

Appendix 1

Description of the screening module for HCV HPT

To calculate the number of screens necessary for diagnosing a given number of HCV-infected cases, a screening module was developed. The screening module used the inputs and assumptions of the model (annual population by age, number of annual new diagnoses) in conjunction with the outputs (undiagnosed HCV-infected population that was either asymptomatic or not linked-to-care) to calculate the number of annual HCV antibody and HCV RNA tests necessary for a given scenario. Only cases that were either asymptomatic or not yet linked to care were considered as part of the case-finding algorithm. It was assumed that cases with advanced liver disease were symptomatic and would seek care with or without a screening strategy. Therefore, each newly diagnosed case of advanced liver disease would require one HCV antibody and one HCV RNA test, regardless of the screening strategy.

Since the share of symptomatic and linked-to-care cases varies by stage of liver disease, the input number of newly diagnosed cases were segmented by stage of liver disease, with the more advanced stages being diagnosed first. The modelled disease burden was used in this segmentation. It was also assumed that cases of spontaneously cleared HCV infection were diagnosed at the same rate as the asymptomatic chronically infected cases.

To calculate the size of the population eligible for screening, the module tracked the populations with a history of screening, diagnosis, or SVR. It was assumed that each person would receive at most one HCV antibody screen, and populations with a history of diagnosis or SVR would be excluded from future screening. Additionally, populations outside of the age range eligible for screening were excluded.

The number needed to screen (NNS) to find one HCV antibody-positive case was calculated as shown in Equations 1–2. Next, the number of annual HCV antibody screens performed was calculated as shown in Equation 3. Lastly, it was assumed that every newly diagnosed HCV antibody-positive case was followed up with an HCV RNA test. The economic impact of each screening strategy was calculated using the price of HCV antibody and HCVRNA screening tests, applied to the total number of anti-HCV and HCVRNA screens performed, respectively, in each scenario.

Equation 1. Number needed to screen to diagnose one HCV antibody-positive case, unadjusted, in year t

$$NNS_t^* = \frac{1}{\frac{\text{Undiagnosed, asymptomatic or not linked-to-care HCV antibody-positive cases}_t}{\text{Population eligible for screening}_t}}, \text{ where}$$

Population eligible for screening $_t$ was the population eligible for screening in year t . Eligibility of screening was determined by birth year (by scenario), history of having received a screen within the screening strategy (excluded), history of diagnosis (excluded), and history of SVR (excluded).

Equation 2. Number needed to screen to diagnose one HCV antibody-positive case, adjusted, in year t

$$NNS_t = \frac{NNS_t^*}{k}, \text{ where}$$

NNS_t^* is the number needed to screen to diagnose one HCV antibody-positive case, unadjusted, in year t ;

k is the ratio of prevalence in the population where the screening is conducted to that in the general population.

Equation 3. Number of HCV antibody screens performed, in year t

Newly diagnosed symptomatic HCV antibody-positive cases $_t$ + $NNS_t \times$

Newly diagnosed asymptomatic or not yet linked-to-care cases $_t$, where

NNS_t is the number needed to screen to diagnose one HCV antibody-positive case in year t .

Appendix 2

Estimating the size of the PWID population, by birth cohort, and associated costs

A standardized mortality ratio (SMR) of 10.0 (9.5–29.9) is applied in the model to the 15–44-year-old HCV-infected population, considering the estimated share of the HCV-infected population that is actively injecting. For Italy, the share of the HCV-infected population that is actively injecting was estimated as follows:

	IDU Calculation	Total	Source
<i>a</i>	Population in 15–64 (in 2011)	38,963,281	1
<i>b</i>	Prevalence of IDU in 15–64 (in 2011)	0.38%	1
<i>c</i>	Number of PWID in 15–64 (in 2011)	148,060	<i>a</i> × <i>b</i>
<i>d</i>	HCV prevalence in PWID	54%	1
<i>e</i>	Viremic rate	74.1%	2
<i>f</i>	Total viremic PWID (15–64)	59,245	<i>c</i> × <i>d</i> × <i>e</i>
<i>g</i> ₁	HCV-infected 15–64-year-olds	466,600	Model outcome
<i>h</i> ₁	Share of HCV-infected population that are PWID (15–64)	12.7%	$\frac{f}{g_1}$

**The share of PWID in the 55–64 cohort is assumed to be 50% of the 15–54 cohort (7.7% in 55–64; 15.4% in 15–54)

The average screening cost per person, considering the proportion of PWID in each cohort, was calculated as follows:

Equation 4. Proportion of PWID for scenario *S*, comprising birth years *a* through *b*

$$\text{Proportion of PWID}_S = \frac{\sum_{i=a}^b \text{Cases in 2020}_i \times \% \text{PWID}_i}{\sum_{i=a}^b \text{Cases in 2020}_i}$$

For example, proportion of PWID for scenario A is $\frac{83,651 \times 0.0\% + 75,410 \times 7.7\% + 101,154 \times 15.4\%}{83,651 + 75,410 + 101,154} = 8.2\%$.

Equation 5. Average screening cost per person for scenario *S*

Average screening cost_{*S*} = Proportion of PWID_{*S*} × Price PWID + (1 – Proportion of PWID_{*S*}) × Price non-PWID

For example, average screening cost per person for scenario A is $8.2\% \times 55 + (1 - 8.2\%) \times 15 = 18$.

	Birth year, starting	Birth year, ending	Age range in 2020	Cases in 2020	% of cases in 2020	% PWID	Cost PWID	Cost Non-PWID	Total Cost
1	1935	1947	73–85	224,723	38%	0.0%	0	15	15
2	1948	1957	63–72	83,651	14%	0.0%	0	15	15
3	1958	1967	53–62	75,410	13%	7.7%	4	14	18
4	1968	1977	43–52	101,154	17%	15.4%	8	13	21
5	1978	1987	33–42	68,021	12%	15.4%	8	13	21
6	1988	2005	15–32	29,306	5%	15.4%	8	13	21
7	2006	2020	0–14	3,770	1%	0.0%	0	15	15
A	1948	1977	43–72	260,216	44%	8.2%	5	14	18
B	1958	1977	43–62	176,564	30%	12.1%	7	13	20
C	1948	1987	33–72	328,237	56%	9.7%	5	14	19
D	1935	2020	0–85	586,036	100%	6.2%	3	14	17

Screening scenario inputs, 2018–2031

The following table summarizes the estimated proportion of people who inject drugs and associated screening cost, per person, in each strategy.

GHSS elimination	<2020	2020–2022	2023–2025	% PWID†	Screening Cost (per person, €)
Screening 1948–1977	Risk	1948–1977	1948–1977	8.2%	18
Screening 1958–1977	Risk	1958–1977	1958–1977	12.1%	20
Graduated screening 1	Risk	1968–1987	1948–1967	9.7%	19
Graduated screening 2	Risk	1948–1967	1968–1987	9.7%	19
Universal screening	Risk	All ages	All ages	6.2%	17

GHSS: Global Health Sector Strategy; PWID: Persons who inject drugs; Risk: risk-based screening assumes that those offered a test are approximately 5 times more likely to be infected than the general population

†See calculation in Appendix 2 [Appendix References 1,2]

References

1. United Nations Office of Drugs and Crimes (UNODC). Statistics, People who inject drugs. 2017. Available from: <https://data.unodc.org/>
2. Andriulli A, Stroffolini T, Valvano MR, et al. Declining prevalence and increasing awareness of hepatitis C virus infection in Italy: a population-based survey. *Eur J Intern Med*. 2018; **53**:79-84.