.26 (0.95–1.67)			
History of depression		1.10 (0.91–1.33)	1.06 (0.88–1.29)			
Disease severity						
STEMI (vs NSTEMI as reference)		0.16 (0.13–0.19)	0.16 (0.13-0.19)			
Social determinants of health						
Current employment			0.76 (0.62-0.92)†			

AMI indicates acute myocardial infarction; NSTEMI, non-ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction; OR, odds ratio; and STEMI, ST-segment-elevation myocardial infarction.

clinical characteristics and SDOH, namely, employment, highlighting that the intersection between race and clinical and/or social risk factor profiles largely explains the association between race and in-hospital quality of AMI care. Notably, being employed had a significant protective effect against receiving lower-quality in-hospital AMI care, predominantly among Black individuals.

Black adults with AMI received fewer recommended high-quality interventions in their in-hospital care. Cardiac rehabilitation counseling and secondary prevention strategies, including dual antiplatelet therapy at discharge, are the most evident omissions in the care of young Black adults with AMI. Contrary to prior work, we did not find any disparity in terms of reperfusion therapy, 10,14,32-35 although regardless of race, the high proportion of patients exceeding benchmarks of reperfusion is of immediate concern in this cohort of young patients.

Our findings also provide insights on the reasons behind suboptimal AMI care received by young Black adults. In line with previous findings, Black individuals with AMI, particularly young Black women, exhibit a more adverse cardiac risk factor profile and are more socially vulnerable than White individuals, likely contributing to their adverse cardiovascular outcomes. 4,5,7,13–15 These findings suggest that race is a social construct

and adverse SDOH intersect with race to moderate observed racial disparities, rather than a construct based on genetics or biological characteristics. The high burden of cardiovascular risk factors in Black individuals, especially Black women, compounded by a cluster of vulnerable SDOH, appeared to play a major role in determining quality of care.

Specifically, we found that employment played a significant role in affecting the in-hospital quality of AMI care received by Black individuals. In a multipayer healthcare system such as in the United States, the significance of employment status is particularly tied to one's ability to purchase private health insurance coverage. In fact, a 20-year National Health Interview Survey Analysis revealed that Black individuals are more likely to be uninsured and to forego or delay medical care because of cost than White individuals.³⁶ Uninsurance rates have fallen since the implementation of the Affordable Care Act expansion in 2014, yet significant disparities remain; the US Census Bureau reports that in 2019, 9.6% of Black individuals were uninsured compared with 5.2% of non-Hispanic White individuals.³⁷ Therefore, addressing employment status may in turn provide individuals with private health insurance and improve access to high-quality health care. In addition to enabling access to private health insurance coverage, prior work has demonstrated

 $^{^{\}dagger}P$ - $_{interaction}$ =0.02 for race*employment.

significant associations between employment status and cardiovascular health outcomes.³⁸ Findings from the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities Study enrolling 16 000 adults from 4 US communities revealed that women employed outside of the home had a decreased risk of coronary heart disease and stroke compared with homemakers.³⁹ Moreover, unemployment status, cumulative number of job losses, and cumulative time unemployed were each independently associated with increased risk of AMI of similar magnitude to that of other traditional cardiovascular risk factors among adults in the United States.⁴⁰ Beyond access to health insurance, the underlying mechanism of the association between employment and cardiovascular outcomes needs to be further explored.

Nevertheless, these findings have meaningful public health implications. The intersection between SDOH and AMI care disparities with race is likely rooted in structural racism that results in uneven access to good-paying jobs, higher incomes, health insurance, and quality medical care. Structural racism, favoring White Americans and devaluing minorities, in particular, Black individuals,41 has been identified as an impediment to healthcare equity. Promoting optimal health in marginalized groups and reducing health disparities require restructuring systems to improve conditions that affect health in workplaces, neighborhoods, and schools.⁴² Addressing current employment in future interventions may represent a strategy to reduce healthcare quality gaps and improve cardiovascular health outcomes among at-risk groups such as young Black adults with AMI. Moreover, despite the Affordable Care Act having increased access to health insurance for historically underserved groups, scalable interventions to target prevention and treatment efforts at the healthcare system level must be implemented even when insurance is available. Improving access to person-focused primary care, diversifying the healthcare workforce, and addressing structural competence among healthcare providers have been proposed as strategies to reduce racial health disparities.⁴² Future research should focus on advancing knowledge about the impact of structural racism on health outcomes as well as effective interventions to mitigate these adverse effects.

Additionally, this study reflects a widespread gap within in-hospital quality of care for young adults with AMI that can significantly impact this population's health outcomes: >30% of individuals, regardless of race, missed at least one third of quality of care indicators, and thus were more likely to experience 1-year cardiac readmissions. Therefore, increased awareness about in-hospital quality of care indicators may benefit all sex-race subgroups of young adults with AMI. In the interest of improving young adults' in-hospital quality of AMI care, particular attention should be paid

to the quality of care indicators with the lowest attainment rates: door-to-balloon and door-to-needle time benchmarks for individuals with STEMI, and cardiac rehabilitation counseling.

LIMITATIONS

These findings should be interpreted in light of potential limitations. First, this study analyzed individuals who were exclusively treated in the United States; thus its external validity may be limited for populations receiving care in other countries. Second, the study possesses a modest sample of Black young adults. Nonetheless, by using the largest prospective cohort to date of young adults with AMI conducted in the United States, we have provided a comprehensive overview of the associations of SDOH and race with in-hospital quality of AMI care and 1-year cardiac readmissions for Black and White young adults. Finally, our study findings are dependent on the accuracy and completeness of the patient's self-reporting questionnaire, and of the patient interview. Although the fact that our complete case analysis yielded results similar to that with imputations is reassuring.

CONCLUSIONS

Black young adults with AMI, particularly Black women, received lower in-hospital quality of care than White adults and exhibited higher rates of 1-year cardiac readmissions. Black adults exhibited a higher burden of cardiovascular risk factors and a vulnerable social phenotype that attenuated the observed racial disparity within in-hospital quality of AMI care. Employment status played a significant role in moderating in-hospital quality of AMI care among Black individuals who had a lower quality of care if unemployed. Our findings highlight the intersection of race and SDOH and suggest that social interventions such as facilitation of employment or providing unemployment insurance might mitigate racial disparities in the quality of care of young adults with AMI, and improve cardiovascular outcomes in Black young adults.

ARTICLE INFORMATION

Received February 23, 2021; accepted April 23, 2021.

Affiliations

Department of Translational Medicine, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy (V.R.); Faculty of Nursing, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Alberta, Canada (V.R.); Centre for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, McGill University Health Centre Research Institute, Montreal, QC, Canada (D.B., H.B., L.P.); Equity Research and Innovation Center (M.N.S.); and Program on Aging, Department of Internal Medicine (T.E.M.), Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT; Department of Emergency Medicine, University School of Medicine, New Haven, CT (G.D., R.P.D.); Divisions of Clinical Epidemiology and General Internal Medicine, McGill University Health Centre Research

Institute, Montreal, QC, Canada (L.P.); and Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, Yale-New Haven Hospital, New Haven, CT (R.P.D.).

Sources of Funding

The VIRGO study was supported by the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute grant (No. 5R01HL081153). This project was additionally supported by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research project grant (PJT-159508).

Disclosure

None

Supplementary Material

Table S1-S5

REFERENCES

- Havranek EP, Mujahid MS, Barr DA, Blair IV, Cohen MS, Cruz-Flores S, Davey-Smith G, Dennison-Himmelfarb CR, Lauer MS, Lockwood DW, et al. Social determinants of risk and outcomes for cardiovascular disease: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation. 2015;132:873–898. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000000228.
- Virani SS, Alonso A, Benjamin EJ, Bittencourt MS, Callaway CW, Carson AP, Chamberlain AM, Chang AR, Cheng S, Delling FN, et al. Heart disease and stroke statistics-2020 update: A report from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2020;141:e139–e596. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.000000000000000757.
- Sekikawa A, Kuller LH. Striking variation in coronary heart disease mortality in the United States among black and white women aged 45–54 by state. J Womens Health Gend Based Med. 2000;9:545–558. DOI: 10.1089/15246090050073639.
- Graham GN, Jones PG, Chan PS, Arnold SV, Krumholz HM, Spertus JA. Racial disparities in patient characteristics and survival after acute myocardial infarction. *JAMA Netw Open*. 2018;1:e184240. DOI: 10.1001/jamanetworkopen.2018.4240.
- Mehta RH, Marks D, Califf RM, Sohn SH, Pieper KS, Van de Werf F, Peterson ED, Ohman EM, White HD, Topol EJ, et al. Differences in the clinical features and outcomes in African Americans and whites with myocardial infarction. Am J Med. 2006;119(70):e1–8. DOI: 10.1016/j. amimed.2005.07.043.
- Tofler GH, Stone PH, Muller JE, Willich SN, Davis VG, Kenneth Poole W, William Strauss H, Willerson JT, Jaffe AS, Robertson T, et al. Effects of gender and race on prognosis after myocardial infarction: adverse prognosis for women, particularly black women. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 1987;9:473–482. DOI: 10.1016/S0735-1097(87)80038-4.
- Ding J, Diez Roux AV, Nieto FJ, McNamara RL, Hetmanski JB, Taylor HA, Tyroler HA. Racial disparity in long-term mortality rate after hospitalization for myocardial infarction: the Atherosclerosis Risk in Communities study. Am Heart J. 2003;146:459–464. DOI: 10.1016/S0002-8703(03)00228-X.
- Pandey A, Keshvani N, Khera R, Lu DI, Vaduganathan M, Joynt Maddox KE, Das SR, Kumbhani DJ, Goyal A, Girotra S, et al. Temporal trends in racial differences in 30-day readmission and mortality rates after acute myocardial infarction among medicare beneficiaries. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2020;5:136–145. DOI: 10.1001/jamacardio.2019.4845.
- Spertus JA, Jones PG, Masoudi FA, Rumsfeld JS, Krumholz HM. Factors associated with racial differences in myocardial infarction outcomes. *Ann Intern Med*. 2009;150:314–324. DOI: 10.7326/0003-4819-150-5-20090 3030-00007
- Gupta A, Wang Y, Spertus JA, Geda M, Lorenze N, Nkonde-Price C, D'Onofrio G, Lichtman JH, Krumholz HM. Trends in acute myocardial infarction in young patients and differences by sex and race, 2001 to 2010. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2014;64:337–345. DOI: 10.1016/j. jacc.2014.04.054.
- Rodriguez F, Foody JM, Wang Y, López L. Young Hispanic women experience higher in-hospital mortality following an acute myocardial infarction. J Am Heart Assoc. 2015;4:e002089. DOI: 10.1161/ JAHA.115.002089.
- Shaw LJ, Pepine CJ, Xie J, Mehta PK, Morris AA, Dickert NW, Ferdinand KC, Gulati M, Reynolds H, Hayes SN, et al. Quality and equitable health care gaps for women: attributions to sex differences in cardiovascular medicine. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2017;70:373–388. DOI: 10.1016/j. jacc.2017.05.051.

- Yandrapalli S, Nabors C, Goyal A, Aronow WS, Frishman WH. Modifiable risk factors in young adults with first myocardial infarction. *J Am Coll Cardiol*. 2019;73:573–584. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2018.10.084.
- Graham G. Racial and ethnic differences in acute coronary syndrome and myocardial infarction within the United States: from demographics to outcomes. Clin Cardiol. 2016;39:299–306. DOI: 10.1002/clc.22524.
- Iribarren C, Tolstykh I, Somkin CP, Ackerson LM, Brown TT, Scheffler R, Syme L, Kawachi I. Sex and racial/ethnic disparities in outcomes after acute myocardial infarction: a cohort study among members of a large integrated health care delivery system in northern California. *Arch Intern Med.* 2005;165:2105–2113. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.165.18.2105.
- Wilkinson C, Bebb O, Dondo TB, Munyombwe T, Casadei B, Clarke S, Schiele F, Timmis A, Hall M, Gale CP. Sex differences in quality indicator attainment for myocardial infarction: a nationwide cohort study. *Heart*. 2019;105:516–523. DOI: 10.1136/heartinl-2018-313959.
- Zusman O, Bebb O, Hall M, Dondo TB, Timmis A, Schiele F, Fox KA, Kornowski R, Gale CP, lakobishvili Z. International comparison of acute myocardial infarction care and outcomes using quality indicators. *Heart*. 2019;105:820–825. DOI: 10.1136/heartjnl-2018-314197.
- Wadhera RK, Bhatt DL, Wang TY, Lu D, Lucas J, Figueroa JF, Garratt KN, Yeh RW, Joynt Maddox KE. Association of state Medicaid expansion with quality of care and outcomes for low-income patients hospitalized with acute myocardial infarction. *JAMA Cardiol*. 2019;4:120–127. DOI: 10.1001/jamacardio.2018.4577.
- Bebb O, Hall M, Fox KAA, Dondo TB, Timmis A, Bueno H, Schiele F, Gale CP. Performance of hospitals according to the ESC ACCA quality indicators and 30-day mortality for acute myocardial infarction: national cohort study using the United Kingdom Myocardial Ischaemia National Audit Project (MINAP) register. Eur Heart J. 2017;38:974–982. DOI: 10.1093/eurhearti/ehx008.
- Araújo C, Laszczyńska O, Viana M, Dias P, Maciel MJ, Moreira I, Azevedo A. Quality of care and 30-day mortality of women and men with acute myocardial infarction. *Rev Esp Cardiol (Engl Ed)*. 2019;72:543–552. DOI: 10.1016/j.rec.2018.05.012.
- Lichtman JH, Lorenze NP, D'Onofrio G, Spertus JA, Lindau ST, Morgan TM, Herrin J, Bueno H, Mattera JA, Ridker PM, et al. Variation in recovery: role of gender on outcomes of young AMI patients (VIRGO) study design. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010;3:684–693. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCOUTCOMES.109.928713.
- Vaccarino V, Horwitz RI, Meehan TP, Petrillo MK, Radford MJ, Krumholz HM. Sex differences in mortality after myocardial infarction: evidence for a sex-age interaction. *Arch Intern Med.* 1998;158:2054–2062. DOI: 10.1001/archinte.158.18.2054.
- Yore MM, Ham SA, Ainsworth BE, Kruger J, Reis JP, Kohl HW, Macera CA. Reliability and validity of the instrument used in BRFSS to assess physical activity. *Med Sci Sports Exerc*. 2007;39:1267–1274. DOI: 10.1249/mss.0b013e3180618bbe.
- Leifheit-Limson EC, Reid KJ, Kasl SV, Lin H, Jones PG, Buchanan DM, Parashar S, Peterson PN, Spertus JA, Lichtman JH. The role of social support in health status and depressive symptoms after acute myocardial infarction: evidence for a stronger relationship among women. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*. 2010;3:143–150. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCO LITCOMES 109 898915
- 25. The ENRICHD investigators. Enhancing recovery in coronary heart disease patients (ENRICHD): study design and methods. The ENRICHD investigators. *Am Heart J.* 2000;139:1–9. DOI: 10.1016/s0002 -8703(00)90301-6.
- Bucholz EM, Strait KM, Dreyer RP, Geda M, Spatz ES, Bueno H, Lichtman JH, D'Onofrio G, Spertus JA, Krumholz HM. Effect of low perceived social support on health outcomes in young patients with acute myocardial infarction: results from the VIRGO (Variation in Recovery: role of Gender on Outcomes of Young AMI Patients) study. *J Am Heart Assoc*. 2014;3:e001252. DOI: 10.1161/JAHA.114.001252.
- 27. Anderson JL, Adams CD, Antman EM, Bridges CR, Califf RM, Casey DE, Chavey WE, Fesmire FM, Hochman JS, Levin TN, et al. ACC/AHA 2007 guidelines for the management of patients with unstable angina/ non ST-elevation myocardial infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 2002 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Unstable Angina/Non ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction): developed in collaboration with the American College of Emergency Physicians, the Society for Cardiovascular Angiography and Interventions, and the Society of Thoracic Surgeons: endorsed by the American Association of Cardiovascular and

- Pulmonary Rehabilitation and the Society for Academic Emergency Medicine. *Circulation*. 2007;116:e148–304. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIO NAHA.107.181940.
- 28. Antman EM, Anbe DT, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Hand M, Hochman JS, Krumholz HM, Kushner FG, Lamas GA, et al. ACC/AHA guidelines for the management of patients with ST-elevation myocardial infarction—executive summary: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines (Writing Committee to Revise the 1999 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With Acute Myocardial Infarction). Circulation. 2004;110:588–636. DOI: 10.1161/01.CIR.0000134791.68010.FA.
- 29. Antman EM, Hand M, Armstrong PW, Bates ER, Green LA, Halasyamani LK, Hochman JS, Krumholz HM, Lamas GA, Mullany CJ, et al. 2007 Focused Update of the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: a report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: developed in collaboration With the Canadian Cardiovascular Society endorsed by the American Academy of Family Physicians: 2007 Writing Group to Review New Evidence and Update the ACC/AHA 2004 Guidelines for the Management of Patients With ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction, Writing on Behalf of the 2004 Writing Committee. Circulation. 2008;117:296–329. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIO NAHA.107.188209.
- Spertus JA, Bonow RO, Chan P, Diamond GA, Drozda JP, Kaul S, Krumholz HM, Masoudi FA, Normand S-L, Peterson ED, et al. ACCF/ AHA new insights into the methodology of performance measurement: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/American Heart Association Task Force on performance measures. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2010;56:1767–1782. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2010.09.009.
- Jneid H, Addison D, Bhatt DL, Fonarow GC, Gokak S, Grady KL, Green LA, Heidenreich PA, Ho PM, Jurgens CY, et al. 2017 AHA/ACC Clinical Performance and Quality Measures for Adults With ST-Elevation and Non-ST-Elevation Myocardial Infarction: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Task Force on Performance Measures. J Am Coll Cardiol. 2017;70:2048–2090. DOI: 10.1016/j.jacc.2017.06.032.
- Vaccarino V, Rathore SS, Wenger NK, Frederick PD, Abramson JL, Barron HV, Manhapra A, Mallik S, Krumholz HM, Registry N, et al. through 2002. N Engl J Med. 2005;353:671–682. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMs a032214.

- Peterson ED, Shaw LK, DeLong ER, Pryor DB, Califf RM, Mark DB. Racial variation in the use of coronary-revascularization procedures. Are the differences real? Do they matter? N Engl J Med. 1997;336:480–486.
- Canto JG, Allison JJ, Kiefe CI, Fincher C, Farmer R, Sekar P, Person S, Weissman NW. Relation of race and sex to the use of reperfusion therapy in Medicare beneficiaries with acute myocardial infarction. N Engl J Med. 2000;342:1094–1100. DOI: 10.1056/NEJM200004133421505.
- Gaglia MA, Shavelle DM, Tun H, Bhatt J, Mehra A, Matthews RV, Clavijo L. African-American patients are less likely to receive drug-eluting stents during percutaneous coronary intervention. *Cardiovasc Revasc Med*. 2014;15:214–218. DOI: 10.1016/j.carrev.2014.04.003.
- Caraballo C, Massey D, Mahajan S, Lu Y, Annapureddy AR, Roy B, Riley C, Murugiah K, Valero-Elizondo J, Onuma O, et al. Racial and Ethnic Disparities in Access to Health Care Among Adults in the United States: A 20-Year National Health Interview Survey Analysis, 1999-2018. medRxiv. 2020;2020.10.30.20223420.
- Keisler-Starkey K, Bunch LN. Health Insurance Coverage in the United States: 2019 [Internet]. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Publishing Office; 2020 [cited 2020 Dec 28]. Available from: https://www.census.gov/library/publications/2020/demo/p60-271.html
- Schultz WM, Kelli HM, Lisko JC, Varghese T, Shen J, Sandesara P, Quyyumi AA, Taylor HA, Gulati M, Harold JG, et al. Socioeconomic status and cardiovascular outcomes: challenges and interventions. Circulation. 2018;137:2166–2178. DOI: 10.1161/CIRCULATIO NAHA.117.029652.
- Carson AP, Rose KM, Catellier DJ, Diez-Roux AV, Muntaner C, Wyatt SB. Employment status, coronary heart disease, and stroke among women. *Ann Epidemiol*. 2009;19:630–636. DOI: 10.1016/j.annep idem.2009.04.008.
- Dupre ME, George LK, Liu G, Peterson ED. The cumulative effect of unemployment on risks for acute myocardial infarction. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172:1731–1737. DOI: 10.1001/2013.jamainternmed.447.
- Churchwell K, Elkind MSV, Benjamin RM, Carson AP, Chang EK, Lawrence W, Mills A, Odom TM, Rodriguez CJ, Rodriguez F, et al. Call to action: structural racism as a fundamental driver of health disparities: A presidential advisory from the American Heart Association. *Circulation*. 2020;142:e454–e468. DOI: 10.1161/CIR.00000000000000936.
- 42. Williams DR, Cooper LA. Reducing racial inequities in health: using what we already know to take action. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2019;16:606. DOI: 10.3390/ijerph16040606.