



Letter to the Editor: Response to Cox (2018)

Teresa Gavaruzzi¹ · Alessandra Tasso² · Marzena Franiuk³ · Liliana Varesco³ · Lorella Lotto¹

© National Society of Genetic Counselors, Inc. 2018

This letter is in response to the comment by Cox (2018), who appeared to be concerned by the figures we presented to participants about the residual risk for ovarian cancer after bilateral risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy (RRSO). We agree with the fact that the presence of a residual cancer risk after prophylactic surgery is an important element of discussion during post-test genetic counseling and the subsequent decision-making process. However, at present, we don't have robust evidence of these figures in real-life high-risk programs. For this reason, we decided to take a conservative approach and, as hypothesized by Cox, we used "...the 80% reduction in risk for ovarian cancer reported in Finch et al. (2014), in conjunction with the lifetime risks reported by Mavaddat et al. (2013)", instead of using lower figures.

Specifically, we first considered risk figures in Mavaddat et al. (2013), who estimated the average cumulative risks for ovarian cancer by age 70 to be 59% for *BRCA1* carriers and 16.5% for *BRCA2* carriers. We rounded percentages (60% for *BRCA1* and 20% for *BRCA2*, respectively), as it is known that integers are perceived as more believable and are better remembered (Witteman et al. 2011) and that likelihood perceptions are fuzzy (e.g., Reyna and Brainerd 1995). Second, we considered the 80% reduction reported in Finch et al. (2014). Finch et al. (2014) state that "Preventive oophorectomy was associated with an 80% reduction in the risk of ovarian, fallopian tube, or peritoneal cancer in *BRCA1* or *BRCA2* carriers and a 77% reduction in all-cause mortality" (Finch et al. 2014, abstract, p. 1549). A meta-analysis by Marchetti et al. (2014) confirmed the efficacy of RRSO in reducing the risk of ovarian cancer by approximately 80%.

We applied the 80% risk reduction to 60% and 20%, respectively, and obtained the residual risks percentages. Indeed, from the psychological literature on risk perception, we know that people are often confused by this type of information, referred to as relative risk (e.g., Cameron et al. 2009; Gigerenzer et al. 2007). In the experimental material, we paid attention to present numbers in such a way that participants understood them at best; therefore, we presented both the relative risk reduction (80%) and the absolute risk reduction information, i.e. we calculated the resulting risk. Let's take a look at the calculation more closely: among 100 hypothetical *BRCA1* carriers, 60 will be diagnosed with cancer (Mavaddat et al. 2013); RRSO does not eliminate this risk, but reduces it by 80% (Finch et al. 2014). Therefore, among 60 *BRCA1* carriers, 48 will avoid cancer and 12 will still be diagnosed with it. The same works for *BRCA2* (80% out of 20; 16 carriers will avoid cancer and 4 will be diagnosed with it).

Thus, in our experimental material, when describing RRSO, as an available risk management option, we used the following: "...RRSO significantly reduces the risk of getting a cancer, even if it does not eliminate it completely. The risk of ovarian cancer decreases from 60% (20%) to 12% (4%), that is 12 (4) *BRCA1* (*BRCA2*) carriers who underwent RRSO, will nonetheless be diagnosed with an ovarian cancer..."

Finally, our results showed that the type of mutation (*BRCA1* vs. *BRCA2*) was not retained in the final model of variables predicting the preference for surveillance over surgery. As described in the discussion section (Gavaruzzi et al. 2017, p. 1149), this means that participants' choice was neither predicted by the risk figures associated with the mutation (i.e., 60% vs. 20%), nor by the residual risk figures (i.e., 12% vs. 4%). Although this might be surprising, there is a wealth of research on judgment and decision-making that we reviewed in our discussion that showed that risk perception is far from detailed and precise (Cameron et al. 2009; Gigerenzer et al. 2007; Kahneman and Tversky 1979; Lotto et al. 2014; Prelec 1998; Reyna and Brainerd 1995; Reyna 2008; Slovic et al. 2007). Moreover, our predictive model included subjective risk perception, further supporting our interpretation.

✉ Teresa Gavaruzzi
teresa.gavaruzzi@unipd.it

¹ Department of Developmental Psychology and Socialization, University of Padova, Via Venezia 8, 35131 Padova, Italy

² Department of Humanities, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

³ Unit of Hereditary Cancer, IRCCS AOU San Martino, IST Istituto Nazionale per la Ricerca sul Cancro, Genoa, Italy

In conclusion, we feel confident that the preference for surveillance over preventive surgery observed in our study is not attributable to the residual risk figures reported in our information material. However, we agree with Cox that the residual cancer risk after prophylactic surgery is an important element of discussion during post-test counseling. Efforts need to be made both to obtain real-life estimates of this risk as well as to improve the quality of communication about risk figures that constitute the basic information elements of decision-making.

References

- Cameron, L. D., Sherman, K. A., Marteau, T. M., & Brown, P. M. (2009). Impact of genetic risk information and type of disease on perceived risk, anticipated affect, and expected consequences of genetic tests. *Health Psychology, 28*, 307–316.
- Cox, D. (2018). Letter to the Editor: Response to “A psychological perspective on factors predicting prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomy in a sample of Italian women from the general population. Results from a hypothetical study in the context of BRCA mutations.” *Journal of Genetic Counseling*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10897-018-0280-2>.
- Finch, A. P., Lubinski, J., Møller, P., Singer, C. F., Karlan, B., Senter, L., et al. (2014). Impact of oophorectomy on cancer incidence and mortality in women with a BRCA1 or BRCA2 mutation. *Journal of Clinical Oncology, 32*, 1547–1553.
- Gavaruzzi, T., Tasso, A., Franiuk, M., Varesco, L., & Lotto, L. (2017). A psychological perspective on factors predicting prophylactic salpingo-oophorectomy in a sample of Italian women from the general population. Results from a hypothetical study in the context of BRCA mutations. *Journal of Genetic Counseling, 26*, 1144–1152.
- Gigerenzer, G., Gaissmaier, W., Kurz-Milcke, E., Schwartz, L. M., & Woloshin, S. (2007). Helping doctors and patients make sense of health statistics. *Psychological Science in the Public Interest, 8*, 53–96.
- Kahneman, D., & Tversky, A. (1979). Prospect theory: An analysis of decision under risk. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 47*, 263–291.
- Lotto, L., Tasso, A., Gavaruzzi, T., Carnaghi, A., & Rumiati, R. (2014). How framing and numerical information affect people’s judgments when they read a newspaper story. *New Ideas in Psychology, 32*, 33–41.
- Marchetti, C., De Felice, F., Palaia, I., Perniola, G., Musella, A., Musio, D., et al. (2014). Risk-reducing salpingo-oophorectomy: A meta-analysis on impact on ovarian cancer risk and all cause mortality in BRCA 1 and BRCA 2 mutation carriers. *BMC Women’s Health, 14*, 1–6.
- Mavaddat, N., Peock, S., Frost, D., Ellis, S., Platte, R., Fineberg, E., et al. (2013). Cancer risks for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: Results from prospective analysis of EMBRACE. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute, 105*, 812–822.
- Prelec, D. (1998). The probability weighting function. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society, 66*, 497–527.
- Reyna, V. F. (2008). A theory of medical decision making and health: Fuzzy trace theory. *Medical Decision Making, 28*, 850–865.
- Reyna, V. F., & Brainerd, C. J. (1995). Fuzzy-trace theory: An interim synthesis. *Learning and Individual Differences, 7*, 1–75.
- Slovic, P., Finucane, M. L., Peters, E., & MacGregor, D. G. (2007). The affect heuristic. *European Journal of Operational Research, 177*, 1333–1352.
- Witteman, H. O., Zikmund-Fisher, B. J., Waters, E. A., Gavaruzzi, T., & Fagerlin, A. (2011). Risk estimates from an online risk calculator are more believable and recalled better when expressed as integers. *Journal of Medical Internet Research, 13*, e54.