Version of Record: https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0304423819309951 Manuscript\_31b3f2a10fd6dd3289b6e516928cde2c

# 1 TO WHAT EXTENT ARBUSCULAR MYCORRHIZA CAN PROTECT CHICORY

# 2 (Cichorium intybus L.) AGAINST DROUGHT STRESS

- 3
- 4 Ali Reza Safahani Langeroodi<sup>1</sup>, O. Adewale Osipitan<sup>2</sup>, Emanuele Radicetti<sup>3\*</sup>, Roberto Mancinelli<sup>3</sup>
- 5
- <sup>1</sup> Department of Agronomy, Payame Noor University, Tehran, I.R. Iran.
- 7 <sup>2</sup> Northeast Research and Extension Center, Haskell Agricultural Laboratory, University of
- 8 Nebraska-Lincoln, Concord, NE 68728.
- 9 <sup>3</sup> Department of Agricultural and Forestry Sciences (DAFNE), University of Tuscia, Via San
- 10 Camillo de Lellis snc, Viterbo, Italy.
- 11
- \*Corresponding author Tel.: + 39 0761 357556; fax + 39 0761 357558 e-mail address:
  radicetti@unitus.it
- ...
- 14
- 15

#### 16 ABSTRACT

17 Water deficiency is one of the most significant limiting factors resulting in severe crop yield reduction. This study hypothesizes that the inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM) minimize the 18 detrimental effects of drought in chicory (Cichorium intybus L.). The objective was to evaluate how 19 AM inoculation affects agro-biochemical traits of chicory under different irrigation rates. Field 20 experiments were conducted in 2017 and 2018 in north of Iran and designed as a factorial 21 22 combination of three irrigation rates [40, 65 and 90% of maximum allowable depletion of available 23 soil water (IR<sup>1</sup>, IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup>, respectively)], two AM inoculations [inoculated and not inoculated  $(AM^+ and AM^-, respectively)$  and two chicory ecotypes [Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz (E<sup>1</sup> and E<sup>2</sup>, 24 25 respectively)]. Crop growth, pigments and minerals content, carbon exchange rate, transpiration rate and stomatal conductance were evaluated. The data showed that the mycorrhizal colonization 26 increased chicory growth performance by 12.4%, 16.1% and 21.0%, under I<sup>1</sup>, I<sup>2</sup>, and I<sup>3</sup> water regime, 27 28 respectively. The mineral content and photosynthesis parameters decreased as irrigation water decreased, irrespective of whether plants were inoculated or not. Similarly, AM<sup>+</sup> plants had higher 29 inulin percentage and the mean inulin degree of polymerisation than AM<sup>-</sup> plant under all of irrigation 30 levels. Furthermore, inoculated chicory plants under drought stress showed an enhanced activity of 31 32 the antioxidant system, such as superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, ascorbic acid and glutathione, while the accumulation of hydrogen peroxide and the oxidative damage were reduced. The two 33 ecotypes tended to respond similarly to irrigation and AM treatments for all growth and quality 34 35 parameters measured in the experiment, even if ecotype Siyah Shiraz performed better than ecotype 36 Sefid Isfahan. The improved plant performance and inulin content in the inoculated plants demonstrate that AM has the potential to minimize the detrimental effects of drought stress on 37 chicory under semi-arid conditions. 38

- 40 KEYWORDS: Arbuscular mycorrhiza; *Cichorium intybus* L.; Growth parameters; Antioxidant
  41 activity; Inulin; Irrigation water.

#### 43 1. INTRODUCTION

The productivity of the agricultural sector is strongly related to water availability, especially in arid 44 and semi-arid regions (FAO, 2011). Scarce water resources are associated to very low annual rainfall 45 between 250 and 500 mm usually concentrated from October untill May (Ghanaatiyan and Sadeghi, 46 2017). Thus, given that rainfalls vary highly in time and amount in these areas of the world 47 indicating that water represents an important limiting factor (Modarres and de Paulo Rodrigues da 48 49 Silva, 2007). In warmer climates, effects of water scarcity will become increasingly important 50 (IPCC, 2007). Long periods of water deficit are a serious constraint to the survival of plant and their 51 productivity (Chaves et al., 2003). In fact, reduced availability of water imposes changes to plant 52 morphological traits like canopy structure (height and leaf area) and biomass production (Sapeta et al., 2013), even if plants have developed several morpho-physiological response for improving the 53 54 drought tolerance (Blum, 1996). Consequently, alternative strategies should be investigated to ensure 55 sustainable agricultural production for the increasing world population (Atzori et al., 2019).

Chicory (Cichorium intybus L.), is an Asteraceae native of a wide area that included the 56 Mediterranean region and middle Asia (Mosaddegh et al., 2012). Historically, Egyptians cultivated 57 chicory for its characteristics such as medicinal plants and vegetable crops (Petropoulos et al., 2017; 58 59 Somasiri et al., 2015). Today, chicory is a cosmopolitan crop that has tolerance to a diverse range of climatic and soil conditions and it is widely cultivated for many commercial purposes in Europe, 60 North America and parts of Asia (Wang et al., 2011). Several studies have reported the chemical 61 62 composition and health benefits of chicory. In fact, it can be used as antioxidant, antidiabetic, and for 63 wound healing (Carazzone et al., 2013; Spina et al., 2008). However, little is known about the interactive effects of water stress and AM fungi on the physiological and morphological 64 characteristics of chicory plants. 65

66 Arbuscular mycorrhizal (AM) fungi are prevalent in various ecosystems and are well-known for their 67 ability to establish synergistic and complementary effects with roots of the most vascular plant species (Hodge, 2000). This symbiosis is known as a plant strategy that allowed the gradual land 68 colonization by plants due to an enhanced soil nutrient acquisition despite environmental related 69 stress (Brachmann and Parniske, 2006). The proliferation of the plant root cortex by intra-radical 70 71 mycelium and the spread of extra-radical hyphae of AM around the roots promotes an improved 72 surface area by which additional nutrients for both AM and the host plant can be adsorbed (Ferrol et 73 al., 2018). Furthermore, physical and hydraulic soil properties, such as water retention and soil hydraulic conductivity, could be affected by AM. Nowadays, it is widely recognized that the 74 75 presence of AM could serve as a protection for host plants against drought stress (Asrar and Elhindi, 2011; Wu et al., 2006), partly as a result of improved soil water uptake (Duc et al., 2018); improved 76 turgor maintenance associated with osmotic adjustment (Augé, 2001); improved hydraulic 77 78 conductivity of the root (Borowicz, 2010) both outside the rooted zone (Bitterlich et al., 2018a) and in rooted substrates (Bitterlich et al., 2018b). Moreover, the improved root hydraulic conductivity 79 associate with higher root cell water permeability could determine different root to shoot ABA 80 signaling patterns in drying incidents that affect stomatal regulation or reduced transpiration rate 81 82 (Duan et al., 1996; Ruíz-Sánchez et al., 2011) that may lead to higher photysynthesis and enhanced carbohydrate metabolism of plants (Boldt et al., 2011), essential in nutrition of an AM inoculated 83 plant (Abdel-Salam et al., 2017). Understanding the differential response of specific mycorrhyzal 84 85 fungus among the cultivars of host plants is a growing area of research with high economic potential. 86 The mycorrhizal responsiveness of a fungus among field crops has been studied in wheat (Al-Karaki 87 et al., 2004) and medicinal and aromatic plants (Farahani et al., 2013).

88 This study hypothesizes that the inoculation of AM could be an effective agricultural practice to 89 adopt for the chicory crop to reduce the detrimental impacts of drought and to maintain crop productivity in terms of yield and quality. Therefore, the main objective was to examine how 90 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungus (Glomus intraradices) affects growth parameters, agronomical and 91 biochemical traits of field-grown chicory when treated with different irrigation rates. Therefore the 92 main objectives were: (1) to investigate the range effect of arbuscular myccorrhizal and irrigation 93 94 rates on chicory growth traits and nutrient contents under a semi-arid environment of Iran; (2) to 95 recommend a best combination of arbuscular mycorrhiza and irrigation rate to ensure chicory yield and quality parameters. 96

- 97
- 98

#### 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 99 2.1. Production of inoculum

100 The AM fungal inoculants adopted for chicory roots in this experiment was *Rhizophagus irregularis* 101 (known also as Glomus intraradices Schenck & Smith, Campagnac et al., 2010), provided by Royan 102 Co. (Karaj, Iran). Pot cultures of *Rhizophagus irregularis* were initiated, in greenhouse conditions, on corn (Zea mays L.) within the period January to March, in both 2017 and 2018. The soil used for 103 mycorrhizal inoculum production in the pot cultures was previously collected up to 30 cm of soil 104 105 depth in the field where the study was conducted. Collected soil was oven dried and sieved via a 2 mm mesh, then added with sand using 2:1 (V:V) proportion. Soil was steam-sterilized and put in pots 106 of 5 l size meant for chicory growth. Just prior to inoculation corn roots were harvested, slice into 1 107 108 cm pieces, then mixed with the soil.

109

#### 2.2. 110 *Cultural practices and experimental treatments*

Field trials were carried out on chicory plant in 2017 and 2018 at the Seed Research Station of the Agriculture Department, Payame Noor University (lat.  $36^{\circ}53$ 'N, long.  $54^{\circ}24$ ' E) in Gorgan (Golestan province of Iran). The experimental field soil was silty loam with an average based on the characteristics in 0-30 cm top-soil depth: pH of 7.1 (1:2.5 H<sub>2</sub>O), salinity of 0.07 dS m<sup>-1</sup>, organic matter content of 0.20 %, total nitrogen of 0.08 %, available phosphorous of 0.25 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> and available potassium of 424 mg kg<sup>-1</sup>.

117 A randomized complete block design made up of a factorial combination of AM, chicory ecotype 118 and irrigation rate  $(2 \times 2 \times 3)$ , respectively) was adopted. Each field experiment consisted in: (i) two AM treatments [with the addition of AM and without the addition of AM (denominated AM<sup>+</sup> and 119 AM<sup>-</sup>, respectively)], (b) two chicory ecotypes [Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz (denominated E<sup>1</sup> and 120  $E^2$ , respectively)], and (c) three level of irrigation rate [40%, 65% and 90% of total irrigation water 121 (denominated  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$ , respectively)] on the basis of a determined level of maximum 122 123 allowable depletion (MAD) of the available soil water (ASW) threshold. The treatments were placed 124 in four replicates resulting to 48 plots, of 20  $m^2$  (5 m × 4 m) per plot size.

Field experiments were conducted in sites kept fallow the previous year to minimize native mycorrhizal fungi, to allow decomposition of fragments of the previous crop roots and to allow degradation of propagules. At the beginning of each growing season (last week of March), the experimental area was ploughed at a depth of 30 cm using a moldboard plough, then it prepared by disking. Soil samples collected to a depth of 30 cm were evaluated for specified soil properties and native AM spores. The field was not fumigated because of the observed minute level (1–2 per kg) of the native fungi.

All plots were treated with the recommended fertilizer rates of the area, and these included 45 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>
of P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> applied as single superphosphate and 50 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of K<sub>2</sub>O applied as muriate of potash at

134 seedbed preparation as well as 100 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> of N applied in two splits. The first split (60% of total N 135 rate) was basally added to the soil the day of chicory sowing, and the next split (40% of total N rate) was supplied 45 days after sowing. To prevent water loss, the plots were bounded by dykes couple 136 with a wide strip (1.5 m wide) separating adjacent plots. Before sowing of chicory, furrows of a 137 depth of about 10 cm were opened and AM inoculum was equally placed along the bottom of the 138 furrows of each plot. The inoculum which was lightly covered with soil was placed below chicory 139 140 seedlings in the furrow. The inoculum was mainly composed of pieces of AM-colonized root, 141 hyphae, and spores were minor component ( $150 \pm 5$  spores per kg soil for *Rhizophagus irregularis*) mixed with soil and applied at the rate of 3 kg per row. The same quantity of soil without AM 142 inoculums was applied to AM<sup>-</sup> plots.. Chicory ecotypes were sown on 30 March 2017 and 5 April 143 2018, respectively, placing the seedlings in rows 0.5 m apart with a density of 10 plants m<sup>-2</sup>. In all 144 plots, weeds were controlled when started to emerge by hand-weeding to avoid interference with the 145 146 crop growth.

Chicory crop was irrigated by using drip irrigation tape installed on soil surface near about 5 cm to 147 plant rows. Irrigation rates were established on the basis of water depletion (expressed ad percentage 148 of soil moisture) in the rhizosphere and uniformly distributed. Soil moisture was determined by 149 150 gravimetric method by taking soil samples, weighing, ovendrying at 105 °C for 48 h, and 151 reweighing. The ASW was calculated as moisture storage of the root zone at field capacity minus moisture at permanent wilting point. The effective root zone was assumed to be 0 - 25 cm in the 40 152 153 days after sowing and 0 - 50 cm until crop maturity according to Atzori *et al.* (2019). The quantity of 154 water supplied following an achievement of predefined MAD was determined as described by Panda 155 *et al.* (2004):

156 
$$Vd = \frac{MAD(\%)(FC - WP)RzA}{100}$$
 (Eq. 1)

where Vd was the quantity of irrigated water, Rz was the effective depth of chicory rooting, A was 158 the plot surface, FC and WP were the amount of water stored in the rhizosphere at field capacity and 159 wilting point, correspondingly. The total amount of irrigation water in each IR treatment applied 160 during chicory cultivation are reported in Table 1. After sowing, the same amount of irrigation was 161 applied in all irrigation levels to ensure uniform crop establishment. Drought stress was imposed by 162 163 rationing irrigation (according to three irrigation rates) starting from 20 days after seedling 164 emergence. The weather data, including temperatures and rainfall, were obtained from a 165 meteorological station located near the experimental field (Table 1).

166

167 2.3. Data collection

#### 168 2.3.1. Photosynthesis measurement

169 An infrared gas analyser (Li-6400; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) was used to measure carbon 170 exchange rate (CER), stomatal conductance (gs) and transpiration rate (E). The leaf gas exchange data were collected from fully expanded leaves (two replicates for each leaf) up to 11.30 h to avoid 171 midday depressive effect on CER at a sunny day before harvest (the third week of July in both years, 172 173 flowering stage). At the time of measuring, photosynthetic photon flux density was maintained at 750 µmol m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup> using internal red/ blue LED light source and CO<sub>2</sub> concentration was set at 400 174  $\mu$ mol mol<sup>-1</sup> by mixing external air with CO<sub>2</sub> from a source attached to the unit. The leaf temperature 175 176 was recorded as 25–26 °C with the sample relative humidity higher than 65%. Measurements were 177 recorded when the total coefficient of variation was less than 0.5%.

178

## 179 2.3.2. Growth measurements and Physiological-biochemical analysis

Leaf area index (LAI) was measured evaluating the area covered by green leaves by utilizing an area meter, Li-cor 3100. Moreover, a line sensor [Technical and Physical Engineering Research Service (TFDL-DLO), Wageningen, Netherlands] was utilized to measure the interception of photosynthetic active radiation (PAR) by chicory canopy. The fraction interception of PAR was also extrapolated from the LAI, according to Beer's law:

185 
$$F_i = 1 - e^{-kl}$$
 (Eq. 2)

186

187 Where I was the LAI and k was the extinction factor. The value of k adopted was 0.7 as observed by 188 Meijer and Mathijssen (1992). The  $F_i$  was considered constant when LAI values increased to 4.

In each experimental year, at the beginning of the flowering stage, the same ten chicory plants which were subject to gas exchange assessment were used to measure the following parameters: shoot and root dry mass, leaf count, mycorrhizal root colonization (percentage). Moreover, the mycorrhizal dependency (MD) expressed as the change in plant growth due to mycorrhizal colonization was calculated utilizing the following formula by Menge *et al.* (1978):

194 
$$MD = \frac{\text{with AM plant-without AM plant}}{\text{with AM plant}} \times 100$$
 (Eq. 3)

195

196 Roots samples were rinsed free of soil, cut into 1-2 cm fragments and thoroughly mixed, and 197 subsamples (1 g) saved for determination of root colonization with AM fungi. These samples were 198 cleared with 10% (w/v) KOH and stained with 0.03% (v/v) trypan blue in lactoglycerol according to 199 the method of Phillips and Hayman (1970), and microscopically examined for colonization by 200 determining percentage root segments containing arbuscules and vesicles using a gridline intercept 201 method (Giovannetti and Mosse 1980). At the same time, chicory shoots were oven-dried at 70 °C for 48 h, finely grounded and sieved for nutrients and bioactive compounds. Nutrients estimation were performed using 5 g of grounded shoots chemically digested and analyzed with a colorimetric method (Jackson, 1973) for the total phosphorus (P) and flame photometer (Corning 400, UK) for potassium (K). The content of magnesium (Mg) was measured using atomic absorption system (Perkin Elmer, Model 2380, USA) and the amount of total nitrogen (N) was determined with Micro-Kjeldahl template described by Nelson and Sommers (1973).

Relative water content (RWC) was determined in the fully expanded fifth green leaf from the top at
the flowering stage according to Ünyayar *et al.* (2004).

The total chlorophyll was assessed with a spectrophotometer at 646.6, 663.6 and 470 nm according to the method of Porra (2002). The pigment concentrations were calculated according to the equation proposed by Lichtenthaler (1987);

214 
$$mg \ tot la \frac{chl}{g \ tissue} = \left[ (17.76 \times A646.6) + (7.34 \times A663.6) \right] \times \left[ V / (1000 \times W) \right]$$
 (Eq. 4)

215

216 
$$mg \ tot la \frac{carotenoid}{g \ tissue} = [1000A470 - 3.27chl \ a - 104chl \ b]/227$$
 (Eq. 5)

217

#### 218 2.3.3. Abscisic acid (ABA) measurement in leaf

Leaf tissue was finely grounded and extracted with 2-propanol/H<sub>2</sub>O/HCl 37% in a proportion of 2:1:0.002 (v:v:v). Samples were mixed with dichloromethane then shaken and centrifuged. Afterward, the solvent was transferred into a screw-cap vial which was concentrated utilizing nitrogen flow-based evaporator. The quantification of abscisic acid (ABA) was conducted by using Phytodetek® ABA Test Kit (Agdia Biofords, Evry, France) as described by Quarrie *et al.*, (1988).

#### 225 2.3.4. Ethylene measurement in leaf

The ethylene concentration was determined in five uppermost fully expanded leaves using a flame
ionization detector gas chromatograph equipped with a flame ionization detector (Hitachi 063) (Ishii *et al.* 1982).

229

# 230 2.3.5. Activities of antioxidant enzymes in leaf

A unit (U) of superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity measured the amount of enzyme associated with half of the highest inhibition of nitroblue tetrazolium (Ug<sup>-1</sup> FW). Peroxidase (POD) activity was determined by differences at 470 nm of absorbance (Omran, 1980).

234

# 235 2.3.6. Malondialdehyde (MDA) and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> determination in leaf

Leaf sample was homogenized with trichloroacetic acid and centrifuged for determining Malondialdehyde (MDA) contents. Then 2-thiobarbituric acid (TBA) was added and heated. After cooling, sample absorbance was calculated at 532 nm for MDA determination (Janero, 1990). The Velikova *et al.* (2000) method was adopted for preparing the leaf samples for H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> concentration and the absorbance data was taken at 390 nm.

241

# 242 2.3.7. Proline determination, glutathione and ascorbate contents in leaf

The concentration of the free portion of proline was assayed using the acid-ninhydrin approach proposed by Bates *et al.* (1973). Glutathione was assayed as demonstrated by Anderson (1985), while Ascorbate was assayed by the decreasing of 2,6-dichlorophenolindophenol (DCPIP) using photometric as described by Leipner *et al.* (1997).

#### 248 2.3.8. Sugar concentration in root

The determination of the sugar concentration was performed by using grounded roots incubated in 37 %HCl. The sample was neutralized with NaOH after cooling to 25°C. The concentration of sugar (glucose and fructose) was measured utilizing an HPLC (Varian Carbohydrates, CA, USA) at a temperature of 85°C. The pump flow, the eluent and the injection volume were 0.5 mL min<sup>-1</sup>, 50 mg L<sup>-1</sup> Ca-EDTA and 20  $\mu$ L, respectively, with an analysis period of 15 min per sample. The inulin percentage (IP) and the average polymerization degree (DP) were determined as the concentration of glucose and fructose (Waes *et al.*, 1998):

256 IP = 
$$(\% \text{ glucose} + \% \text{ fructose})/x$$
 (Eq. 6)

257 
$$DP = (\% \text{ glucose} + \% \text{ fructose}) + 1$$
 (Eq. 7)

where x was the inulin synthesis factor. This factor represented the loss of a molecule of water fromthe added fructose to the chain of inulin (Baert, 1997):

260 
$$X = \frac{180 \times n}{(180 \times n) - [(n-1) \times 18]}$$
, with  $n = DP$  (Eq. 8)

261

#### 262 2.4. Statistical analysis

Data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) utilizing JMP statistical software package version 4.0 (SAS, 1996). Before the analysis, the normal distributions of the data were analyzed adopting a Shapiro–Wilk test. The total soluble sugars content was log transformed [y = log (x)] to ensure homogenous the variance (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Meanwhile, the reported data in the table were back transformed. A three-way factorial analysis was performed for the 2-year period for measured characteristics where the Arbuscular mycorrhizal application, irrigation rates and chicory ecotype were the factors, and the growing season was considered as repeated measure (Gomez and Gomez, 1984). Averages were compared with Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) at
5% significant level.

272

# 273 **3. RESULTS**

# 274 3.1. Mycorrhizal colonisation and dependency

At the flowering stage of the chicory crop, in AM inoculated treatments (AM<sup>+</sup>), the intensity of mycorrhizal colonization was the highest in chicory plants grown under  $IR^1$  irrigation rate regardless of the chicory ecotypes (Fig. 1). The data regarding the intensity of mycorrhizal colonization in  $IR^2$ and  $IR^3$  irrigation rates showed that the drought-tolerant ecotype Siyah Shiraz (E<sup>2</sup>) generally had higher root AM colonization than the drought-sensitive ecotype Sefid Isfahan (E<sup>1</sup>, Fig. 1).

Mycorrhizal dependency values of the chicory plants in response to AM fungal inoculation were significantly higher under  $IR^3$  followed by  $IR^2$  and  $IR^1$  water irrigation rate (Fig. 1). The  $E^1$  chicory ecotype showed generally the highest values of mycorrhizal dependency than  $E^2$  chicory ecotype, except in  $IR^1$  irrigation rate where an opposite trend was observed (Fig. 1).

284

## 285 *3.2. Growth parameters and nutritional status*

Growth parameters were always significant for irrigation levels and AM inoculation ( $P \le 0.05$ ), while only root diameter and root length were significant regarding the ecotypes. All interactions among the main effects were always significant ( $P \le 0.05$ , Table 2). Inoculation of arbuscular mycorrhiza (AM<sup>+</sup>) compared with AM<sup>-</sup> increased all growth parameters measured, such as root diameter (on average 2.4 *vs.* 2.0 cm, respectively), number of leaves (on average 31 *vs.* 27 no. plant<sup>-</sup> <sup>1</sup>, respectively), shoot dry weight (on average 3601.1 *vs.* 3241.9 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), root dry weight (on average 862.4 *vs.* 750.4 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) and root length (on average 23.8 *vs.* 21.9 293 cm, respectively) of both ecotypes regardless of irrigation rate (Table 2). However, ecotype Siyah 294 Shiraz ( $E^2$ ) had generally higher growth parameters than ecotype Sefid Isfahan ( $E^1$ ), especially under 295 both IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> (Table 2).

As expected, the fraction of intercepted radiation (FINT) decreased gradually from IR<sup>1</sup> to IR<sup>3</sup> and it 296 was significantly greater in AM<sup>+</sup> treatments (Fig. 2). The FINT values were similar for two ecotypes 297 grown under  $IR^1$  treatment, while, under  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  treatments, it was generally higher in  $E^2$ 298 compared with E<sup>1</sup> (data not shown). The N, K, Mg and P content in the chicory plants were affected 299 300 by irrigation level and AM inoculation as main effect ( $P \le 0.05$ ), while ecotype affected only the P content. All interactions among the main effects were always significant (P < 0.05, Table 3). The N, 301 K, Mg and P content measured in the shoots of chicory plants were higher in AM<sup>+</sup> (on average 2.48, 302 0.158, 0.167 and 1.97 g of N, P, Mg and K per plant, respectively) compared with the AM<sup>-</sup> plants (on 303 average 2.21, 0.128, 0.127 and 1.44 g of N, P, Mg and K per plant, respectively). The N, K, Mg and 304 P content tended to decrease from IR<sup>1</sup> to IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rate (Table 3). Regardless of AM treatment, 305 few differences were observed on mineral contents regarding the  $E^1$  and  $E^2$  ecotypes in IR<sup>1</sup> irrigation 306 rate, while under  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  treatments  $E^2$  tended to show high values of nutrient content than  $E^1$ 307 ecotype (Table 4). 308

309

# 310 *3.3. Photosynthesis parameters, pigments and relative water content (RWC)*

The photosynthesis parameters measured in the chicory leaves were affected by irrigation level and AM inoculation, while ecotypes did not affect photosynthesis parameters (P > 0.05). All interactions among irrigation rate, arbuscular mycorrhizal, and ecotypes were significant (Table 4). Generally, IR<sup>1</sup> and IR<sup>2</sup> irrigation rate similar values on carbon exchange rate (on average 15.43

 $\mu$ mol CO<sub>2</sub> m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), transpiration rate (on average 1.51 mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), stomatal conductance (on

average 0.152 mol H<sub>2</sub>O m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>), chlorophyll content (on average 1636 mg g<sup>-1</sup> plant<sup>-1</sup>) and carotenoids content (on average 311 mg g<sup>-1</sup> plant), while a strong reduction in theses parameter were noticed when irrigation was scheduled at MAD of 90% (IR<sup>3</sup>, Table 4). All photosynthesis parameters showed higher values in AM<sup>+</sup> compared with AM<sup>-</sup> treatments regardless the irrigation rate, while E<sup>2</sup> ecotype tended to show higher values of photosynthesis parameters compared with E<sup>1</sup> ecotype, especially under IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rate (Table 4).

Relative water content, hydrogen peroxide content and malondialdeyhde in leaves of chicory crop at harvesting were affected by irrigation level and AM inoculation, while no effect were observed on ecotypes main effect (P > 0.05). All interactions among the main effects were always significant (P  $\leq$ 0.05, Table 5). The relative water content (RWC) measured in the chicory leaves of both ecotypes was similar in IR<sup>1</sup> irrigation rate regardless of the AM treatments (on average of 92.9 %), while it was higher in AM<sup>+</sup> compared with AM<sup>-</sup> treatments under IR<sup>2</sup> (on average of 85.2 *vs.* 77.0 %, respectively) and IR<sup>3</sup> (on average of 76.4 *vs.* 65.8 %, respectively) irrigation rate (Table 5).

329

#### 330 3.4. Malondialdehyde (MDA) and $H_2O_2$

The measurements of  $H_2O_2$  and MDA content were higher in AM<sup>-</sup> (on average of 21.4 and 150.6 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively) compared with AM<sup>+</sup> (on average of 16.0 and 114.6 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW, respectively) irrespective of the irrigation rate (Table 5). Regarding the chicory ecotypes no differences were observed under IR<sup>1</sup> and IR<sup>2</sup> irrigation rates regardless the AM inoculation treatment, while the differences were observed in IR<sup>3</sup> under AM<sup>-</sup> treatments (Table 5).

338 In general irrigation level and AM inoculation significantly affected the antioxidant activities, accumulation of antioxidant compounds and proline content of chicory crop at harvesting (P < 0.05), 339 except POD which was not significant for all main effects and their interaction (P > 0.05). Ecotypes 340 affected only the proline content (Table 6). The SOD activity was increased in AM+ compare to 341 AM- treatments (on average of 14.2 vs. 12.1 U g<sup>-1</sup> FW, Table 6) and it tended to be higher in IR<sup>3</sup>, 342 intermediate in IR<sup>2</sup> and low in IR<sup>1</sup> irrigation rate (on average of 15.1, 12.9 and 11.4 U g<sup>-1</sup> FW, 343 respectively, Table 6). Among the chicory ecotypes significant differences were observed in the 344 345 interaction between AM<sup>-</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup>, and interaction between AM<sup>+</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup>, where the E<sup>2</sup> had higher values of SOD activity than  $E^1$  (Table 6). A similar trend was observed for POD (Table 6). 346

347

## 348 3.6. Accumulation of antioxidant compounds and proline content

The amount of glutathione and ascorbate accumulated in chicory plants was significantly higher in AM<sup>+</sup> than in AM<sup>-</sup> treatments (on average of 56.0 and 33.5 *vs.* 27.8 and 18.1 nmol g<sup>-1</sup> DW, respectively, Table 6). This effect was observed in all irrigation rate, as they tended to increase from IR<sup>1</sup> to IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rate (Table 6). Few differences were observed among the chicory ecotypes (Table 6).

The proline content values showed a similar trend to that observed for glutathione and ascorbate (Table 6). However, tendency of high proline content was observed in ecotype Siyah Shiraz than ecotype Sefid Isfahan especially in  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  irrigation rate in both AM treatments.

357

358 *3.7. Inulin percentage (IP) and degree of polymerization (DP)* 

359 The percent of inulin and mean inulin degree of polymerization were affected by all main effects,

360 except ecotypes (P > 0.05), and their interation (Table 7). The IP measurements revealed that the

highest values were attained when irrigation rate was scheduled at 65% MAD ( $IR^2$ ), even if it was higher in AM<sup>+</sup> than AM<sup>-</sup> (on average of 73.0 *vs*. 68.3 % respectively, Table 7). Similarly, the IP was high in IR<sup>1</sup> and IR<sup>2</sup> irrigation rate, while it decreased drastically in IR<sup>3</sup> treatments. There were few differences between the two ecotypes for these traits (Table 7).

365

# 366 *3.8.* Leaf ABA and Ethylene

The leaf ABA accumulation and ethylene were affected by all main effects, except ecotypes (P > 367 368 0.05), and their interation (Table 7). Leaf ABA accumulation in chicory leaves at harvesting was higher in AM<sup>-</sup> than AM<sup>+</sup> treatments (on average 95.0 vs. 70.4 ng g FW<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, Table 7), even 369 if it tended to be higher in  $\mathbb{IR}^3$  compared with  $\mathbb{IR}^2$  and  $\mathbb{IR}^1$  irrigation rates (on average of 112.1 vs. 370 68.0 ng g FW<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). Conversely, the ethylene accumulation was always the highest in E<sup>2</sup> 371 under IR<sup>3</sup> in both AM<sup>-</sup> (1.6  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup>) and AM<sup>+</sup> (1.3  $\mu$ mol g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup>) and was similar in IR<sup>1</sup> 372 irrigation rate regardless of the AM treatments (on average of 0.49 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup>), while it was 373 higher in AM<sup>-</sup> than AM<sup>+</sup> in IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rates (on average 1.12 vs. 0.95 µmol g<sup>-1</sup> FW h<sup>-1</sup>, 374 respectively, Table 7). The ABA and ethylene accumulation in ecotype  $E^2$  was significantly higher 375 than in the  $E^1$  ecotype, especially at 90% MAD (IR<sup>3</sup>, Table 7). 376

377

## 378 4. DISCUSSION

Inoculation of AM enhanced the colonization level in both chicory ecotypes. The level of colonization was higher under  $IR^1$  compared with  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  irrigation rate treatments. These data are in agreement with the findings of Al-Karaki *et al.* (2004) where the intensity of AM fungal inoculation was lower under water deficit condition than well watered condition. Drought stress, due to reduced water availability for plant growth, could affects mycorrhizal development, thus hindering AM colonization, germination of spore and fungal hyphae growth after initiation of colonization
(Kumar *et al.*, 2015).

Nowadays, it is agreed that mycorrhizal based symbiosis reduce the negative impacts of water 386 deficiency in the host plants and could contribute to improving drought tolerance in the plant due to 387 combined effects of improved nutritional, physical and cellular status (Ruiz-Lozano, 2003; Al-388 Karaki et al., 2004). Similarly, the results of this study suggest that drought tolerance of chicory 389 390 plants was improved by AM inoculation and this was attributed to enhanced host plant nutritional 391 status and water uptake, particularly in chicory plant grown under IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rate 392 treatments. Wu et al. (2008) suggested that the drought tolerance observed in the host plant caused 393 by AM inoculation could be due to a dense root growth with a greater absorption root surface area that affects hydraulic characteristics of a root system. Similarly, the chicory plant inoculated with 394 395 mycorrhizal fungi showed a higher root development, in terms of length and diameter, compared 396 with AM<sup>-</sup> chicory plant, thus boosting the productivity of the whole root system. Consequently, these processes will impact shoot growth such as more, larger and fully expanded leaves of the chicory 397 plants, as observed through the LAI data that were significantly greater in AM<sup>+</sup> plants (Liu et al., 398 2016). Moreover, the high FINT values observed in all AM<sup>+</sup> treatments regardless of the irrigation 399 rates, probably demonstrated more efficient photosynthetic production of chicory leaves (Mandal et 400 401 al., 2006). Furthermore, chicory plants inoculated with AM maintain increased leaf RWC in all levels of irrigation rates. The improved leaf water condition of AM<sup>+</sup> chicory plants could be 402 403 associated with improved nutrition in the plant which could have provided increase ability for soil 404 moisture extraction compared with non-inoculated chicory plants (Sun et al., 2017). Similarly, Subramanian et al. (2006) showed that greater P nutrition promotes positive leaf water potential in an 405 AM<sup>+</sup> plants, regardless of increasing negative soil water potential. In addition, the P content was 406

407 consistently higher in the inoculated chicory plants (AM<sup>+</sup>) than non-inoculated plants (AM<sup>-</sup>). 408 Similarly, AM<sup>+</sup> had high N content in shoots in all irrigation rates. As a result of improved 409 nutritional and water status, inoculated chicory produced relatively higher biomass. However, the 410 drought-tolerant ecotype, Siyah Shiraz generally showed higher growth and root AM colonization 411 compared with the drought-sensitive ecotype Sefid Isfahan; as expected differences were more 412 pronounced in IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rates. Al-Karaki *et al.* (2004) indicated that mycorrhiza 413 comparably has more impacts on plant growth in drought condition than in well-watered condition.

414 The inoculated chicory plants showed better performance of photosynthesis parameters measured 415 (CER, E and gs), chlorophyll content and carotenoids content when subjected to reduced irrigation 416 rates. The enhanced photosynthetic efficiency in AM<sup>+</sup> plants indicated that plants were less subjected to drought effects. Furthermore, the high transpiration rate observed in an inoculated plant leaf is 417 expected consistent with the increased stomatal conductance rate that usually happens with 418 419 mycorrhizal symbiosis necessary to meet carbon needs of a symbiont (Augé, 2001). Similarly, the 420 high P content in chicory tissues, probably influenced by hyphae activity, has also been recognized 421 as a way where the AM symbiosis influences the stomatal behaviour (Abdel-Salam et al., 2017). The capacity of AM fungal to influence leaf area, leaf number, and root mass had been reported by 422 423 (Abdel-Fattah et al., 2013). In this study, the accumulation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> increased in treatments subjected 424 to reduced water availability, especially in AM<sup>-</sup> plants irrigated with 65% and 90% MAD. The oxidation of membrane lipids (MDA) is an indicator of uncontrolled free radical production, and 425 426 oxidative stress (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). The quantity of the oxidation of membrane lipids (MDA) 427 measured in the shoots of chicory plants tended to be accumulated in the AM<sup>-</sup> plants, while it was generally similar among the AM<sup>+</sup> treatments. Moreover, the higher amount of carotenoid contents in 428 429 the inoculated plants suggested that could be considered a part of antioxidant defence system 430 operated by mycorrhizal for alleviating adverse effects of reduced water availability (Mittler, 2002). In agreement with the findings of Baslam and Goicoechea (2012), the improvement of the tolerance 431 432 mechanisms operated by AM fungal is frequently associated with the amelioration of plant antioxidant activities by means of antioxidant enzymes that increased when plants are subjected to 433 stress conditions with an additional important role in ROS catabolism (Mittler, 2002). Chicory plants 434 that were grown under IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> irrigation rates had an increase in SOD and POD activity which 435 436 can be indirectly considered as a sign of increased amounts of free radicals in drought stress, 437 especially in AM<sup>+</sup> enhancing plant growth under water deficit stress. The enhanced SOD and POD 438 activity may crucial a key role in drought tolerance of plants, especially by avoiding the occurrence 439 of oxidative damage. In addition, the reduced chlorophyll content associated with drought stress could be due to reduced K and Mg concentrations (Augé, 2001). In this study, the amount of those 440 elements is higher in AM<sup>+</sup> than in AM<sup>-</sup> plants. The ascorbate, a key non-enzymatic antioxidant 441 442 compound that removes H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> and in the ascorbate–glutathione cycle, is closely related to glutathione (Noctor and Foyer, 1998). A decrease in the oxidative destruction to biomolecules and protection of 443 444 inoculated soybean plants against drought associated with AM symbiosis was attributed to an improved activity of glutathione reductance in roots (Porcel et al., 2003). In this current study, the 445 content of ascorbate in an AM<sup>+</sup> plant was higher than in AM<sup>-</sup> plants, thus, the higher contents of 446 glutathione observed in an AM<sup>+</sup> plant could have contributed to the protection of chicory against the 447 oxidation caused by drought. 448

Generally, the accumulation of proline in the chicory plants tended to increase when the irrigation
water was reduced. The quantity of proline was mostly greater in AM<sup>+</sup> than in AM<sup>-</sup> plants. Similarly,
Ruíz-Sánchez *et al.* (2011) observed high proline accumulation in inoculated plants of *Oryza sativa*under drought stress. Cellular osmotic potential of many plants species is decreased by accumulated

453 osmolytes including proline, which are subsequently involved in osmotic adjustment, thereby 454 promoting the tolerance to drought (Yoshiba *et al.*, 1997). The ABA and ethylene content are 455 involved in many morphophysiological responses of a plant under different plant stress (Asensio *et 456 al.*, 2012; Cruz *et al.*, 2000). Our results showed that both ABA and ethylene levels were lower in 457 AM<sup>+</sup> chicory plants than in AM<sup>-</sup> chicory plants, suggesting that AMF would postpone senescence of 458 the host plants as observed by (Cruz *et al.*, 2000) in papaya crop under drought stress conditions.

459 The findings from various studies suggest that antioxidant enzymes can be used in chicory to reduce 460 oxidative stress caused by abiotic process, thereby preventing oxidative damage in plant cells (Ghanaatiyan and Sadeghi, 2017). Moreover, the study demonstrates that this severe drought stress 461 462 (i.e. IR<sup>3</sup>) has a devastating effect on the IP and DP from root chicory, in agreement with (Vandoorne et al., 2012). However, chicory root growth decreased by drought stress, but not biosynthesis of 463 inulin (Vandoorne et al., 2012). This influence may be explained by the micro and macro nutrients 464 465 supplied by AM during the symbiosis, and these nutrients are required in the key metabolic processes that include but not limited to photosynthesis (Rapparini and Peñuelas, 2014). 466

467

#### 468 5. CONCLUSION

Arbuscular mycorrhiza could be positively employed in various protective mechanisms to counteract drought stress. In this study, inoculated chicory plants develop the root morphology and its activity in response to drought stress. Moreover, AM fungal enhanced tolerance of chicory plants to drought stress as indicated nutrient uptake and bio-chemical evens such as osmotic adjustment, hormonal activities and antioxidant systems. The AM fungal inoculation in chicory plant could contribute to reducing the negative effects of drought stress, especially under moderate dry condition (IR<sup>2</sup>). Ecotypes mostly responded equally to irrigation and AM inoculation for growth and biochemical 476 parameters, but the results show Siyah Shiraz was better equipped with free radical quenching 477 system that is more efficient in plant protection against oxidative stress. Based on the results of this 478 study, it can be concluded that AM fungal colonization mitigates the deleterious effects of drought 479 stress by enhancing different tolerance mechanisms; thus, it could be effectively adopted for chicory 480 cultivation in an arid and semi-arid area.

481

### 482 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to express our special thanks to the Department of Agriculture, the Payame NoorUniversity of Gorgan, for their financial support to the development of this present study.

#### 485 **REFERENCES**

- Abdel-Fattah, G.M., Ibrahim, A.H., Al-Amri, S.M., Shoker, A.E., 2013. Synergistic effect of
  arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and spermine on amelioration of salinity stress of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. cv. gimiza 9). Aust. J. Crop Sci. 7, 1525-1532.
- Abdel-Salam, E., Alatar, A., El-Sheikh, M.A., 2017. Inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
   alleviates harmful effects of drought stress on damask rose. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 25, 1772-1780.
- Al-Karaki, G., McMichael, B., Zak, J., 2004. Field response of wheat to arbuscular mycorrhizal
   fungi and drought stress. Mycorrhiza 14, 263-269.
- Anderson, M.E., 1985. Determination of glutathione and glutathione disulfide in biological samples.
   Methods Enzymol. 113, 548-555.
- Asensio, D., Rapparini, F., Peñuelas, J., 2012. AM fungi root colonization increases the production
   of essential isoprenoids *vs.* nonessential isoprenoids especially under drought stress conditions
   or after jasmonic acid application. Phytochemistry 77, 149-161.
- Asrar, A.W.A., Elhindi, K.M., 2011. Alleviation of drought stress of marigold (*Tagetes erecta*)
   plants by using arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Saudi J. Biol. Sci. 18, 93-98.
- Atzori, G., Guidi Nissim, W., Caparrotta, S., Santantoni, F., Masi, E., 2019. Seawater and water
  footprint in different cropping systems: A chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) case study. Agric.
  Water Manag. 211, 172–177.
- Augé, R.M., 2001. Water relations, drought and vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis.
   Mycorrhiza 11, 3-42.
- Baert, J.R.A., 1997. The effect of sowing and harvest date and cultivar on inulin yield and
   composition of chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.) roots. Ind. Crops Prod. 6, 195-199.
- Baslam, M., Goicoechea, N., 2012. Water deficit improved the capacity of arbuscular mycorrhizal
  fungi (AMF) for inducing the accumulation of antioxidant compounds in lettuce leaves.
  Mycorrhiza 22, 347-359.
- Bitterlich, M., Franken, P., Graefe, J., 2018a. Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Improves Substrate Hydraulic
   Conductivity in the Plant Available Moisture Range Under Root Growth Exclusion. Front. Plant
   Sci. 9, 301.
- 513 Bitterlich, M., Sandmann, M., Graefe, J., 2018b. Arbuscular Mycorrhiza Alleviates Restrictions to
  514 Substrate Water Flow and Delays Transpiration Limitation to Stronger Drought in Tomato.
  515 Front. Plant Sci. 9, 154.
- Blum, A., 1996. Crop responses to drought and the interpretation of adaptation. Plant Growth Regul.
  20, 135-148.
- Boldt, K., Pörs, Y., Haupt, B., Bitterlich, M., Kühn, C., Grimm, B., Frenken P., 2011. Photochemical
  processes, carbon assimilation and RNA accumulation of sucrose transporter genes in tomato
  arbuscular mycorrhiza. J. Plant Physiol. 168, 1256-1263.
- Borowicz, V.A., 2010. The impact of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on strawberry tolerance to root
   damage and drought stress. Pedobiologia (Jena). 53, 265–270.
- 523 Brachmann, A., Parniske, M., 2006. The most widespread symbiosis on earth. PLoS Biol. 4(7): e239.

- Campagnac, E., Sahraoui, A.L.H., Debiane, D., Fontaine, J., Laruelle, F., Garçon, G., Verdin, A.,
   Durand, R., Shirali, P., Grandmougin-Ferjani, A., 2010. Arbuscular mycorrhiza partially protect
   chicory roots against oxidative stress induced by two fungicides, fenpropimorph and
   fenhexamid. Mycorrhiza 20, 167-178.
- Carazzone, C., Mascherpa, D., Gazzani, G., Papetti, A., 2013. Identification of phenolic constituents
   in red chicory salads (*Cichorium intybus*) by high-performance liquid chromatography with
   diode array detection and electrospray ionisation tandem mass spectrometry. Food Chem. 138,
   1062-1071.
- 532 Chaves, M.M., Maroco, J.P., Pereira, J.S., 2003. Understanding plant responses to drought From
  533 genes to the whole plant. Funct. Plant Biol. 30, 239-264.
- 534 Cruz, A.F., Ishii, T., Kadoya, K., 2000. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on tree growth, leaf
  535 water potential, and levels of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid and ethylene in the roots
  536 of papaya under water-stress conditions. Mycorrhiza 10, 121-123.
- 537 Duan, X., Neuman, D.S., Reiber, J.M., Green, C.D., Saxton, A., Augè, R.M. 1996. Mycorrhizal
  538 influence on hydraulic and hormonal factors implicated in the control of stomatal conductance
  539 during drought. J. Exp. Bot. 303, 1541-1550.
- Duc, N.H., Csintalan, Z., Posta, K., 2018. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi mitigate negative effects of
   combined drought and heat stress on tomato plants. Plant Physiol. Biochem. 132, 297–307.
- FAO, 2011. The state of food and agriculture Women in agriculture, closing the gender gap for development.
- Farahani, H.A., Valadabadi, S.A., Daneshian, J., Shiranirad, A.H., Khalvati, M.A., 2013. Medicinal
  and aromatic plants farming under drought conditions. J. Horticulture and Forestry 1, 86-92.
- Ferrol, N., Azcón-Aguilar, C., Pérez-Tienda, J., 2018. Review: Arbuscular mycorrhizas as key
  players in sustainable plant phosphorus acquisition: An overview on the mechanisms involved.
  Plant Sci. 280, 441-447.
- Ghanaatiyan, K., Sadeghi, H., 2017. Differential responses of chicory ecotypes exposed to drought
  stress in relation to enzymatic and non-enzymatic antioxidants as well as ABA concentration. J.
  Hortic. Sci. Biotechnol. 92, 404-410.
- Giovannetti, M., Mosse, B., 1980. An evaluation of techniques for measuring vesicular arbuscular
   mycorrhizal infection in roots. New Phytol. 84, 489-500.
- Gomez, K.A., Gomez, A.A., 1984. Statistical Procedures For Agricultural Research [WWW
   Document]. John Wiley Sons Inc. pp.
- Hodge, A., 2000. Microbial ecology of the arbuscular mycorrhiza. FEMS Microbiol. Ecol. 32, 91-96.
- IPCC, 2007. IPCC, 2007: Climate Change 2007: Synthesis Report., Contribution of Working Groups
  I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
  [Core Writing Team, Pachauri, R.K and Reisinger, A. (eds.)].
- Ishii, T., Fusao, K., Mizutani, F., Iwasaki, K., Kadoya, K., 1982. Ethylene evolution in the
  rhizosphere of grapevines, and growth disorders as affected by ethylene. J. Japan, Soc. Hort.
- 562 Sci. 53, 157-167..

- Janero, D.R., 1990. Malondialdehyde and thiobarbituric acid-reactivity as diagnostic indices of lipid
   peroxidation and peroxidative tissue injury. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 9, 515-540.
- 565 Jackson, M.L., 1973. Soil Chemical Analysis. Prentice Hall Inc., New Delhi, India.
- Kishor, P., Hong, Z., Miao, G.H., Hu, C., Verma, D., 1995. Overexpression of [delta]-Pyrroline-5 Carboxylate Synthetase Increases Proline Production and Confers Osmotolerance in Transgenic
   Plants. Plant Physiol. 108, 1387-1394.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, S., Mishra, S., Dames, J.F., 2015. Arbuscular mycorrhizal inoculation improves
   growth and antioxidative response of *Jatropha curcas* (L.) under Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> salt stress. Plant
   Biosyst. 149, 260-269.
- Leipner, J., Fracheboud, Y., Stamp, P., 1997. Acclimation by suboptimal growth temperature
  diminishes photooxidative damage in maize leaves. Plant, Cell Environ. 20, 366-372.
- Lichtenthaler, H., 1987. Chlorophylls and carotenoids:pigments of photosynthetic biomembranes.
   Method Enzymol. 148, 350-382.
- Liu, J., Guo, C., Chen, Z.L., He, J.D., Zou, Y.N., 2016. Mycorrhizal inoculation modulates root
  morphology and root phytohormone responses in trifoliate orange under drought stress.
  Emirates J. Food Agric. 28, 251-256.
- Mandal, K.G., Hati, K.M., Misra, A.K., Bandyopadhyay, K.K., 2006. Assessment of irrigation and
   nutrient effects on growth, yield and water use efficiency of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea*) in
   central India. Agric. Water Manag. 85, 279-286.
- Meijer, W.J.M., Mathijssen, E.W.J.M., 1992. Crop characteristics and inulin production in chicory.
   Eur. J. Agron. 1, 99-108.
- Menge, J.A., Johnson, E.L.V., Platt, R.G., 1978. Mycorrhizal dependency of several citrus cultivars
   under three nutrient regimes. New Phytol. 81, 553-559.
- 586 Mittler, R., 2002. Oxidative stress, antioxidants and stress tolerance. Trends Plant Sci. 7, 405-410.
- Modarres, R., de Paulo Rodrigues da Silva, V., 2007. Rainfall trends in arid and semi-arid regions of
   Iran. J. Arid Environ. 70, 344-355.
- Mosaddegh, M., Naghibi, F., Moazzeni, H., Pirani, A., Esmaeili, S., 2012. Ethnobotanical survey of
  herbal remedies traditionally used in Kohghiluyeh va Boyer Ahmad province of Iran. J.
  Ethnopharmacol. 141, 80-95.
- Nelson, D.W., Sommers, L.E., 1973. Determination of total nitrogen in plant material1. Agron. J. 65,
  109–112.
- Noctor, G., Foyer, C.H., 1998. Ascorbate and Glutathione: Keeping Active Oxygen Under Control.
   Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol. 49, 249-279.
- Omran, R.G., 1980. Peroxide Levels and the Activities of Catalase, Peroxidase, and Indoleacetic
   Acid Oxidase during and after Chilling Cucumber Seedlings. Plant Physiol. 65, 407-408.
- Panda, R.K., Behera, S.K., Kashyap, P.S., 2004. Effective management of irrigation water for maize
   under stressed conditions. Agric. Water Manag. 66, 181-203.
- 600 Petropoulos, S.A., Levizou, E., Ntatsi, G., Fernandes, Â., Petrotos, K., Akoumianakis, K., Barros, L.,

- Ferreira, I.C.F.R., 2017. Salinity effect on nutritional value, chemical composition and bioactive
   compounds content of Cichorium spinosum L. Food Chem. 214, 129–136.
- 603 Plumier, W., 1972. Chicory improvement. Review Agriculture, 4, 567–585.
- Phillips, J.M., Hayman, D.S., 1970. Improved procedures for clearing roots and staining parasitic and
   vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi for rapid assessment of infection. Brit Mycol Soc
   Trans. 55, 158-160.
- Porcel, R., Barea, J.M., Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., 2003. Antioxidant activities in mycorrhizal soybean
   plants under drought stress and their possible relationship to the process of nodule senescence.
   New Phytol. 61, 324-328.
- Porra, R.J., 2002. The chequered history of the development and use of simultaneous equations for
   the accurate determination of chlorophylls a and b. Photosynth. Res. 73, 149-156.
- Quarrie, S.A., Whitford, P.N., Appleford, N.E.J., Wang, T.L., Cook, S.K., & Henson, I.E., 1988. A
  monoclonal antibody to (S)-abscisic acid: Its characterization and use in radioimmunoassay for
  measuring abscisic acid in crude extracts of cereal and lupin leaves. Planta. 173: 130–139.
- Ruiz-Lozano, J.M., 2003. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and alleviation of osmotic stress. New
   perspectives for molecular studies. Mycorrhiza. 13, 309-317.
- Ruíz-Sánchez, M., Armada, E., Muñoz, Y., García de Salamone, I.E., Aroca, R., Ruíz-Lozano, J.M.,
  Azcón, R., 2011. Azospirillum and arbuscular mycorrhizal colonization enhance rice growth
  and physiological traits under well-watered and drought conditions. J. Plant Physiol. 168, 10311037.
- Sapeta, H., Costa, J.M., Lourenço, T., Maroco, J., van der Linde, P., Oliveira, M.M., 2013. Drought
   stress response in Jatropha curcas: Growth and physiology. Environ. Exp. Bot. 85, 76-84.
- Schüßler, A., Walker, C., 2010. The Glomeromycota. A species list with new families and new genera. Published in December 2010 in libraries at The Royal Botanic Garden Edinburgh, The Royal Botanic Garden Kew, Botanische Staatssammlung Munich, and Oregon State University.,
  issue December (2010) p. 57 Published by Electronic version
- Somasiri, S.C., Kenyon, P.R., Kemp, P.D., Morel, P.C.H., Morris, S.T., 2015. Growth performance
  and carcass characteristics of lambs grazing forage mixes inclusive of plantain (*Plantago lanceolata* L.) and chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.). Small Rumin. Res. 127, 20–27.
- Spina, M., Cuccioloni, M., Sparapani, L., Acciarri, S., Eleuteri, A.M., Fioretti, E., Angeletti, M.,
  2008. Comparative evaluation of flavonoid content in assessing quality of wild and cultivated
  vegetables for human consumption. J. Sci. Food Agric. 88, 294-304.
- Subramanian, K.S., Santhanakrishnan, P., Balasubramanian, P., 2006. Responses of field grown
   tomato plants to arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal colonization under varying intensities of drought
   stress. Sci. Hortic. (Amsterdam). 107, 245-253.
- Sun, X., Shi, J., Ding, G., 2017. Combined effects of arbuscular mycorrhiza and drought stress on
   plant growth and mortality of forage sorghum. Appl. Soil Ecol. 119, 384–391.
- Ünyayar, S., Keleþ, Y., Ünal, E., 2004. Proline and ABA levels in two sunflower genotypes
  subjected to water stress. Bulg. J. Plant Physiol. 30, 34-47.
- 640 Vandoorne, B., Mathieu, A.S., Van Den Ende, W., Vergauwen, R., Périlleux, C., Javaux, M., Lutts,

- S., 2012. Water stress drastically reduces root growth and inulin yield in Ci*chorium intybus*(var. *sativum*) independently of photosynthesis. J. Exp. Bot. 63, 4359-4373.
- Velikova, V., Yordanov, I., Edreva, A., 2000. Oxidative stress and some antioxidant systems in acid
   rain-treated bean plants protective role of exogenous polyamines. Plant Sci.151, 59-66.
- Waes, C. Van, Baert, J., Carlier, L., Van Bockstaele, E., 1998. A Rapid Determination of the Total
  Sugar Content and the Average Inulin Chain Length in Roots of chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L).
  J Sci Food Agric. 76, 107-110.
- Wang, Quanzhen, Cui, Jian, 2011. Perspectives and utilization technologies of chicory (*Cichorium intybus* L.): A review. African J. Biotechnol. 10, 1966-1977.
- Wu, Q.S., Xia, R.X., Zou, Y.N., 2008. Improved soil structure and citrus growth after inoculation
  with three arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi under drought stress. Eur. J. Soil Biol. 44, 122-128.
- Wu, Q.S., Zou, Y.N., Xia, R.X., 2006. Effects of water stress and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
  on reactive oxygen metabolism and antioxidant production by citrus (*Citrus tangerine*) roots.
  Eur. J. Soil Biol. 42, 166-172.
- Yoshiba, Y., Kiyosue, T., Nakashima, K., Yamaguchi-Shinozaki, K., Shinozaki, K., 1997.
  Regulation of levels of proline as an osmolyte in plants under water stress. Plant Cell Physiol.
  38, 1095-1102.

# 659 FIGURE CAPTIONS

**Figure 1.** Intensity of mycorrhizal colonization and mycorrhizal dependency of chicory ecotypes under different irrigation rates. Means without common letters are significantly different at the 5% level according to LSD test. (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018). Bars represent the standard error (n = 4).

664



667

668

**Figure 2.** Leaf area index (LAI) and fraction of intercepted radiation (FINT) of mycorrhizal (AM<sup>+</sup>) and non-mycorrhizal (AM<sup>-</sup>) chicory plants grown under different irrigation rates during growing seasons. (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018). Bars represent the standard error (n = 4).

672

673 Irrigation,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable depletion, respectively; 674  $AM^+$  and  $AM^-$  are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without inoculation, 675 respectively.





# Table 1. Mean temperature, rainfall and total volume of irrigation water in both chicory growing seasons.

3

	Mean tempera	tures (°C)	Rainfall (r	nm)
	2017	2018	2017	2018
March	16	17	30	27
April	17	19	20	19
May	20	20	8	7
June	22	23	4	2
July	24	25	3	2
Irrigation levels			Water volume	e (mm)
IR1 (40% MAD)			270	290
IR <sup>2</sup> (65% MAD)			210	225
IR <sup>3</sup> (90% MAD)			110	120

4

5 Irrigation rate,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable depletion

6 (MAD), respectively;

**Table 2.** The interaction effects of irrigation levels × AM inoculation × ecotypes on some growth traits of chicory crop at harvesting
 (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018).

Treatment		RD		NLP		RI	DM	SI	DM	RL		
		(c	(cm)		(no. $plant^{-1}$ )		$(\text{kg ha}^{-1})$		ha <sup>-1</sup> )	(c	(cm)	
		AM	$AM^+$	AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	
$\mathbf{D}^1$	$\mathbf{E}^1$	2.3 aB	2.6 aA	35 aA	37 aA	904.3 aB	988.7 aA	3798.2 aB	4080.3 aA	25.4 aA	26.1 aA	
IK	$E^2$	2.4 aA	2.6 aA	34 aA	36 aA	909.8 aA	1000.6 aA	3880.6 aB	4100.2 aA	26.2 aA	27.5aA	
<b>ID</b> <sup>2</sup>	$E^1$	2.0 bB	2.4 aA	26 bB	30 bA	708.4 bcB	829.1 bA	3110.6 bB	3513.2 bA	20.1 cB	23.5 cA	
IK	$E^2$	2.2 aB	2.5 aA	28 bB	33 abA	760.9 bB	850.2 bA	3325.6 bB	3600.0 bA	23.6 bB	25.7 bA	
тр <sup>3</sup>	$E^1$	1.5 cB	2.0 bA	17 cB	23 cA	568.2 cB	710.5 cA	2525.3 dB	3000.3 dA	16.1 dB	18.3 eA	
IK	$E^2$	1.8 <mark>b</mark> B	2.2 bA	21 cB	29 bA	650.9 cB	795.1 bA	2810.8 cB	3312.5 cA	20.1 cB	21.8 dA	
ANO	VA											
IR		*	*		*	:	*		*	:	*	
AM			*		*	:	*		*		*	
Е			*	r	18	n	IS	ns		:	*	
$IR \times A$	AM	*	*		*	:	*	:	*	:	*	
IR × E	3		*		*	:	*	:	*	:	*	
AM ×	Е		*		*	:	*	*		:	*	
$IR \times A$	$\mathbf{M} \times \mathbf{E}$		*		*	;	*	:	*	:	*	

6 Values belonging to the same characteristic without common letters in row for AM inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for irrigation 7 rate of each ecotype (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). RD is root diameter, NLP is no. of leaves plant<sup>-1</sup>, RDM 8 is root dry matter, SDM is shoot dry matter, RL is root length. Irrigation rate,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable 9 depletion, respectively; AM<sup>+</sup> and AM<sup>-</sup> are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without inoculation, respectively; E<sup>1</sup> and E<sup>2</sup> are 10 Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz ecotypes, respectively. ns, not significant; \* and \*\*, significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, 11 respectively.

12

13

Treat	Treatment		Ν		Р		lg	]	K		
		$(g plant^{-1})$		(g p	$(g plant^{-1})$		$ant^{-1}$ )	(g pl	$(g plant^{-1})$		
		AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	$AM^{-}$	$AM^+$	AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$		
$\mathbf{D}^1$	$\mathbf{E}^1$	2.4 aB	2.7 aA	0.151 aB	0.172bA	0.139 aB	0.181 aA	1.6 aB	2.1 abA		
IK	$E^2$	2.4 aB	2.8 aA	0.169 aB	0.195 aA	0.141 aB	0.188 aA	1.7 aB	2.3 aA		
$\mathbf{ID}^2$	$\mathrm{E}^{1}$	2.2 bB	2.4 bA	0.111 cB	0.140 cA	0.129 aB	0.161 abA	1.4 abB	1.9 abA		
IK	$E^2$	2.3 abB	2.5 bA	0.138 bB	0.165 bA	0.132 aB	0.175 aA	1.5 aB	2.1 abA		
ID 3	$\mathrm{E}^1$	1.9 cB	2.2 cA	0.082 dB	0.125 cA	0.100 bB	0.136 bA	1.1 bB	1.7 bA		
IK	$E^2$	2.1 cB	2.3 bcA	0.119 cB	0.149 bcA	0.119 abB	0.159 abA	1.3 abB	1.8 bA		
ANO	VA										
IR		:	*		*		*		*		
AM		:	*		*		*		*		
E		r	18		*	r	ns		IS		
IR ×	$IR \times AM$ *		*		*		*	:	*		
IR ×	$IR \times E$		*		*		*		*		
AM >	×Е	:	*		*		*	:	*		
$IR \times AM \times E$		*			*		*	:	*		

Table 3. The interaction effects of irrigation levels × AM inoculation × ecotypes on nutrient content in leaves of chicory crop at harvesting (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018).

4

Values belonging to the same characteristic without common letters in row for AM inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for irrigation rate of each ecotype (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). Irrigation rate,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable depletion, respectively;  $AM^+$  and  $AM^-$  are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without inoculation, respectively;  $E^1$  and  $E^2$  are Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz ecotypes, respectively. ns, not significant; and \*\*, significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

Treatr	Treatment CER		ER	(	is 2 1	]	E a 2 h	Chlor	ophyll	Carot	enoids	
		(µmol CO	$O_2 m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )	$(mol H_2)$	$J m^{-2} s^{-1}$	$(mol H_2)$	$O m^{-2} s^{-1}$ )	$(mg g^{-1})$	plant <sup>-1</sup> )	(mg g-	<sup>1</sup> plant)	
		AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	
TD1	$E^1$	14.6 abB	16.5 abA	0.145 abB	0.168 abA	1.25 aB	1.89 aA	1578 abB	1798 aA	280 abB	359 aA	
IK.	$E^2$	15.5 aA	17.0 aA	0.150 aB	0.174 aA	1.26 aB	2.00 aA	1658 aB	1840 aA	303 aB	380 aA	
TD2	$E^1$	13.6 bB	15.5 bA	0.124 bB	0.155 abA	1.07 abB	1.70 abA	1414 cB	1600 bcA	239 abB	320 abA	
IK <sup>2</sup>	$E^2$	14.5 abB	16.1 bA	0.139 abB	0.163 abA	1.11 abB	1.81 aA	1541 bB	1656 bA	255 abB	349 aA	
TD3	$E^1$	10.9 dB	12.7 dA	0.090 cB	0.130 bA	0.81 bB	1.42 bA	1204 dB	1402 dA	189 bB	270 bA	
IK	$E^2$	12.5 cB	14.5 cA	0.120 bB	0.151 bA	0.95 abB	1.72 abA	1435 cB	1546 cA	227 bB	315 abA	
ANO	VA											
IR		*	**	**		*	**		*		*	
AM		:	*	:	*	:	*		*		*	
Е		r	18	r	IS	r	18	ns		n	IS	
IR× A	M	:	*	:	*	:	*	;	k	:	*	
IR × I	Е	:	*	:	*	:	*	;	k		*	
AM ×	E	:	*	:	*	:	*	;	k	:	*	
$IR \times A$	$AM \times E$	*	**	:	*	:	*	;	ĸ	;	*	

Table 4. The interaction effects of irrigation levels × AM inoculation × ecotypes on photosynthesis parameters and pigments in leaves
 of chicory crop at harvesting (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018).

5 Values belonging to the same characteristic without common letters in row for AM inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for 6 irrigation rate of each ecotype (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). CER is carbon exchange rate; Gs 7 is Stomatal conductance; E is transpiration rate. Irrigation rate,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable 8 depletion, respectively;  $AM^+$  and  $AM^-$  are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without inoculation, respectively;  $E^1$ 9 and  $E^2$  are Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz ecotypes, respectively. ns, not significant; \* and \*\*, significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of 10 probability, respectively.

Treatment	nent		WC	H <sub>2</sub> O	$O_2$	MI	MDA		
		(	%)	(nmol g	<sup>-1</sup> FW)	(nmol g	g <sup>-1</sup> FW)		
		AM	$AM^+$	$AM^{-}$	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$		
$\mathbf{D}^1$	$\mathbf{E}^1$	90.3 aA	94.2 aA	19.0 bA	15.1 bB	139.7 bcA	105.6 bB		
IK	$E^2$	91.0 aA	95.9 aA	17.5 bA	13.9 bB	127.2 cA	100.8 bB		
$\mathbf{D}^2$	$E^1$	72.1 cB	83.6 bcA	23.5 abA	15.9 bB	159.9 bA	116.6 abB		
IK	$E^2$	81.9 bB	86.8 bA	20.1 bA	15.0 bB	142.3 bcA	110.0 bB		
<b>D</b> <sup>3</sup>	$E^1$	60.8 dB	70.2 dA	25.4 aA	19.8 aB	181.6 aA	138.7 aB		
IK	$E^2$	70.9 cB	82.6 cA	22.0 abA	16.0 bB	152.7 bcA	116.2 abB		
ANOVA									
IR		;	**	*		;	k		
AM			*	*		;	k		
Е		1	ns	ns	5	n	S		
$IR \times AM$			*	*		;	k		
$IR \times E$			*	*		;	k		
$AM \times E$			*	*		;	k		
$IR \times AM \times$	Е	;	**	*		;	k		

**Table 5.** The interaction effects of irrigation levels × AM inoculation × ecotypes on relative water content, hydrogen peroxide content 1 2 3 and malondialdeyhde in leaves of chicory crop at harvesting (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018).

4

Values belonging to the same characteristic without common letters in row for AM inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for 5 irrigation rate of each ecotype (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). RWC is relative water content; 6 H2O2 is shoot hydrogen peroxide content; MDA is malondialdehyde. Irrigation rate, IR<sup>1</sup>, IR<sup>2</sup> and IR<sup>3</sup> are the 40, 65, and 90% of 7 maximum allowable depletion, respectively; AM<sup>+</sup> and AM<sup>-</sup> are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without 8 inoculation, respectively; E<sup>1</sup> and E<sup>2</sup> are Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz ecotypes, respectively. ns, not significant; \* and \*\*, significant 9 at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively. 10

Treatment		SC	DD	PO	DD	Gluta	thione	Asco	orbate	Pro	oline
		(U g <sup>-</sup>	<sup>1</sup> FW)	(U g <sup>-</sup>	$^{1}$ FW)	(nmol g	g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	(nmol g	g <sup>-1</sup> DW)	(mg g	<sup>-1</sup> DW)
		AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	AM	$AM^+$	$AM^{-}$	$AM^+$
<b>ID</b> <sup>1</sup>	$\mathrm{E}^1$	10.1 cB	12.3 cA	3.5	4.1	17.9 bB	42.9 bA	10.0 bB	26.0 bA	10.5 cB	16.8 bA
IK	$E^2$	10.5 cB	12.8 cA	3.9	4.2	20.1 bB	50.0 bA	12.2 bB	28.0 bA	11.0 cB	18.7 bA
$\mathbf{ID}^2$	$E^1$	11.5 cB	13.9 bcA	3.9	4.5	25.3 abB	51.6 bA	16.1 bB	32.9 abA	15.4 bB	19.7 bA
IK	$E^2$	12.1 bcB	14.1 bcA	4.3	4.6	32.4 abB	59.1 abA	20.6 abB	36.7 abA	18.7 abB	22.7 abA
<b>ID</b> <sup>3</sup>	$E^1$	13.1 bB	15.0 bA	4.5	4.5	30.7 abB	61.1 abA	20.8 abB	36.2 abA	17.3 abB	21.5 abA
IK	$E^2$	15.1 aB	17.0 aA	4.8	4.8	40.4 aB	71.4 aA	28.6 aB	41.0 aA	20.1 aB	24.5 aA
ANOVA											
IR		:	*	r	ıs	:	*	*	**	:	*
AM		:	*	r	ıs	:	*	:	*	:	*
E		r	18	r	ıs	r	18	r	18	:	*
$IR \times AM$		:	*	r	ıs	:	*	:	*	:	*
$IR \times E$		:	*	r	ıs	:	*	:	*	:	*
$AM \times E$		:	*	r	ıs	:	*	:	*	:	*
$IR \times AM \times E$	2	:	*	r	ıs	:	*	:	*	:	*

Table 6. The interaction effects of irrigation levels  $\times$  AM inoculation  $\times$  ecotypes on antioxidant enzymes activities, accumulation of antioxidant compounds and proline content in leaves of chicory crop at harvesting (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018).

Values belonging to the same characteristic without common letters in row for AM inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for irrigation rate of each ecotype (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). SOD is superoxide dismutase; POD is peroxidase. Irrigation rate,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable depletion, respectively;  $AM^+$  and AM<sup>-</sup> are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without inoculation, respectively;  $E^1$  and  $E^2$  are Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz ecotypes, respectively. ns, not significant; \* and \*\*, significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.

Treatment	IP		Ľ	DP		BA	Ethy	Ethylene		
		(9	%)				FW <sup>-1</sup> )	$(\mu mol g^{-1} FW h^{-1})$		
		AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	AM <sup>-</sup>	$AM^+$	
<b>ID</b> <sup>1</sup>	$\mathbf{E}^1$	67.6 bB	71.3 bA	26.3 aB	28.6 aA	74.2 bA	55.4 bB	0.5 eA	0.4 dA	
IIX	$E^2$	67.8 bB	72.1 bA	26.5 aB	28.7 aA	74.1 bA	54.9 bB	0.5 eA	0.5 dA	
<b>ID</b> <sup>2</sup>	$E^1$	74.5 aB	78.2 aA	26.0 aB	28.5 aA	81.2 bA	60.1 bB	0.8 dA	0.7 cB	
IK-	$E^2$	75.1 aB	79.1 aA	25.9 aB	28.8 aA	82.7 bA	61.3 bB	0.9 cA	0.8 cB	
<b>ID</b> <sup>3</sup>	$E^1$	60.0 dB	68.5 cA	21.2 cB	26.8 bA	121.8 aA	90.2 aB	1.1 bA	1.0 bB	
IK	$E^2$	64.9 cB	68.7 cA	25.0 bB	26.9 bA	135.9 aA	100.4 aB	1.6 aA	1.3 aB	
ANOVA										
IR		:	*	:	*		*		*	
AM		:	*	:	*		*			
E		n	IS	r	ns		ns		5	
$IR \times AM$		*		:	*	\$	ĸ	*		
$IR \times E$		*		:	*		k	*		
$AM \times E$		:	*	:	*		*			
$IR \times AM \times E$		:	*	:	*		*		*	

**Table 7.** The interaction effects of irrigation levels  $\times$  AM inoculation  $\times$  ecotypes on percent of inulin, mean inulin degree of polymerization ABA and ethylene content in leaves of chicory crop at harvesting (data averaged over 2 years, 2017 and 2018).

Values belonging to the same characteristic without common letters in row for AM inoculation (upper case letter) and in columns for irrigation rate of each ecotype (lower case letter) are statistically different according to LSD (0.05). IP is the percent of inulin; DP is mean inulin degree of polymerization. Irrigation rate,  $IR^1$ ,  $IR^2$  and  $IR^3$  are the 40, 65, and 90% of maximum allowable depletion, respectively;  $AM^+$  and  $AM^-$  are chicory plant inoculated with arbuscular mycorrhizal, without inoculation, respectively;  $E^1$  and  $E^2$  are Sefid Isfahan and Siyah Shiraz ecotypes, respectively. ns, not significant; \* and \*\*, significant at the 0.05 and 0.01 level of probability, respectively.