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#### Abstract

The structure-activity relationship of new 5,7-disubstituted-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazines as adenosine receptors (ARs) antagonists has been explored. The introduction of a benzylamino group at C 5 with a free amino group at C 7 increases the affinity toward all the ARs subtypes $\left(\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=\right.$ $\left.94.6 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=2,214 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=30.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. Replacing the free amino group at C 7 with a phenylureido moiety yields a potent and quite selective $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist (14: $\left.\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=216.0 ; \mathrm{hA}_{3} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=20.6\right)$. This trend diverges from the analysis on the pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine series previously reported. With the help of an in silico receptor-driven approach, we have rationalized these observations and elucidated from a molecular point of view the role of the benzylamino group at C5 in determining affinity toward the $h_{2 A}$ AR.
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Abbreviations. AR, adenosine receptor; CCPA, 2-chloro-N6-cyclopentyladenosine; CHO, Chinese hamster ovary; IEele, per residue electrostatic contributions to the interaction energy; IEFs, Interaction Energy Fingerprints; IEhyd, per residue hydrophobic contributions to the interaction energy; NECA, 5'-N-ethylcarboxamidoadenosine; PTP, pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5c]pyrimidine; R-PIA, R-(-)-N6-(2-phenylpropyl)adenosine; TEA, triethylamine; ZM241385, 4-[2-[7-amino-2-(2-furyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5-yl-amino]ethylphenol; TM, transmembrane; TT, [1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine; EL2, second extracellular loop.

## 1. Introduction

Adenosine receptors (ARs) are members of the G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) superfamily. To date, four ARs subtypes - the $\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{AR}, \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}, \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ - are currently known ${ }^{1}$, that exert their physiological functions through the activation or inhibition of various second messenger systems. In particular, the modulation of adenylate cyclase activity is considered the principal intracellular signal transduction mediated by these receptors ${ }^{2,3}$. Activation or blockade of ARs is responsible for a wide range of effects in numerous organ systems suggesting potential therapeutic applications of ARs modulators. In particular, the cardioprotective ${ }^{4,5}$ and neuroprotective ${ }^{6,7}$ effects associated with ARs activation have been clearly demonstrated during periods of cardiac and cerebral ischemia, respectively. Moreover, the use of antagonists of distinct AR subtypes could be useful in the treatment of asthma ${ }^{8,9}$ or neurological diseases such as Parkinson's disease ${ }^{10}$.

In recent years, the synthesis of a large variety of ARs agonists and antagonists for the pharmacological characterization of this GPCRs family has been reported ${ }^{11}$. Diverse classes of heterocyclic derivatives have been proposed as ARs antagonists, exhibiting high levels of both affinity and selectivity. Within this framework, our research groups have extensively investigated the pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine (PTP) nucleus as basis for the design of ARs antagonists ${ }^{12-23}$. Through the modulation of the substitution pattern at the $\mathrm{C} 5\left(\mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\mathrm{PTP}}\right)$ and $\mathrm{N} 7\left(\mathrm{~N} 7{ }^{\mathrm{PTP}}\right)$ positions, potent and selective human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonists (compounds $\mathbf{A}^{15}$ and $\mathbf{B}^{18}$, Chart 1) were reported. Nevertheless, these derivatives, likewise to other tricyclic structures, suffer from limited aqueous solubility and require complicated synthetic routes. To overcome these limitations, we explored in recent years the synthesis of simplified bicyclic systems, such as $[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[1,5-c]$ pyrimidines ${ }^{24}$, and $[1,2,4]$ triazolo[1,5- $\left.a\right][1,3,5]$ triazines (TT) ${ }^{25,22}$. The TT nucleus, in particular, represents one of the most appealing bicyclic cores. In fact, one of the most potent and selective $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR antagonists yet reported - 4-[2-[7-amino-2-(2-furyl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5-yl-amino]ethylphenol (ZM241385, Chart 2) - is based on this
scaffold ${ }^{26,27}$. This compound also binds with good affinity to the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}(28 \mathrm{nM})$, and its tritiated form is used as radioligand for this receptor subtype ${ }^{28}$.

$K i h A_{1} A R=101 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{Ki} h \mathrm{~A}_{2} A \mathrm{AR}=\mathbf{2 . 8 0} \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{Ki} h \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}=90 \mathrm{nM}$
$\mathrm{Ki} \mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}=300 \mathrm{nM}$


Chart 1. Previously reported pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine as hARs antagonists.


ZM 241385

Chart 2. Structure of ZM 241385.
In recent years ${ }^{22}$, we explored the structure-activity-relationship (SAR) of the introduction at C5 position of the TT scaffold of solubilizing groups aimed at enhancing both the aqueous solubility and the physicochemical properties. The resulting compounds maintained potency at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ and, in some cases, subtype selectivity. In another study ${ }^{25}$, we investigated the effect of the substitutions at both the C 5 and C 7 position: compounds bearing a free amino group in C 7 showed good affinity at the rat (r) $\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, whereas the introduction of a phenylureido moiety slightly
increased the affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR with respect to the unsubstituted derivatives. In the present study, we further explore both the C5 and C7 positions. The newly synthesized compounds have been assessed at all four hARs, and the results rationalized from a molecular point of view with the help of computational methodologies.

## 2. Results and Discussion

### 2.1 Chemistry

All the compounds were synthesized according to the procedure reported in Schemes 1-3. 5,7-Diphenoxy-2-furoyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine (5) and 7-amino-5-phenoxy-2-furoyl$[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[1,5-a][1,3,5]$ triazine ( $\mathbf{6}$ ) were obtained following the procedure reported in literature by Caulkett et al. as depicted in Scheme $1^{29}$.



Scheme 1. Reagents: (i) PhOH , rfx, 5 h; (ii) a. $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{NH}_{2} \cdot \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}, \mathrm{DCM}$, rt, 12 h ; b. $i \mathrm{PrOH}$, rt, 14 ; (iii) 2-furoylchloride, TEA, DCM, rt, 15h; (iv) $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$, xylene, rfx, 14 h; (v) $\mathrm{NH}_{3} / \mathrm{MeOH}$, rfx, 4 h. By reacting compound 6 with the appropriate amines in ethanol, in a sealed tube at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, the 7 -amino-5-aminosubstituted-2-furoyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine derivatives (7-10) were obtained. Compounds 8-10 were already reported by Caulkett \& coll ${ }^{30}$. Reaction of derivatives 7-10 with phenylisocyanate gives the corresponding 7-phenylureas (11-14) while reaction with benzoyl chloride or phenylacetyl chloride affords the corresponding 7-amido derivatives (15-22, Scheme 2).


Scheme 2. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-72 \mathrm{~h}$; (ii) PhNCO, dioxane, rfx, 24 h ; (iii) $\mathrm{R}^{\prime} \mathrm{COCl}$, TEA, dioxane, rfx, 24h.

The synthetic pathway to obtain the 5,7-diaminosubstituted compounds (26-37) is reported in Scheme 3. Firstly, the 5,7-diphenoxy-2-furoyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5- $a$ ][1,3,5]triazine (5) was substituted at the C 5 position with different amines in ethanol, in a sealed tube at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (23-25). Then, the treatment of the obtained derivatives (23-25) with a second appropriate amine in ethanol, in a sealed tube at $70-100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, yielded the desired 5,7 -diaminosubstituted TT derivatives $\mathbf{( \mathbf { 2 6 } , \mathbf { 2 7 } , \mathbf { 2 9 } -}$ 32,34-36). Compounds substituted with the same amino group both at the C5 and C7 positions $(\mathbf{2 8}, \mathbf{3 3}, \mathbf{3 7})$ were obtained by reaction between compound 5 and the desired amine at higher temperature $\left(90-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ or using amine in larger excess (5 eq).


Scheme 3. Reagents: (i) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 60-120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3-24$ h; (ii) $\mathrm{NH}_{2} \mathrm{R}$, $\mathrm{EtOH}, 70-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 24-72 \mathrm{~h}$.

### 2.2 Biological Activity

The synthesized compounds (7-37) were tested at the human (h) $\mathrm{A}_{1}, \mathrm{~A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ ARs expressed in CHO cells: $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CCPA $\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}\right),\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA $\left(\mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}\right)$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ HEMADO $\left(\mathrm{A}_{3}\right)$ were used as radioligands in binding assays ${ }^{3}$, whereas the activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ was determined through the measurement of the inhibition of NECA-stimulated adenylyl cyclase activity. The receptor binding affinities of the synthesized compounds (7-37) are reported in Table 1. The derivatives can be divided into seven subseries according to the substituent at the C 7 position as compounds bearing: (a) a free amino (710); (b) a phenylureido (11-14); (c) a benzamido (15-18); (d) a phenylacetamido (19-22); (e) a cyclohexylamino (23, 26-29); $(f)$ a benzylamino (24, 30-33); or $(g)$ a 4-fluoro-benzylamino (25, 3437) group.

All the derivatives display poor affinity toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$. The most potent compounds toward $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{2 9})$ possess a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ in the range $63.9-138 \mathrm{nM}$ (i.e. $\mathbf{9}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=138 \mathrm{nM}$; 10: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=$ $\left.94.6 \mathrm{nM} ; 29: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=63.9 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ and belong to the free amino $(\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0})$ or cyclohexylamino (29) subseries. However, derivatives $\mathbf{9}, \mathbf{1 0}$ and $\mathbf{2 9}$ show a better affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ than at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ $\operatorname{AR}\left(\mathbf{9}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=3.56 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM} ; 29: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=15.2 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. In all the seven subseries, a common trend can be observed regarding affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ AR: the derivative bearing a benzylamino moiety at the C5 position exhibits higher affinity with respect to the corresponding alkylamino compounds (e.g. 18: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=1,450 \mathrm{nM}$ vs $\mathbf{1 5}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=10,500 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 6}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=24,400$ nM and 17: $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=7,560 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

Concerning the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$, the majority of the newly synthesized derivatives turned out to be inactive with a $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ value above $30 \mu \mathrm{M}$. The compounds showing $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$ values in the low micromolar range bear the benzylamino moiety at the C 5 position (10: $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=2,214 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 4}: \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=4,360$ nM ; 22: $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=7,617 \mathrm{nM}$; 33: $\mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=11,800 \mathrm{nM}$ ), except for compound 9, which is substituted with a cyclohexylamino group at the same position $\left(\mathbf{9}: \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=1,450 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. At the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ subtype, the most promising compounds belong to the free amino (7-10) and the phenylureido (11-14) subseries. Interestingly, as also in part observed for the $\mathrm{hA}_{1} \mathrm{AR}$, in all the

Table 1. Structures and binding profile of synthesized compounds 7-37.


| Compd | R | R' | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{h A}_{\mathbf{1}} \\ \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{n M} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathbf{h} \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{2 A}} \\ \mathbf{K}_{\mathbf{i}} \mathbf{n M} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{h A}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \\ \mathbf{I C}_{50} \mathbf{n M} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{h A}_{\mathbf{3}} \\ \mathbf{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathbf{n M} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ | $\mathrm{hA}_{3} / \mathrm{hA}_{\mathbf{2 A}}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7 | H | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,570 \\ (2,390-2,770) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 49.3 \\ (48.8-49.8) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 1,560 \\ (1,290-1,880) \end{gathered}$ | 52.1 | 31.6 |
| 8 | H | $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,310 \\ (4,220-4,410) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 64.8 \\ (53.3-78.7) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 568 \\ (481-671) \end{gathered}$ | 66.5 | 8.76 |
| 9 | H | $\mathrm{NHcC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} 138 \\ (133-143) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.56 \\ (2.53-5.02) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,422 \\ (8,500-21,193) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 370 \\ (330-415) \end{gathered}$ | 38.8 | 104 |
| 10 | H | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 94.6 \\ (89.6-99.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.11 \\ (0.83-1.48) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,214 \\ (1,209-4,053) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30.8 \\ (26.8-35.5) \end{gathered}$ | 85.2 | 27.7 |
| 11 | CONHPh | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,850 \\ (2,920-5,070) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 99.6 \\ (61.4-161) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 572 \\ (422-777) \end{gathered}$ | 38.6 | 5.74 |
| 12 | CONHPh | $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,180 \\ (1,090-1,270) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 86.9 \\ (54.7-138) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 170 \\ (145-199) \end{gathered}$ | 13.6 | 1.96 |
| 13 | CONHPh | $\mathrm{NHcC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} 946 \\ (475-1,890) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.87 \\ (4.90-12.7) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 349 \\ (335-364) \end{gathered}$ | 120 | 44.3 |


| 14 | CONHPh | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 311 \\ (171-568) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.44 \\ (1.29-1.60) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4,360 \\ (1,991-9,548) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29.7 \\ (24.3-36.2) \end{gathered}$ | 216 | 20.6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 15 | COPh | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10,500 \\ (8,850-12,400) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 194 \\ (182-206) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 9,240 \\ (7,410-11,500) \end{gathered}$ | 54.1 | 47.6 |
| 16 | COPh | $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24,400 \\ (20,800 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,150 \\ (2,040-2,270) \end{gathered}$ | $>30,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 13,400 \\ (11,900- \end{gathered}$ | 11.3 | 6.23 |
| 17 | COPh | $\mathrm{NHcC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,560 \\ (6,390-8,940) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,020 \\ (828-1,250) \end{gathered}$ | $>30,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,500 \\ (8,170-8,840) \end{gathered}$ | 7.41 | 8.33 |
| 18 | COPh | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,450 \\ (1,170-1,800) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 95.2 \\ (75.3-120) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 250 \\ (241-258) \end{gathered}$ | 15.2 | 2.63 |
| 19 | $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8,960 \\ (8,460-9,500) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 300 \\ (212-424) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 1,670 \\ (1,400-1,980) \end{gathered}$ | 29.9 | 5.57 |
| 20 | $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,150 \\ (6,640-7,700) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 393 \\ (289-533) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 257 \\ (228-290) \end{gathered}$ | 18.2 | 0.65 |
| 21 | $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHcC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,010 \\ (933-1,090) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23.6 \\ (18.3-30.5) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 286 \\ (239-341) \end{gathered}$ | 42.8 | 12.1 |
| 22 | $\mathrm{COCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 676 \\ (619-737) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.39 \\ (4.32-6.73) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7,617 \\ (4,080-14,223) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45.5 \\ (38.6-53.7) \end{gathered}$ | 125 | 8.44 |
| 23 | $\mathrm{cC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | OPh | $\begin{gathered} 757 \\ (575-997) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 113 \\ (85.8-148) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 294 \\ (262-329) \end{gathered}$ | 6.70 | 2.60 |
| 24 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | OPh | $>100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 560 \\ (457-686) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 375 \\ (362-389) \end{gathered}$ | > 178 | 0.67 |
| 25 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | OPh | $>100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 905 \\ (632-1,300) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | > 100,000 | > 110 | > 110 |
| 26 | $\mathrm{cC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 361 \\ (316-412) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 143 \\ (112-182) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 905 \\ (806-1,017) \end{gathered}$ | 2.52 | 6.33 |


| 27 | $\mathrm{cC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | $\begin{gathered} 801 \\ (723-888) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 397 \\ (313-502) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 105 \\ (90-121) \end{gathered}$ | 2.02 | 0.26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 28 | $\mathrm{cC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{NHcC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\begin{gathered} 306 \\ (299-313) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 177 \\ (122-256) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 129 \\ (112-147) \end{gathered}$ | 1.73 | 0.73 |
| 29 | $\mathrm{cC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 63.9 \\ (59.1-69.1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.2 \\ (13.7-16.9) \end{gathered}$ | $>30,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 455 \\ (351-590) \end{gathered}$ | 4.20 | 29.9 |
| 30 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2,770 \\ (2,560-3,000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 173 \\ (135-223) \end{gathered}$ | $>30,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 275 \\ (260-291) \end{gathered}$ | 16.0 | 1.59 |
| 31 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2}$ | > 100,000 | $\begin{gathered} 394 \\ (242-643) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 114 \\ (108-121) \end{gathered}$ | > 253 | 0.29 |
| 32 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHcC} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ |  | no data due | poor solubility |  |  |  |
| 33 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 784 \\ (541-1,140) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20.4 \\ (15.2-27.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,800 \\ (6,373-21,932) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 37.0 \\ (34.2-40.0) \end{gathered}$ | 38.4 | 1.81 |
| 34 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3,080 \\ (2,320-4,100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 235 \\ (178-310) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 557 \\ (498-622) \end{gathered}$ | 13.1 | 2.37 |
| 35 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHcC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ | > 100,000 | $\begin{gathered} 112 \\ (92.4-136) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 1,300 \\ (818-2,050) \end{gathered}$ | > 893 | 11.6 |
| 36 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2} \mathrm{Ph}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,490 \\ (1,130-1,980) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21.8 \\ (15.5-30.8) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 75.3 \\ (61.3-92.5) \end{gathered}$ | 68.3 | 3.45 |
| 37 | $\mathrm{CH}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $\mathrm{NHCH}_{2}-4 \mathrm{~F}-\mathrm{Ph}$ | $>100,000$ | $\begin{gathered} 43.6 \\ (26.0-73.1) \end{gathered}$ | > 30,000 | $\begin{gathered} 123 \\ (103-147) \end{gathered}$ | > 2,293 | 2.82 |

reported subseries the benzylamino substituent at the C5 position gives the best results in terms of affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (i.e. 10: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 4}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.44 ; \mathbf{1 8}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=95.2 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{2 2}$ : $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=5.39 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{2 9}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=15.2 ;$ 33: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=20.4 ; \mathbf{3 6}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=21.8$ ). In particular, compound 10, bearing a free amino group at the C 7 position, is the most potent compound of the series with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ value of 1.11 nM at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR. Compared with the C 7 free amino group, a benzamido moiety in position C 7 leads to a detrimental effect (i.e. 18: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=95.2 \mathrm{nM}$ ), a phenylacetamido moiety partially recovers the affinity (i.e. 22 : $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=5.39 \mathrm{nM}$ ), whereas a phenylureido group restores the affinity (i.e. 14: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Monoalkylamino substituents are also tolerated in position C7, as good affinity values are obtained with a cyclohexyl- (i.e. 29: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=15.2 \mathrm{nM}$ ), benzyl- (i.e. 33: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=20.4 \mathrm{nM}$ ) and 4-fluorobenzyl- (i.e. 36: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=21.8$ $\mathrm{nM})$ amino groups, even though the free amino group ends up being the most promising substitution (i.e. $10: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM}$ ). Compound 10 exhibits an 85 - and 28 -fold selectivity versus $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs, respectively, whereas compound $\mathbf{1 4}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM}\right)$ shows a 216and 20.6 -fold selectivity against the same receptor subtypes. In the phenylureido subseries, the potency decreases in the following order benzyl-> cyclohexyl-> isopropyl-> methyl-amino group (i.e. 14: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM}>13: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=7.87 \mathrm{nM}>12: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=86.9 \mathrm{nM}>11: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=99.6$ $\mathrm{nM})$.

By analyzing the binding data at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, it emerges that the newly synthetized derivatives do not show interesting affinity toward this AR subtype. As also observed for the other ARs, the best results in terms of affinity are obtained with a benzylamino group at C 5 position (i.e. $\mathbf{1 0}$ : $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=$ $\left.30.8 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{1 4}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=29.7 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{2 2}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=45.5 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{3 3}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=37.0 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathbf{3 6}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=75.3 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The best $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist obtained for the series is compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ (phenylureido series), which, further is also one of the most potent derivatives at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

The introduction of an isopropylamino group at C 5 position generally provides a more potent $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR antagonist than the cyclohexylamino group (e.g. 12: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=170 \mathrm{nM}$ vs 13: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=349 \mathrm{nM}$ ). In fact, in the cyclohexylamino series, the C5-isopropylamino derivative (27) is the most potent $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$

AR antagonist with a $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ of 105 nM with respect to the range of affinity (129-905 nM) of the other compounds (26, 28, 29). The introduction of a fluorine atom at the para position of the benzylamino group at C 5 and/or C 7 positions decreases the affinity at the $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (e.g. 33: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}$ $=37.0 \mathrm{nM}$ vs 36: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=75.3 \mathrm{nM}$ vs $\mathbf{3 7}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=123 \mathrm{nM}$ )

In conclusion, biological data suggest that, with a few exceptions, the synthesized derivatives are potent $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR ligands. The affinity of the compounds toward this AR subtype is tuned by the substitution pattern at the C 5 position, whereas the selectivity profile is modulated by the substituent linked to the C 7 position. Interestingly, the introduction of a benzylamino group at C5 combined with a free amino group in C7 yields affinity toward all the ARs subtypes (10: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{1}$ $\left.=94.6 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=2,214 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=30.8 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. The substitution of the free amino group at C 7 with a phenylureido moiety narrows the affinity down to the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR subtypes (14: $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=29.7 \mathrm{nM}\right)$.

### 2.4 Molecular Modelling

To explain from a molecular point of view the observed binding data, we carried out docking simulations of the new derivatives by running a previously reported computational protocol ${ }^{23}$. Selected compounds were docked into the orthosteric pocket of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR X-ray structure (PDB code: 4EIY) and the putative TM binding site of a previously obtained $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR homology model ${ }^{31}$. The selection of the docking poses was based upon optimal interaction geometries with the residues surrounding the binding site and by focusing the attention on derivatives showing $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values falling into the low nanomolar range (arbitrary cut-off $=100 \mathrm{nM}$ ). A general analysis of the thus selected binding modes was performed with the aim to identify the receptor residues involved in the binding. The analysis was derived by computing per residue electrostatic and hydrophobic contributions to the interaction energy (IEele and IEhyd, respectively) and by graphically transferring the data into "Interaction Energy Fingerprints" (IEFs) maps. A more detailed analysis for the ligands showing higher activity toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs subtypes was performed through the inspection of
individual docking poses, as reported in the following.
The IEele map for the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR subtype (Figure 1A) shows five regions of negative potential energy with the most intense corresponding to residues located in TM2, TM6 and EL2. The most effective polar interaction is established with Asn253 (6.55), whereas Tyr 271 (7.36), His250 (6.52) and to a lesser extent Tyr9 (1.35), Glu13 (1.39), Ser 277 (7.42), and Asn181 (5.42) are engaged in secondary interactions.


Figure 1. Per residue IEele and IEhyd maps for the most energetically favorable docking poses of compounds with $\mathrm{Ki}<100 \mathrm{nM}$. The maps have been computed for selected poses of the considered compound inside the orthosteric binding site of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (panels A and B ) and the putative binding site of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (panels C and D ). Electrostatic energy contributions are expressed in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, whereas hydrophobic contributions are in arbitrary units. Ranges reported in the x -axes represent the length of protein sequences (truncated at 300 residues).

The IEhyd map (Figure 1B) displays several residues involved in hydrophobic contacts with the ligands, such as Phe168 (EL2) and Leu249 (6.51), Trp246 (6.48), Val84 (3.32), Ile274 (7.39), and Leu85 (3.33). Similar interaction patterns are also derived for the energetically more favourable poses of the ligands at the hA3 AR (Figure 1C and D): In particular, the most favourable electrostatic interactions involve Asn250 (6.55), while Tyr265 (7.36), Thr87 (3.29), and Glu19 (1.39) establish ancillary interactions. Hydrophobic contacts include, among others, Phe168 (EL2), Trp243 (6.48), Leu246 (6.51), Ile268 (7.39) and Leu90 (3.32).

A detailed analysis of the docking poses was performed for the most active compounds at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs (derivatives 10 and 14). As highlighted in the SAR analysis, the introduction of a benzylamino group at C5 and a free amino group in C7 yields affinity toward all the ARs subtypes ( $\mathbf{1 0}: \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=94.6 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=2,214 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=30.8$ nM ). In Figure 2 A-D the hypothetical binding modes of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ at the four ARs subtypes is reported.


Figure 2. Hypothetical binding modes of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ inside the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}(\mathrm{~A}), \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}(\mathrm{~B}), \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}(\mathrm{C})$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ (D) ARs binding sites. Poses are viewed from the membrane side facing TM6, TM7, and TM1, hydrogen bonds are highlighted as black dashed lines, and side chains of Asn (6.55), Phe (EL2), and

Glu (EL2) are represented as sticks. Residues interacting through hydrophobic contacts with the compounds are rendered as transparent surfaces.

As can be noted, in all the poses the ligand resides in the upper region of the transmembrane (TM) bundle, with the TT core anchored by an aromatic $\pi-\pi$ stacking interaction with Phe (EL2) and the furan ring pointing toward TM6 (see also Video S 1 ). In particular, at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}, \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$, and $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs, (Figure $2 \mathrm{~A}, \mathrm{~B}$, and C, respectively) the scaffold is anchored in the cleft by three hydrogen bonds established by the free amino group at the C 7 position and the N 2 of the triazole ring with the conserved Asn (6.55) and the Glu (EL2) residues. The ligand adopts a conformation that is superimposable with the crystallographic binding mode of ZM 241385 at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (data not shown) with the substituent at C5 exposed toward the extracellular side and interacting with Tyr (7.36) at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ ARs (transparent surfaces in Figure 2A and 2C). The predicted binding mode at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR (Figure 2D) envisages the scaffold shifted toward TM2 with respect to the pose observed at the other ARs subtypes. We ascribe this different placement to the lack of the anchoring hydrogen bond played by Glu (EL2) at the other ARs subtypes, residue that is replaced by a valine in the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$.

As mentioned in the SAR analysis, the introduction of a phenylureido group at C7 in place of a free amino group maintaining the benzylamino moiety at the C 5 narrows the activity spectrum toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ stubtypes (14: $\left.\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=29.7 \mathrm{nM}\right)$. In the hypothetical binding mode of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}\left(\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM}\right.$, Figure 3 A$)$, the scaffold is flipped by about 180 degrees with respect to the predicted placement of compound $\mathbf{1 0}$ (Figure 2B). The nitrogen of the benzylamino group at the C5 position establishes a hydrogen bond interaction with the Glu 169 (EL2) sidechain, whereas the N4 of the triazole ring interacts with Asn253 (6.55). In this position the phenylureido group at C7 points between TM2 and TM3 and establishes hydrophobic contacts with Phe62 (2.60), Val84 (3.32), and Ile274 (7.39), represented as transparent surfaces in Figure 3A.


Figure 3. (A) Hypothetical binding mode of compound 14 inside the putative binding site of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. The pose is viewed from the membrane side facing TM6, TM7, and TM1, hydrogen bonds are highlighted as dashed black lines, and side chains of Asn253 (6.55), Phe168 (EL2), and

Glu169 (EL2) are represented as sticks. Residues interacting through hydrophobic contacts are rendered as transparent surfaces. (B) Interaction Energy Fingerprints (IEFs) for the most active compounds at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR $(\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 4})$ : Electrostatic energy contributions are expressed in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, whereas hydrophobic contributions are in arbitrary units. (C) Hypothetical binding mode of compound $\mathbf{1 4}$ inside the putative binding site of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. The pose is viewed from the membrane side facing TM6, TM7, and TM1, hydrogen bonds are highlighted as dashed black lines, and side chains of Asn250 (6.55) and Phe168 (EL2) are represented as sticks. Residues interacting through hydrophobic contacts are rendered as transparent surfaces.

Consistently to the above described hypothetical binding modes, the IEFs analyses for compounds 10 and 14 at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR (Figure 3B) identify Asn253 (6.55), Glu169 (EL2) and Phe168 (EL2) as the residues mainly contributing to the interaction energy, whereas different interaction patterns are observed for residues belonging to TM2, TM3, and TM7. These patterns, therefore, give rise to the different orientations of the TT scaffold, as depicted in Figure 2B and 3A. A similar trend - e.g. different binding modes depending on the nature of the substituents - was also previously observed for 5-alkylaminopyrazolo-[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine ${ }^{23}$ and 5,8-disubstituted$[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[1,5-c]$ pyrymidine ${ }^{24}$ derivatives.

The hypothetical binding mode of $\mathbf{1 4}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 3C) suggests that the compound might adopts a binding mode similar for the one observed at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$. The main interactions are established with Glu19 (1.39), Asn250 (6.55) and Phe168 (EL2) and an extended pattern of hydrophobic interactions involves with residues located in TM2, TM3 and TM7. With respect to the pose in the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, the scaffold is shifted toward TM2. Again, as also observed for compound $\mathbf{1 0}$, this shift might arise from the lack of the anchoring hydrogen bond (played by Glu 169 at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ $A R$, replaced by a valine residue in the $\left.h A_{3} A R\right)$.

In order to explain and capture from a molecular point of view the signatures of the activity of the TT scaffold at the hARs, we performed a retrospective analysis by comparing the effect of
the substitution at positions C5 and C7 on corresponding analogues belonging to the PTP series previously reported ${ }^{15,18}$. In this analysis, we focused our attention on: (a) the effect of the introduction of a phenylureido moiety in place of the free amino group at C 7 (corresponding to C5 ${ }^{\text {PTP }}$ ) position; (b) the effect of the introduction of a benzylamino substituent at C 5 position, corresponding to the opening of the pyrazole ring of the PTP scaffold (see Chart 3).


Chart 3. Structures of pyrazolo[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidines and [1,2,4]triazolo[1,5$a][1,3,5]$ triazines considered in the retrospective analysis.

As sketched out in Chart 3, the substitution of a free amino group in $\mathrm{C} 5{ }^{\text {PTP }}$ position with a phenylureido moiety causes a shift of the activity from the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ to the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$. In the hypothetical binding modes of compound $\mathbf{A}$ at $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 4A) the free amino group at C5 ${ }^{\text {PTP }}$ establishes a tight hydrogen bond network with Ans253 (6.55) and Glu169 (EL2), and the PTP scaffold is placed with the furan ring pointing toward TM6. In the hypothetical binding mode of compound $\mathbf{B}$ at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ (Figure 4B), the PTP scaffold is turned with the furan ring pointing toward TM3 and the phenylureido group exposed toward the extracellular side. The only anchoring
hydrogen bond in the binding site is established with Ans250 (6.55) through the N7 of the pyrazole ring. These data are consistent with previously reported modelling studies ${ }^{23}$ : the presence of polar (charged) residues (Glu169, His264) in the binding site of the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ AR does not allow ligands with bulky substituents (a phenylureido group in this case) to establish effective interactions. On the other side, these ligands are better accommodated at the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ which binding site lacks charge residues (Glu169 is mutated to Val169).


Figure 4. Hypothetical binding modes of previously reported compound $\mathbf{A}(A)$ and $\mathbf{B}(B)$ inside the orthosteric binding site of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ and the putative binding cleft of $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$, respectively. Poses are viewed from the membrane side facing TM6, TM7, and TM1, hydrogen bonds are
highlighted as black dashed lines, and side chains of receptor main residues involved in the binding are represented as sticks. Residues interacting through hydrophobic contacts with the compounds are rendered as transparent surfaces.

The same substitution on the TT scaffold, however, does not produce the same shift of the activity (Chart 3). In fact, the introduction of a phenylureido group at C7 confers activity at both ARs subtypes. The retained affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, in particular, can be ascribed to the presence of the benzylamino group at C5: the nitrogen atom of this moiety (compound 14, Figure 2C) establishes a hydrogen bond interaction with Glu169 (EL2) that counterbalances the disruption of the hydrogen bond network provided by the free amino group at C7 (compound 10, Figure 2B). Within the PTP series this balance of interactions is not possible due to the geometric constraints imposed by the pyrazole ring.

## 3. Conclusions

We have presented a novel series of 5,7-disubstituted-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazines as adenosine receptors antagonists. The SAR analysis has revealed that the newly synthetized compounds show affinity for the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs depending on the substitution patterns at the C5 and C7 positions. In particular, the substituent at C5 tunes the potency of the compounds, whereas the moiety linked to C 7 modulates the selectivity profile. A benzylamino group at the C 5 position combined with a free amino group at the C7 position yields affinity toward all the ARs subtypes (compound 10: $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{1}=94.6 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=1.11 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{IC}_{50} \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}=2,214 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{hA}_{3}=30.8$ $\mathrm{nM})$. The replacement of the free amino group at C 7 with a phenylureido moiety yields a potent and quite selective $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ antagonist (compound 14: $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR} \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}=1.44 \mathrm{nM} ; \mathrm{hA}_{1} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=216.0$; $\left.\mathrm{hA}_{3} / \mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}=20.6\right)$ and narrows the activity spectrum toward the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and the $\mathrm{hA}_{3} \mathrm{AR}$ subtypes. The observed trend diverges from the analyses on the pyrazolo-[4,3-e][1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-c]pyrimidine (PTP) series we have reported on in the past. With the help of an in silico receptor-driven approach,
we have elucidated that the key to retain activity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$ resides in the substituent attached at C5: the benzylamino group interacts with the Glu169 (EL2) thus counterbalancing the loss of the hydrogen bond network provided by the free amino group at C 7 . On the other side, the static depiction on the final stage of the ligand recognition offered by the docking approach does not allow to fully explain the selectivity profile of the newly synthetized compounds thus suggesting that the selectivity game might be played prior the ligand reaches the orthosteric site. To this aim, a better depiction could be offered by methodologies that take into account the time-dependent evolution of the molecular system, such as classical or biased/supervised molecular dynamics approaches.

## 4. Experimental Section

### 4.1 Chemistry

General. Reactions were routinely monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) on silica gel (precoated $\mathrm{F}_{254}$ Macherey-Nagel aluminium sheets). Flash chromatography was performed using Macherey-Nagel 230-400 mesh silica gel or Macherey-Nagel aluminium oxide 90 neutral. Light petroleum ether refers to the fractions boiling at $40-60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Melting points were determined on a Büchi-Tottoli instrument and are uncorrected. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ were determined in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$, DMSO- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, acetone- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ or $\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}$ solutions with a Varian Gemini 200 spectrometer (University of Trieste), peaks positions are given in parts per million ( $\delta$ ) downfield relative to the central peak of the solvents, and J values are given in Hz. The following abbreviations were used: s, singlet; bs, broad singlet; d, doublet; dd, double doublet; bd, broad doublet; t, triplet; m, multiplet. Electrospray mass spectra were recorded on an ESI Bruker 4000 Esquire spectrometer, and compounds were dissolved in methanol. Elemental analyses indicated by the symbols of the elements were performed by the microanalytical laboratory of Dipartimento di Scienze Chimiche e Farmaceutiche, University of Trieste, and were within $\pm 0.4 \%$ of the theoretical values for $\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}$, and N .

Synthesis of 2,4,6-triphenoxy-[1,3,5]triazine (2). A mixture of the commercial available cyanuric
chloride ( $184.4 \mathrm{~g}, 1.0 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in phenol (3.0-4.0 mol) and refluxed for 5 h . The hot reaction was extracted with methanol and a white solid was obtained. Yield quantitative; $\mathrm{mp} 235^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: ~ 7.29-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}), 7.17-7.25(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.07-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of (4,6-diphenoxy-[1,3,5]triazin-2-yl)-hydrazine (3). To a solution of compound 2 ( 36 g , $0.1 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dichloromethane $(500 \mathrm{~mL})$, hydrazine monohydrate was added ( $5 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.1 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the reaction was stirred overnight. The solvent was removed and the residue suspended in isopropanol ( 300 mL ) and stirred for 14 hours. Then the white solid was filtered off and 24.5 g of title compound were obtained ( 24.5 g ). Yield $83 \%$; mp $107{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.34$ (bs, 2H), 7.12-7.30 (m, 6H), 7.35-7.46 (m, 4H), $9.20(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of furan-2-carboxylic acid $N^{\prime}$-(4,6-diphenoxy[1,3,5]triazin-2-yl)-hydrazide (4). Compound 3 ( $24.5 \mathrm{~g}, 0.083 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) was dissolved in dichloromethane ( 240 mL ), triethylamine (TEA) $(11.6 \mathrm{~mL}, 0.083 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added the solution, and then a solution of 2-furoyl chloride $(4.91 \mathrm{~mL}$, $0.05 \mathrm{~mol})$ in dichloromethane ( 60 mL ) was added slowly maintaining reaction at $0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. The mixture was stirred for 3 hours then 2-furoyl chloride was added again ( $3.27 \mathrm{~g}, 0.033 \mathrm{~mol}$ ) and the reaction was stirred for 12 hours at room temperature. The mixture was washed with water ( $2 \times 200 \mathrm{~mL}$ ) and brine ( 100 mL ). The organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc 4/ Light petroleum 6) to provide compound 4 as a white solid (16.1 g). Yield $49.8 \%$; mp $183{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.7(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8, J=3.4,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12-7.45(\mathrm{~m}, 13 \mathrm{H}), 8.28(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$.

Synthesis of 2-furan-2-yl-5,7-diphenoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine (5). The hydrazide derivative $4(7.0 \mathrm{~g}, 0.018 \mathrm{~mol})$ was dissolved in xylene $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}(17 \mathrm{~g}, 0.12 \mathrm{~mol})$ was added and the mixture was refluxed for 14 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane $(250 \mathrm{~mL})$ and washed with a mixture of water and brine ( 3 x 80 mL ). The organic layer was dried, concentrated and purified by flash chromatography (EtOAc 2/Light Petroleum 8) to provide compound 5 as a white solid ( 1.4 g ). Yield $21 \%$; mp 246-248 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 6.59(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.8, J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.11-7.51(\mathrm{~m}, 11 \mathrm{H})$, 7.63 (s, 1H).

Synthesis of 2-furan-2-yl-5-phenoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-ylamine (6). To a solution of compound $5(580 \mathrm{mg}, 1.56 \mathrm{mmol})$ in methanol $(40 \mathrm{~mL}) 5 \mathrm{~mL}$ of ammonia 7 N solution in methanol were added, the reaction was then refluxed for 4 hours. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure; the residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7) and precipitated from diethyl ether and light petroleum, providing the desired compound $\mathbf{6}$ as white solid (423 mg). Yield $92 \%$; white solid; mp $253{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (EtOAc-Light petroleum); ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d $\mathrm{d}_{6}$ ) $\delta: 6.70(\mathrm{dd}, J=2, J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}), 7.22-7.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}), 7.42-7.49(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}), 7.91(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=2,1 \mathrm{H}), 8.99(\mathrm{bd}, 2 \mathrm{H})$.

General procedure for the synthesis of $N^{5}$-substituted-2-furan-2-yl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (7-10). Compound 6 was dissolved in ethanol and 1.5-10 eq of the desired amine were added. Reaction was stirred in a sealed tube at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for a time that could vary from 3 to 72 hours. Reaction was monitored by TLC using EtOAc 1/ Light petroleum 1 as eluent. The solvent was then removed and the residue was purified by column chromatography to give the desired compound. The solid was suspended in EtOAc, precipitated with light petroleum and filtered.

2-(Furan-2-yl)- $N^{5}$-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (7). $1 \mathrm{~g}(3.40 \mathrm{mmol})$ of compound 6 was reacted with 2.11 mL of methylamine $33 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ solution in ethanol ( $17 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) for 4 hours. Eluent of column chromatography was EtOAc. White solid ( $300 \mathrm{mg}, 38 \%$ ); mp $244{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.8,3 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.32-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.86(\mathrm{~s}$, 1H); 8.14 (bs, 2H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3284,2884,1657,1586,1514,1496,1407,1369,1316,1227$, 910, 773. Anal. ( $\mathrm{C}_{9} \mathrm{H}_{9} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}$ ) C, H, N.

2-Furan-2-yl-N ${ }^{5}$-isopropyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (8). 485 mg ( 1.65 mmol ) of compound 6 were reacted with 1.4 mL of isopropylamine ( $16.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 10 \mathrm{eq}$ ) for 24 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 4/ Light petroleum 6 and ending at EtOAc 9/ Light petroleum 1. White solid ( $370 \mathrm{mg}, 86.6 \%$ ); mp $209{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ : 1.14 (d, J=6.6, 6H); 4.01-4.10 (m, 1H); 6.63 (s, 1H); 7.04 (s, 1H); 7.29 (d, J=7.8, 1H); 7.86 (s, 1H);
8.07 (bs, 2H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3418,2876,1659,1608,1572,1514,1362,1324,1182,783,699$.

Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$N^{5}$-Cyclohexyl-2-furan-2-yl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (9). 500 mg (1.70 mmol ) of compound $\mathbf{6}$ were reacted with 0.97 mL of cyclohexylamine ( $8.5 \mathrm{mmol}, 5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) for 4 hours. Eluent of column chromatography was EtOAc 8/ Dichloromethane 2. White solid ( $195 \mathrm{mg}, 38.3 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp}>250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right)$ ) $\delta: 1.20-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.57-1.90(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.72(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.67(\mathrm{~s}$, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.04(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.32(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.4,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.07$ (bs, 2H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3440,2919$, 2853, 1667, 1624, 1590, 1493, 1408, 1322, 1011, 765, 738. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. In this reaction were obtained also 160 mg of $\mathrm{N}^{5}, \mathrm{~N}^{7}$-dicyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5$a][1,3,5]$ triazine- 5,7 -diamine (28, 24.7\%).
$N^{5}$-Benzyl-2-furan-2-yl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (10). $500 \mathrm{mg}(1.70 \mathrm{mmol})$ of compound $\mathbf{6}$ were reacted with 0.28 mL of benzylamine ( $2.55 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) for 72 hours. Eluent of column chromatography was EtOAc 8/ Dichloromethane 2. Pale yellow solid (140 mg, 26.8\%); mp $157{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.52(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.66(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.10-7.42(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.99(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.23$ (bs, 2H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3418,2072,1655,1650,1632,1588$, 1504, 1486, 1415, 1322, 770, 621. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General procedure for the synthesis of 1-(2-(furan-2-yl)-5-(substitutedamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-3-phenylurea (11-14). The appropriate $\mathrm{N}^{5}$-substituted-2-furan-2-yl$[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[1,5-a][1,3,5]$ triazine- 5,7 -diamine ( $\mathbf{7 - 1 0}, 0.3 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) was dissolved in 5 mL of dry $1,4-$ dioxane and 0.45 mmol of phenylisocyanate ( $49 \mu \mathrm{~L}, 1.5 \mathrm{eq}$ ) were added. The solution was stirred at reflux for 24h. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc 3/ light petroleum 7 or EtOAc 4/ Light petroleum 6). The solvent was then removed and the residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7) to give the desired compound (11-14). The solid was suspended in EtOAc, precipitated with light petroleum and filtered.

1-(2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(methylamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-3-phenylurea (11).
White solid ( $15.8 \mathrm{mg}, 15 \%$ ); mp $194{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 2.89(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.4,3 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$;
7.13-7.19 (m, 2H); 7.37 (t, $J=7.6,2 H$ ); 7.66 (d, $J=7.6,2 H) ; 7.93$ (s, 1H); 8.26 (bs, 1H); 10.24 (bs, 1H); 10.57 (s, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3044,1718,1633,1614,1538,1513,1486,1407,1396,1308$, 1241, 1185, 1107, 1011, 967, 773, 749, 700, 688, 627. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(isopropylamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-3-phenylurea (12). Pale yellow solid ( $9 \mathrm{mg}, 8 \%$ ); mp $173{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right.$ ) $\delta: 1.22(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.11(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.71$ (s, 1H); 7.13 (s, 2H); 7.38 (s, 2H); 7.63 (s, 2H); 7.92 (s, 1H); 8.18 (bs, 1H); 10.26 (bs, 1H); 10.46 (s, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3403,1647,1527,1512,1447,1430,1308,1237,1182,1131,1080,1012$, 968, 686. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(5-Cyclohexylamino-2-furan-2-yl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-3-phenylurea (13). White solid ( $15 \mathrm{mg}, 12 \%$ ); mp $216{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right.$ ) $\delta: 1.14-1.31(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.61-1.98(\mathrm{~m}$, 5H); 3.77 (bs, 1H); 6.71 (s, 1H); 7.12 (bs, 2H); 7.37 (t, $J=7.6,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.64$ (d, J=7.6, 2H); 7.92 (s, 1H); 8.16 (bs, 1H); 10.30 (bs, 1H); 10.43 (s, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3418,2938,2854,1707,1645,1593$, 1530, 1511, 1446, 1409, 1310, 1230, 1181, 1131, 1013, 968, 904, 884, 776, 746, 690. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

1-(5-Benzylamino-2-furan-2-yl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-3-phenylurea (14). Pale yellow solid ( $29 \mathrm{mg}, 23 \%$ ); mp $142{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.59(\mathrm{~d}, J=5,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 7.12 (bs, 2H); 7.21-7.53 (m, 7H); 7.66 (d, J=7.7, 2H); 7.93 (s, 1H); 8.85 (bs, 1H);10.28 (bs, 1H); $10.58(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3369,1700,1640,1532,1508,1449,1364,1353,1311,1238,1182$, 1133, 1012, 970, 904, 777, 744, 700, 692. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{~N}_{8} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

General procedure for the synthesis of N-(2-(furan-2-yl)-5-(substitutedamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)benzamide (15-18) and $N$-(2-(furan-2-yl)-5-(substitutedamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-2-phenylacetamide (19-22). 0.3 mmol of $\mathrm{N}^{5}$-substituted-2-furan-2-yl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (7-10) were dissolved in 5 mL of 1,4dioxane, then 0.36 mmol of benzoylchloride ( $46 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, for compounds $\mathbf{1 5 - 1 8}$ ) or phenylacetylchloride ( $56 \mathrm{mg}, 48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$, for compounds $\mathbf{1 9 - 2 2}$ ) and $0.36 \mathrm{mmol}(36 \mathrm{mg}, 50 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ ) of TEA were added to the solution. Reaction was stirred under reflux for 24 h and then it was monitored by

TLC (EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7 or EtOAc 4/ Light petroleum 6). Reactions do not go to completion. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure; the residue was dissolved in dichloromethane and washed three times with water and brine. Organic layers were collected, dried on sodium sulfate anhydrous and the solvent was removed. The crude was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ Light petroleum mixtures) to give the desired compounds 15-22 that were further suspended in EtOAc and precipitated with light petroleum.

N-(2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(methylamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)benzamide
(15).

Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. White solid (23 mg, 23\%); mp $233{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 3.49(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.34-$ 7.48 (m, 5H); 7.90 (s, 1H); 8.80 (bs, 1H); 8.89 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3397,1689,1644,1604$, 1573, 1506, 1430, 1418, 1372, 1341, 1318, 1241, 1220, 1180, 1119, 1040, 1013, 782, 730, 698. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{13} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$N$-(2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(isopropylamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)benzamide
Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. Pale yellow solid ( $33.8 \mathrm{mg}, 31 \%$ ); mp $110{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 1.42(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.6,6 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.74-5.25(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H})$; 6.69 (s, 1H); 7.12 (s, 1H); 7.23-7.71 (m, 5H); 7.90 (s, 1H); 8.75 (bs, 1H); 8.83 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3424,2988,2927,1654,1560,1512,1448,1404,1270,1178,1126,1078,1015,974,781$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(5-(Cyclohexylamino)-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)benzamide
Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 2/ Light petroleum 8. White solid ( $33.9 \mathrm{mg}, 28 \%$ ); mp $220{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}^{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta$ :
1.17-1.36 (m, 3H); 1.62-2.04 (m, 7H); 4.59 (bs, 1H); 6.69 (s, 1H); 7.13 (s, 1H); 7.33-7.50 (m, 5H); 7.90 (s, 1H); 8.77 (bs, 1H); 8.87 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) cm ${ }^{-1}: 3422$, 2929, 2856, 1657, 1599, 1555, 1511, 1450, 1409, 1324, 1276, 1263, 1248, 1178, 1127, 1012, 906, 782, 733, 697. MS-ESI (MeOH): $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}=404.3(\mathrm{M}+1) ; 426.3(\mathrm{M}+23) ; 442.4(\mathrm{M}+39)$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{21} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 2/ Light petroleum 8. White solid (32 mg, 26\%); mp $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 5.26(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.69(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.12(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.17-$ 7.71 (m, 10H); $7.90(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.82(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.90$ (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3422,1660,1625,1599$, $1556,1512,1453,1404,1366,1324,1292,1253,1178,1128,1075,1014,970,907,782,727,699$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(methylamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-2-phenylacetamide (19). 72 h of reaction. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 2/ Light petroleum 8. White solid ( $20 \mathrm{mg}, 19 \%$ ); mp> $250{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 2.83(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.05(\mathrm{~s}$, 2H); 6.70 (s, 1H); $7.14(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.33(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.07$ (bs, 1H); 11.22 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3431,1654,1636,1618,1542,1508,1459,1404,1342,1303,1270,1214,1178,1110,1008$, 972, 903, 882, 776, 701, 686. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(2-(Furan-2-yl)-5-(isopropylamino)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-2-phenylacetamide (20). Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 4/ Light petroleum 6. White solid ( $31 \mathrm{mg}, 27 \%$ ); mp $189{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 1.17(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.3,6 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.90-4.31(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ;$ $6.71(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.13(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.19-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.92(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.8,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 11.27(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3404,2973,2933,1736,1646,1619,1588,1542,1522,1432,1413,1388,1366,1341$, $1314,1265,1224,1178,1136,1104,1076,1031,1014,975,905,884,861,780,734,700$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{19} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-(5-(Cyclohexylamino)-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-2-
phenylacetamide (21). Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. Yellow solid ( $42 \mathrm{mg}, 34 \%$ ); mp $200{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{6}\right) \delta: 1.13-1.28(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H})$; 1.57-1.88 (m, 5H); 3.74 (bs, 1H); 4.05 (bs, 2H); 6.71 (s, 1H); 7.13 (s, 1H); 7.33 (bs, 5H); 7.92 (s, 1 H ); 8.06 (d, $J=7.4,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 11.20 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3392,2927,2909,1740,1646,1618$, $1590,1560,1540,1522,1496,1458,1406,1360,1307,1262,1226,1178,1163,1144,1106,1050$, 1010, $968,826,779,754,742,704,680,664$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.
$N$-(5-(Benzylamino)-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-yl)-2-phenylacetamide
(22). Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. Yellow solid ( $25.5 \mathrm{mg}, 20 \%$ ); mp $145{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\right.$ acetone-d $\left._{6}\right) \delta: 4.31(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.73(\mathrm{t}, J=7.2,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.65$ (m, 1H); 7.12 (d, $J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.21-7.65(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.79(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.87(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 9.75(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \mathrm{IR}$ (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3420,2355,1734,1644,1620,1539,1520,1496,1454,1420,1388,1360,1314,1267$, $1220,1159,1134,1106,1098,1075,1028,1013,973,905,884,779,742,700$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{23} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right)$ C, H, N.

## General procedure for the synthesis of N-alkyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-5-phenoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-

 a][1,3,5]triazin-7-amine (23-25) and N5,N7-di(4-fluorobenzyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (37). 500 mg of compound $\mathbf{5}$ ( 1.348 mmol ) were dissolved in 10 mL of ethanol. 1.348 mmol of the desired amine were added to the solution and the reaction was stirred at $60^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7). When the starting material was disappeared, the solvent was removed and the crude purified by column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 2/ Light petroleum 8.N-Cyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-5-phenoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-amine (23). White solid (264 mg, 52\%); mp $178{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}_{\mathrm{d}}^{6}\right) ~ \delta: ~ 0.94-1.41(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.41-1.95(\mathrm{~m}, 7 \mathrm{H})$; 3.86 (bs, 1H); 6.69 (dd, $J=3.2, J=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.12(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.19-7.38(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.46(\mathrm{t}, J=7.6$, 2H); 7.91 (s, 1H); 9.25 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3388,2930,2856,2362,2057,1640,1603,1560$, $1522,1511,1490,1451,1418,1385,1321,1294,1228,1186,1128,1073,1060,1012,974,906$, 885, 779, 754, 740, 690, 650. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{20} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N-Benzyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-5-phenoxy-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-7-amine (24). White solid (170 mg, 33\%); mp $190{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right) \delta: 4.78(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.8,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.55(\mathrm{dd}, J=3.4, J=1.8,1 \mathrm{H})$; 6.86 (bs, 1H); 7.05-7.53 (m, 10H); 7.56 (s, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3470,1636,1618,1604,1570$, $1559,1540,1522,1490,1456,1414,1388,1362,1306,1229,1177,1131,1065,1022,968,907$, $834,778,754,704,680,663,650,634,622$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{16} \mathrm{~N}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

White solid ( $140 \mathrm{mg}, 10 \%$ ); mp $201^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}^{2} \mathrm{~d}_{6}\right) \delta: 4.53(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.70(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.88-$ 7.36 (m, 8H); 7.36-7.68 (m, 2H); 7.92 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 9.91 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3392,1643,1604$, 1587, 1510, 1489, 1416, 1224, 778, 750. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{FN}_{6} \mathrm{O}_{2}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. Further elution of the column with EtOAc 9/light petroleum 1 gave N5,N7-di(4-fluorobenzyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)$[1,2,4]$ triazolo $[1,5-a][1,3,5]$ triazin-5,7-diamine (37). White solid (120 mg, $16 \%$ ); mp $193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 4.47(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.3,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.58(\mathrm{~d}, J=6.8,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.66$ (dd, $\left.J=3.4, J=1.8,1 \mathrm{H}\right) ; 6.84-$ 7.22 (m, 4H); 7.22-7.61 (m, 4H); 7.86 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 8.14 (bs, 1H); 9.18 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) cm ${ }^{-1}$ : 3449, 1633, 1613, 1556, 1563, 1494, 1412, 1306, 1222, 778, 737. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Procedure for the synthesis of N5,N7-dicyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (28). 500 mg of compound $\mathbf{5}$ ( 1.348 mmol ) were dissolved in 10 mL of ethanol. 0.46 mL of cyclohexylamine ( $401 \mathrm{mg}, 4.043 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the solution and the reaction was stirred at reflux for 3 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc 3/ light petroleum 7). When the starting material was disappeared, the solvent was removed and the crude purified by column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 2 / Light petroleum 8 to provide 95 mg of compound 23 (18.7\%) and 53 mg of the desired compound 28 (white solid, 10\%). Compound 28: mp $205{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}(\mathrm{CDCl} 3)$ ) $: 1.02-1.55(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$; 1.55-1.95 (m, 6H); 1.95-2.27 (m, 4H); $3.95(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.14(\mathrm{~d}, J=8,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.02(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.1,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.54(\mathrm{dd}, J=1.7, J=3.3,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.18(\mathrm{~d}$, $J=3.3,1 \mathrm{H}$ ); $7.56(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3402,2925,2850,1641,1597,1559,1488,1432,1181$, 779, 737. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{20} \mathrm{H}_{27} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

Procedure for the synthesis of N5,N7-dibenzxyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (33). 50 mg of compound $\mathbf{5}(0.135 \mathrm{mmol})$ were dissolved in 2 mL of ethanol. $74 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of benzylamine ( $72 \mathrm{mg}, 0.674 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) were added to the solution and the reaction was stirred at $120^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in a sealed tube for 3 hours. The reaction was monitored by TLC (EtOAc 1/ Light petroleum 1). When the starting material was disappeared, the solvent was removed and the crude purified by column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 1/ Light petroleum 1 to provide 37 mg of the desired compound 33 (white solid, $70 \%$ ). Mp $148{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} .{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}^{-} \mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ 8: 4.48-4.62
(m, 4H); 6.67 (s, 1H); 7.06 (s, 1H); 7.13-7.59 (m, 10H); 7.87 (s, 1H); 8.16 (bs, 1H); 9.14 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3414,1644,1496,1421,1306,1182,778,735,697$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. General procedure for the synthesis of N5,N7-disubstituted-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazin-5,7-diamine (26,27,29-32,34-36). $\mathbf{4 5 - 7 3} \mathrm{mg}$ of compounds $\mathbf{2 3 - 2 5}$ were dissolved in 2-4 mL of ethanol. 1.1-4.5 eq of the desired amine were added to the solution and the reaction was stirred at $70-100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ from 24 to 72 hours. The solvent was then removed, and the crude was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/ Light petroleum mixtures). The obtained solid was dissolved in EtOAc and precipitated with light petroleum providing the desired compound as a solid (26,27,29-32,34-36).

N7-Cyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-N5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (26). 50 mg of compound $23(0.133 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methylamine $33 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ solution in ethanol ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 0.532 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 6/ Light petroleum 4. Pale yellow solid (26 mg, 63\%); mp $114{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}$ (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 0.96-1.40(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.40-1.69(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.80(\mathrm{t}, J=12,3,4 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.79(\mathrm{~d}, J=4,3 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.94$ (bs, 1H); $6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.05(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.49(\mathrm{~d}, J=4,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.31(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3378,2937,2850,1643,1600,1566,1504,1412,1180,779,739$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. N7-Cyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-N5-isopropyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (27). 70 mg of compound $\mathbf{2 3}$ ( 0.186 mmol ) were reacted with $48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of isopropylamine ( $33 \mathrm{mg}, 0.558$ mmol ) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 0.4/ Dichloromethane 9.6. White solid ( $26 \mathrm{mg}, 55 \%$ ); mp $134{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{CD}_{3} \mathrm{OD}\right) \delta: 1.27(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=6.5$, $6 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.34-1.59(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.59-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 4 \mathrm{H}) ; 2.03(\mathrm{bs}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.02(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.12-4.22(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.61$ (dd, $J=1.8, J=3.4,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.11(\mathrm{~d}, J=3.4,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.69(\mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~J}=0.8 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3394$, 2930, 2849, 1642, 1600, 1562, 1489, 1414, 1180, 780, 737. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{17} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. N5-Benzyl-N7-cyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (29). 73 mg of compound $23(0.194 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $23 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of benzylamine ( $23 \mathrm{mg}, 0.213 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $70{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 72 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light
petroleum 7. White solid ( $50 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ); mp $231{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 0.88-1.94(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H})$; 3.94 (bs, 1H); 4.48 (d, $J=5.8,2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.67$ (bs, 1H); 7.04 (d, $J=3.2,1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.11-7.57(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.86$ (s, $1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.99-8.30(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.41(\mathrm{~d}, J=8.3,1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3402,2923,2848,1635,1614,1574$, 1494, 1421, 1318, 1182, 779, 731, 708, 695. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N7-Benzyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-N5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (30). 50 mg of compound $24(0.130 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $48 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methylamine $33 \mathrm{wt} . \%$ solution in ethanol ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 0.390 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ light petroleum 7. White solid ( 27 mg , $65 \%$ ); mp $193{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$ ) $\delta: 2.80(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.68(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.15-7.71(\mathrm{~m}, 6 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.87(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 9.11(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3450,1644,1611,1570,1500,1413,778,737,696$. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$. N7-Benzyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-N5-isopropyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (31). 98 mg of compound $24(0.254 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $66 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of isopropylamine ( $45 \mathrm{mg}, 0.762 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Column chromatography in aluminum oxide grade III with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 4/ Light petroleum 6. White solid ( $37 \mathrm{mg}, 42 \%$ ); mp $191{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right.$ ) $\delta: 1.13(\mathrm{~d}, J=5.4,6 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.79-4.27(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.62(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.06(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.17-7.71(\mathrm{~m}$, 6H); 7.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 9.10 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3402,2970,2923,1643,1602,1566,1493,1432$, 1317, 1180, 780, 738, 697. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{18} \mathrm{H}_{19} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N7-Benzyl-N5-cyclohexyl-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (32). 50 mg of compound $24(0.130 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $45 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cyclohexylamine ( $39 \mathrm{mg}, 0.390$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $70^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 24 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. White solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 53 \%$ ); $\mathrm{mp} 122{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right) \delta: 0.84-1.41$ (m, 5H); 1.57-1.88 (m, 5H); 3.69 (bs, 1H); 4.60 (d, J=9.6, 2H); 6.68 (s, 1H); 7.05 (s, 1H); 7.23-7.53 (m, 6H); 7.87 ( $\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}$ ); 9.07 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3432,2925,2848,1644,1488,1431,1316,1180$, 778, 736. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{23} \mathrm{~N}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N7-(4-Fluorobenzyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)-N5-methyl-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (34). 45 mg of compound $25(0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $63 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of methylamine $33 \mathrm{wt} . \%$
solution in ethanol ( $47 \mathrm{mg}, 0.504 \mathrm{mmol}$ ) at $90^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. White solid ( $25 \mathrm{mg}, 66 \%$ ); mp $126{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 2.80(\mathrm{~d}, J=4.1,3 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.04-7.19(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.41-7.48$ (m, 3H); 7.86 (s, 1H); 9.08 (bs, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3444,1653,1644,1507,1456,1412,1218$, 1124, 1009, 735. Anal. ( $\left.\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{14} \mathrm{FN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N5-Cyclohexyl-N7-(4-fluorobenzyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-
diamine (35). 45 mg of compound $\mathbf{2 5}(0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $35 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of cyclohexylamine $(28 \mathrm{mg}, 0.280 \mathrm{mmol})$ at $100{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. White solid ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 67 \%$ ); mp $127{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{NMR}\left(\mathrm{DMSO}-\mathrm{d}_{6}\right)$ $\delta: 0.92-1.48(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 1.50-2.13(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 3.70(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.59(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.90-7.19(\mathrm{~m}$, 3H); 7.33-7.74 (m, 3H); $7.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 9.04$ (s, 1H). IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}: 3429,2926,2850,1642,1608$, $1570,1509,1488,1415,1314,1220,1181,779$, 739. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{21} \mathrm{H}_{22} \mathrm{FN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

N5-Benzyl-N7-(4-fluorobenzyl)-2-(furan-2-yl)-[1,2,4]triazolo[1,5-a][1,3,5]triazine-5,7-diamine (36). 45 mg of compound $\mathbf{2 5}(0.112 \mathrm{mmol})$ were reacted with $30 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of benzylamine ( $30 \mathrm{mg}, 0.280$ $\mathrm{mmol})$ at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 48 hours. Column chromatography with gradient elution starting at EtOAc 3/ Light petroleum 7. White solid ( $19 \mathrm{mg}, 41 \%$ ); mp $174{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$-NMR (DMSO-d ${ }_{6}$ ) $\delta: 4.28-480(\mathrm{~m}$, $4 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.67(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.85-7.68(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.86(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.14(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 9.18(\mathrm{bs}, 1 \mathrm{H})$. IR (Nujol) $\mathrm{cm}^{-1}$ : 3424, 1644, 1623, 1612, 1570, 1507, 1497, 1420, 1306, 1221, 1182, 779, 736, 697. Anal. $\left(\mathrm{C}_{22} \mathrm{H}_{18} \mathrm{FN}_{7} \mathrm{O}\right) \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{N}$.

### 4.2 Biological activity.

Binding at human $\boldsymbol{A}_{1}, \boldsymbol{A}_{2 A}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}_{3}$ ARs. All pharmacological methods followed the procedures as described earlier ${ }^{32}$. In brief, membranes for radioligand binding were prepared from CHO cells stably transfected with hAR subtypes in a two-step procedure. In a first step cell fragments and nuclei were removed at $1,000 \mathrm{xg}$ and then the crude membrane fraction was sedimented from the supernatant at $100,000 \mathrm{x}$ g. The membrane pellet was resuspended in the buffer used for the respective binding experiments, frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at $80{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. For radioligand
binding at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ AR $1 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ CCPA was used, whereas $10 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ NECA was used for $\mathrm{h} \mathrm{A}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $1 \mathrm{nM}\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ HEMADO was used for $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ AR binding. Non specific binding of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{CCPA}$ was determined in the presence of 1 mM theophylline, in the case of $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right] \mathrm{NECA}$ and $\left[{ }^{3} \mathrm{H}\right]$ HEMADO $100 \mu \mathrm{M}$ R-PIA was used ${ }^{33} . \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{i}}$ values from competition experiments were calculated with the program SCTFIT.

Adenylyl cyclase activity. The potency of antagonists at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}} \mathrm{AR}$ was determined in adenylyl cyclase experiments. The procedure was carried out as described previously with minor modifications ${ }^{32,34}$. Membranes were prepared from CHO cells stably transfected with $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs by only one high speed centrifugation of the homogenate. The resulting crude membrane pellet was resuspended in 50 mM Tris $/ \mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 7.4$ and immediately used for the cyclase assay. Membranes were incubated with about $150,000 \mathrm{cpm}$ of $\left[\alpha-{ }^{32} \mathrm{P}\right]$ ATP for 20 min in the incubation mixture as described without EDTA and $\mathrm{NaCl}^{34,35}$. The $\mathrm{IC}_{50}$-values for concentration-dependent inhibition of NECA-stimulated adenylyl cyclase caused by antagonists were calculated with the Hill equation. Hill coefficients in all experiments were near unity.

### 4.3 Molecular Modelling

Energy computation and analyses of docking poses were performed using the $\mathrm{MOE}^{36}$ suite (Molecular Operating Environment, version 2014.09). Docking simulations were carried out with the GOLD ${ }^{37}$ (Genetic Optimization for Ligand Docking, version 5.2) suite.

Three-Dimensional Structures of hARs. Among all the currently available crystallographic structures of $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}} \mathrm{AR}$, we selected for our docking simulations a complex with the selective and high affinity inverse agonist ZM 241385. Among the structures co-crystallized with ZM 241385, we selected the one with the highest resolution and fewest missing atoms (PDB code: 4EIY, $1.80 \AA$ resolution ${ }^{38}$. As, to date, no crystallographic information about all other AR subtypes are available, we used a previously build homology models deposited in our web platform dedicated to ARs, Adenosiland ${ }^{39,40}$. The numbering of the amino acids follows the arbitrary scheme proposed by

Ballesteros and Weinstein ${ }^{41}$ : each amino acid identifier starts with the helix number (1-7), followed by a dot and the position relative to a reference residue among the most conserved amino acids in that helix, to which the number 50 is arbitrarily assigned.

Molecular Docking. Ligand structures were built using the MOE-builder tool, as part of the MOE suite ${ }^{36}$, and were subjected to a MMFF94x energy minimization until the rms conjugate gradient was $<0.05 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1} \AA^{-1}$. We used the Protonate $3 \mathrm{D}^{42}$ methodology, part of the MOE suite, for protonation state assignments. According to the docking benchmark study we have previously conducted ${ }^{43}$, docking simulations were performed with the docking tool of $\operatorname{GOLD}^{37}$ suite as conformational search program and the GoldScore as scoring function. For each selected compound, 20 independent docking runs were performed and searching was conducted within a user-specified docking sphere ( $20 \AA$ radius and centered on the barycenter of the $\mathrm{A} n(6.55$ ) residue). Electrostatic and hydrophobic contributions to the binding energy of individual receptor residues have been calculated using the $\mathrm{MOE}^{36}$ suite (see below). To estimate the electrostatic contributions, atomic partial charges for the ligands were calculated using PM3/ESP methodology. Partial charges for protein amino acids were calculated with the AMBER99 force field.

Interaction Energy Fingerprints (IEFs). Individual electrostatic and hydrophobic contributions to the interaction energy (hereby denoted as IEele and IEhyd, respectively) of each receptor residue involved in the binding with the ligand were computed with the $\mathrm{MOE}^{36}$ suite. In particular, the IEele was computed on the basis of the nonbonded electrostatic interaction energy term of the force field, whereas the IEhyd contributions was calculated by using the directional hydrophobic interaction term based on contact surfaces as implemented in the $\mathrm{MOE}^{36}$ scoring function. IEele values are expressed in $\mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}$, whereas IEhyd values are reported as adimensional scores (the higher the better). The IEFs analysis are graphically transferred either into colored maps or into histograms reporting the key residues involved in the binding with the considered ligands along with a quantitative estimate of the occurring interactions.
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- A series of triazolo-triazines was developed as adenosine receptor (AR) antagonists
- Compounds show better affinity at the $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~A}}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{3}$ ARs than at the $\mathrm{hA}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{hA}_{2 \mathrm{~B}}$ ARs
- A benzylamino group at the C 5 position gives highest affinity values at the hARs
- Docking simulations were carried out to explain the observed binding data

