

OPEN ACCESS

Citation: Fusco G, Leśniewska M, Congiu L, Bertorelle G (2015) Population Genetic Structure of a Centipede Species with High Levels of Developmental Instability. PLoS ONE 10(6): e0126245. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126245

Academic Editor: Diego Fontaneto, Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche (CNR), ITALY

Received: January 9, 2015

Accepted: March 30, 2015

Published: June 1, 2015

Copyright: © 2015 Fusco et al. This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License</u>, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Data Availability Statement: All relevant data are within the paper and its Supporting Information files.

Funding: This work has been supported by a grant (60A06-2190/12) from the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research (MIUR) to GF. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Population Genetic Structure of a Centipede Species with High Levels of Developmental Instability

Giuseppe Fusco¹, Małgorzata Leśniewska², Leonardo Congiu¹*, Giorgio Bertorelle³

1 Department of Biology, University of Padova, Padova, Italy, 2 Department of General Zoology, A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland, 3 Department of Life Sciences and Biotechnologies, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

* leonardo.congiu@unipd.it

Abstract

European populations of the geophilomorph centipede Haplophilus subterraneus show a high proportion of individuals with morphological anomalies, suggesting high levels of developmental instability. The broad geographic distribution of this phenomenon seems to exclude local environmental causes, but the source of instability is still to be identified. The goal of the present study was to collect quantitative data on the occurrence of phenodeviants in different populations, along with data on the patterns of genetic variation within and between populations, in order to investigate possible association between developmental instability and genetic features. In a sample of 11 populations of H. subterraneus, distributed in western and central Europe, we looked for phenodeviants, in particular with respect to trunk morphology, and studied genetic variation through the genotyping of microsatellite loci. Overall, no support was found to the idea that developmental instability in H. subterraneus is related to a specific patterns of genetic variation, including inbreeding estimates. We identified a major genetic partition that subdivides French populations from the others, and a low divergence among northwestern areas, which are possibly related to the post-glacial recolonization from southern refugia and/or to recent anthropogenic soil displacements. A weak correlation between individual number of leg bearing segments and the occurrence of trunk anomalies seems to support a trade-off between these two developmental traits. These results, complemented by preliminary data on developmental stability in two related species, suggest that the phenomenon has not a simple taxonomic distribution, while it exhibits an apparent localization in central and eastern Europe.

Introduction

Developmental stability is the property of an organism to buffer random perturbations of the developmental process. The higher the level of developmental stability, the closer the features of the expressed phenotype to that of the *target phenotype*, i.e. the phenotype specified by the genetic makeup of the organism and the environmental conditions during its development

 $[\underline{1}-\underline{2}]$. The associated term *developmental instability* indicates a deficiency in resisting developmental disturbances $[\underline{3}]$.

The exact target phenotype is generally unknown, but body symmetries can be exploited to quantify developmental instability by measuring deviations from the expected symmetry. Random non heritable deviations from bilateral symmetry, or *fluctuating bilateral asymmetries* [4], have been largely employed to investigate levels and patterns of developmental instability in many taxa [5,6]. However, other types of body symmetry, such as those exhibited by organisms whose body architecture sees a repetition of body parts (e.g., segmented or radial organisms), can be used in addition or as an alternative to bilateral symmetry to implement an effective morphometric approach to the study of developmental instability [7]. In segmented animals, like annelids, arthropods, vertebrates and many other taxa [8], which present serially homologous structures along the main body axis, deviations from translational symmetry can be effectively analysed through morphometric analysis, either through the study of *fluctuating translational asymmetries* [7,9], or studying the occurrence of macroscopic defects at the level of serial structures (*frequency of segmental phenodeviants* [10]).

Developmental instability can have different causes, as for instance the individual genetic makeup at specific loci, the level of stochasticity of certain developmental processes and a variety of stressful environmental factors [5], and different causes can concur to the instability of the same developmental system [11].

The study of a Polish population of the geophilomorph centipede *Haplophilus subterraneus* (Shaw, 1794) (also recurring in literature with the synonym *Stigmatogaster subterranea*) revealed a high proportion of individuals with naturally occurring morphological anomalies of several kinds [12]. The specific morphology of these abnormalities, in relation to embryonic and early-postembryonic development of segmental structures [13–15], strongly suggests that trunk defects are congenital in these animals, and are thus indicators of high levels of developmental instability.

A preliminary survey on the geographical distribution of the phenomenon [16] showed that segmental anomalies of the same kind occur with comparable high frequency also in other four European populations of the same species, localized both within and outside the species' natural range. The causes of such high levels of developmental disturbances are still to be identified, but its distribution in different areas seems to exclude local environmental factors (e.g., soil contamination by mutagenic agents).

In order to further investigate the occurrence of morphological anomalies in *H. subterraneus* at a wider geographical scale, we conducted a targeted collection campaign through central and western Europe. We collected quantitative data on the occurrence of phenodeviants in each population, and on the pattern of genetic variation within and between populations. A first inspection of the morphological data of this campaign, supplemented with data from other collections in central and western Europe, south Britain and Jutland confirmed the occurrence of high level of developmental instability for all European populations [17].

Here we analyse the geographic distribution of anomalies as indicators of developmental instability, examine the patterns of genetic variation as indicators of demographic events such as bottlenecks (and inbreeding), and study the possible association between morphological and genetic features of *H. subterraneus* individuals and population.

Materials and Methods

Study species

As most geophilomorph centipede species, *Haplophilus subterraneus* is an active predator inhabiting litter and soil, where it feeds on other invertebrates. *H. subterraneus* is distributed in



Fig 1. Geographic distribution of the eleven sampling sites for *H. subterraneus*. Pie charts report the relative frequencies of individual with normal phenotypes (white) and with trunk anomalies (dark gray). The light gray area is the natural range of the species after [19]. In the insert, the habitus of the species (body length about 60 mm).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126245.g001

the central and western Europe, from the Pyrenees to southern British Isles and western Germany. It usually occurs in natural woodland sites, but it is also commonly found in synanthropic sites like urban parks and cemeteries [18]. Isolated and possibly allochthonous populations are scattered close to human settlements in the remaining part of central and north-western Europe [19]. For further details on the species geographic record and habitat choice see [17].

Sampling

The present study is based on a sample of 11 populations of *Haplophilus subterraneus*, distributed in western and central Europe, from southern France to central Poland, that is, across the whole natural range of the species and beyond its east border (Fig 1). Sampling sites include natural, semi-natural and synanthropic habitats (Table 1).

Field sampling was carried out during May 2010 for all the populations to the exclusion of the Poznań population, which was sampled in different seasons from May 2007 to June 2010. As a standard method for geophilomorphs, animals were collected by direct sampling from litter, soil, or under stones, loose bark of trees, logs and stumps [16]. *H. subterraneus* is not an endangered or protected species, and no permission for field collection was required in any sampling location.

During the May 2010 sampling, most specimens where immediately stored in 75% ethanol, the standard preserving solution for this arthropod group. However, approximately twenty individuals for each population (when possible) were fixed in 100% ethanol and within minutes cut transversally in two pieces: the head plus a few anterior trunk segments (about eight) were stored in 100% ethanol, while the rest of trunk was placed in 75% ethanol. The reason for this procedure is that the optimal solution for preserving material aimed at DNA extraction and purification, i.e. absolute ethanol, is unsuitable for preservation of material destined to an accurate morphological inspection, as this produces extended coiling and contractions in the body, followed by its stiffening. On the other hand, preliminary tests on DNA purifications from samples preserved in 75% ethanol showed a high level of DNA degradation, that was



Population	Habitat	Sample size (genetics) ^a	Sample size (morphology)	
Jarret (France)	deciduous forest	3	14	
Seilhac (France)	mixed forest	20	35	
Quimper (France)	park	19	32	
Pordic (France)	deciduous forest	19	65	
Diksmuide (Belgium)	park	20	28	
Hilversum (The Netherlands)	arboretum	22	69	
Koblenz (Germany)	cemetery	20	41	
Leipzig (Germany)	park	17	42	
Halle (Germany)	square	20	59	
Bautzen (Germany)	cemetery	20	35	
Poznań (Poland)	park	20	598	
Total		200	1018	

Table 1. Geographic location, habitat and sample sizes for the genetic and morphological analyses in the 11 sampled populations of *H. subterraneus*.

^aGenetically typed individuals are a subsample of the set of animals used for morphometric analyses, with the exception of Poznań where the two samples do not overlap.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126245.t001

successfully prevented by the use of pure ethanol. For the Poznań population, genotyped and morphologically inspected individuals form to two distinct (non-overlapping) samples, and animals were stored in ethanol entire (not cut). Overall, 1018 specimens were used for morphometric analyses, including the search for morphological anomalies, while genetic analysis was carried out on a partial subsample of 200 specimens (Table 1).

For further details on sampling sites and animal collection see [<u>17</u>]. All specimens are preserved in M. Leśniewska's collection (Department of General Zoology, A. Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland).

Number of leg-bearing segments and sex

The trunk of geophilomorph centipedes comprises one anterior segment bearing a pair of poisonous maxillipedes (forcipular segment), a series of variable length of leg-bearing segments, and a terminal apodous ano-genital region of uncertain segmental composition, but reputed segmentally invariant across species, sexes and individuals [20,21]. Thus, in geophilomorphs, variation in the number of trunk segments is traditionally expressed in terms of variation in the number of leg-bearing segments. In our sample, this measure is available for 1005 specimens. As common among geophilomorphs [22], the modal number of leg-bearing segments in females is two segments higher than in males [17]. We therefore incremented by two the number of leg-bearing segments of each male in the sample to obtain a single morphometric variable (here called LBS) for joint analyses. LBS is available for 996 specimen, the intersection of the subset of specimens (n = 1005) with measured number of leg bearing segments and the subset of sex determined specimens (n = 1004).

Morphological anomalies

All specimens were examined by ML with a stereoscopic light microscope up to 80x magnification. The aberrant morphologies recorded in the sample have been classified on the basis of Leśniewska et al.'s [12] taxonomy of anomalies.

Here we have considered separately trunk anomalies, affecting structures of the body to the exclusion of appendages, and appendages anomalies. In order to compare the frequency of



Fig 2. Example of trunk anomalies in two specimens of *H. subterraneus.* (**A**) Trunk shrinking (ventral view, anterior toward the top). The left side of the leg-bearing segments 75th-77th is collapsed to the point that only one (bifurcated) leg emerges from the pleural region. (**B**) Dorsal mispairing (dorsal view, anterior toward the top). Dorsal sclerites of leg-bearing segments 19th-20th, rather than being transversally oriented within a given segment, present discordant left/right serial position (or identity).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126245.g002

morphological anomalies across populations, three categorical variables referable to single specimens were devised: i) a binary categorical variable that records the presence of trunk anomalies (*TA*, 0: absence, 1: presence); ii) a ranked categorical variable that gives a score (0–3) based on the 'seriousness' of trunk anomalies (*TAS*, 0: absence, 1: defects on sternal pore areas or virguliform fossae, 2: sclerite deformations, 3: dorsal mispairing or segment shrinking); iii) a binary categorical variable that records the presence of appendage anomalies (*AA*, 0: absence, 1: presence). Examples of morphological anomalies are reported in Fig.2.

Genetic typing

Two-hundred specimens from 11 populations where genetically characterized. Genomic DNA was purified from head plus 6–8 trunk segments using a salting-out protocol [23].

Out of the nine microsatellite loci specifically isolated [24] and genotyped in all individuals, four loci (H.sub1, H.sub36, H.sub47, H.sub85) were cautiously excluded due to possible genotyping errors detected by the software MICRO-CHECKER 2.2.3 [25]. The other five loci (H. sub16, H.sub45, H.sub48, H.sub56, H.sub61) were used for data analyses. All experimental procedures from microsatellite amplification to scoring were performed following the instructions reported in the supporting information of [24], where also GenBank accession numbers can be found. In order to test the reproducibility of the genotyping, the analysis of the 20 individuals from the Poznań population was blind replicated in two different laboratories.

Statistical analyses

Association between anomalies and non genetic variables. The relationships at the level of the individuals between the presence of anomalies (*TA* and *AA*: binary response variable; *TAS*: categorical response variable) and the population of origin, sex, and number of segments (two categorical and one count predictor variables) were analysed using a generalized linear model (with binomial or multinomial error terms) in two different data sets. The first data set included all available individuals (n≈1000, the exact number depending on the predictor), where more than half are from the Poznań population. The second data set (n≈480) included only a randomly selected subset of 60 (out of 598) individuals from Poznań, in order to have approximately similar sample sizes from each locality. Considering that the different sampling area could be also considered as a random extraction of European populations, we also tested the binary response variables *TA* and *AA* using the population of origin as a random effect in a generalized linear mixed model (as implemented in the R package ImerTest [26]).

Association between anomalies and genetic variables. The relationship at the level of the individuals between presence of anomalies (*TA* and *AA*: binary response variable; *TAS*: categorical variable) and genetic indicators of inbreeding (proportion of heterozygous loci and four different estimators of the inbreeding coefficient as implemented in Coancestry [27]: continuous predictors) was analysed in 180 individuals from 10 populations, using a generalized linear model (with binomial or multinomial error terms). The Poznań population could not be used in this analyses since morphological data for the genotyped individuals are missing.

The association between population variables related to developmental anomalies (frequencies of trunk and appendage anomalies) and genetic indices (average number of alleles, observed and expected heterozygosity, and inbreeding coefficient F_{is}) was analysed by simple parametric (Pearson) and non parametric (Spearman) correlation analyses. Only ten points were available for these analyses, as the population of Jarret, with only three genotyped individuals, was not included.

Genetic variation and structure. Descriptive statistics of genetic diversity within populations were estimated in each population using Arlequin 3.5 [28]. The same software was used to test for deviation from Hardy—Weinberg equilibrium and for linkage disequilibrium between pairs of markers.

Genetic structure was analysed representing individual genotypes in a two dimensional space using the Factorial Correspondence Analysis (FCA), as implemented in the software Genetix 4.05 [29], and the Bayesian clustering method implemented in the software STRUC-TURE 2.3.3 [30,31].



Population	ТА	AA	TAS	LBS	H _e	k	F _{is}	Linkage	H-W
Jarret (France)	0.07	0.29	0.21	78.6	-	-	-	-	-
Seilhac (France)	0.17	0.34	0.34	80.8	0.32	2.4	0.15	1 (0–4)	0
Quimper (France)	0.25	0.25	0.44	81.6	0.57	4.2	0.09	2 (0–3; 3–4)	1 (4)
Pordic (France)	0.23	0.25	0.46	81.9	0.40	3.4	0.05	0	0
Diksmuide (Belgium)	0.18	0.11	0.39	82.8	0.50	3.2	-0.02	2 (0–1; 0–2)	0
Hilversum (The Netherlands)	0.10	0.26	0.16	81.2	0.33	2.6	0.08	1 (0–1)	1 (3)
Koblenz (Germany)	0.24	0.37	0.37	81.2	0.57	4.2	0.04	2 (1–2; 2–4)	1 (3)
Leipzig (Germany)	0.12	0.24	0.24	81.0	0.52	3.8	-0.08	1 (2–3)	0
Halle (Germany)	0.08	0.34	0.15	81.0	0.53	4.0	0.04	0	0
Bautzen (Germany)	0.09	0.17	0.20	81.3	0.58	4.0	-0.08	1 (0–1)	0
Poznań (Poland)	0.10	0.28	0.24	81.3	0.55	4.2	0.13*	1 (1–2)	0

Table 2. Basic statistics for the sampled populations of H. subterraneus.

Anomalies are reported as frequencies of trunk anomalies (TA), appendage anomalies (AA), and as the average trunk anomaly scores (TAS). LBS is the average number of leg-bearing segments corrected for sexual dimorphism (see text). H_e and k are the expected heterozygosity and the number of alleles, respectively (not reported for the Jarret population due to very limited number of genetically typed individuals). Fis = inbreeding coefficient (the asterisk indicates a significant deviation from zero with P<0.05). The last two columns report the number of significant (P<0.05) pairwise deviations from linkage equilibrium (pairs of loci in parenthesis), and the number of loci significantly (P<0.05) deviating from HW equilibrium (locus in parenthesis).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126245.t002

Results

Basic statistics

All the basic statistics elaborated from genetic and morphological data are reported in Table 2.

There are significant differences between populations for LBS (ANOVA, F = 11.51, P<0.0001, n = 996), with the populations of Jarret and Diksmuide presenting significantly extreme values (78.6 and 82.8, respectively).

The frequency of trunk phenodeviants varies between 7% and 25%, while the frequency of appendage phenodeviants varies between 11% and 37%. As expected, being based on the same kind of anomalies, *TA* and *TAS* means (the former corresponding simply to the population frequency, and the latter to the score average) are strongly correlated (Pearson, r = 0.924, P<0.0001, n = 11; Spearman's rank, r = 0.8636, P = 0.0063, n = 11).

Most of the populations show a relatively high level of genetic variation, with expected heterozygosity larger than 50%. Lower values are observed in France (Seilhac: 0.32; Pordic: 0.40) and the Netherlands (0.33). These three populations show also slightly lower number of alleles. The inbreeding coefficient F_{is} is significantly larger than 0 only in the city park of Poznań.

Genetic structure

Significant and high genetic structure is observed across the whole distribution range, with global $F_{st} = 0.29$ (P<0.01, <u>S1 Table</u>). The graphical representation of the individual genotypes (FCA, Fig 3) suggest that the major genetic partition is between the populations in France and all the others. In fact, only few individuals from the North-Eastern areas (all from Koblenz) cluster with the left cloud of French individuals. In addition, whereas North-Eastern individuals form largely overlapping clouds (not shown in Fig 3 to reduce the number of symbols), the individuals from at least three French populations (Pordic, Seilhac, and Jarret) are more genetically structured and can be clearly assigned to their population of origin. The Bayesian clustering analysis (STRUCTURE) supports the FCA plot when the number of groups was set to two, with France and North-Eastern populations clearly affiliated to one of each group (<u>S1 Fig</u>).



Fig 3. Bi-dimensional representation of individual genotypes provided by the factorial correspondence analysis. Each allele is used as an independent variable, with no a priori assumptions about grouping. Individuals of North-Eastern populations are represented by the same symbol as they intermix in the plot.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0126245.g003

Association analyses

Significant association between inbreeding and the presence/absence of anomalies were not identified, independently on the inbreeding estimator used and the kind and severity of the morphological anomaly. On the contrary, the population of origin, the number of segments, and their interaction had significant effects on TA when the complete data set was used (likelihood tests: P = 0.002, P = 0.02, and P = 0.0003, respectively; n = 996). When TAS is analysed, only the effects of the population of origin and its interaction with the number of segment remain significant (P = 0.0003 and P = 0.005, respectively; n = 996). No significant effect of these predictor variables on AA was recorded. Analysing the reduced and more balanced data set, the interaction between population and number of segments was significant both in the analysis of TA and TAS (P = 0.001 and P = 0.007, respectively; n = 464), and the effect of the population of origin was significant only for TAS (P = 0.009). Again, AA did not show any significant response. Considering the population of origin as a random effect in a linear generalized mixed model, the population of origin and the interaction between population of origin and number of segment had significant effects on TA both in the complete data set (likelihood tests: P = 0.009 and P = 0.011, respectively) and in the reduced data set (likelihood tests: P = 0.002 and P = 0.003, respectively). No significant effect on AA was recorded.

Both parametric and non-parametric correlations between population frequencies of anomalies and all measures of genetic variation (H_e, k and F_{is}) produced non-significant results.

Discussion

Developmental instability and genetic variation

Overall, our investigation on the geographic distribution of the occurrence of anomalies, complemented by the check for correlations between the incidence of anomalies and genetic variation patterns, provide no support to the idea that developmental instability recorded in the European populations of the centipede *H. subterraneus* is related to some specific genetic feature of the populations or the single individuals. In particular, we did not find any association between low genetic variation and the frequency of anomalies, or estimated inbreeding coefficients and presence/absence of anomalies in single individuals. Similarly, no apparent relationship between genetic divergence and the frequency of anomalies was observed. Our data seems therefore to exclude that inbreeding depression (possibly related to reduced population size) or outbreeding depression (possibly related to individual displacements due to human activities) are the cause of the morphological defects observed in this centipede.

Unlike the variables of trunk anomalies (*TA* and *TAS*), the means of the variable of appendage anomalies (*AA*) do not differ significantly among populations. This adds to other arguments on the questionable value of appendage anomalies as indicators of developmental stability. In fact, while trunk anomalies are likely congenital, the scarce information on the regenerative potential and the morphology of healing in geophilomorph appendages [32,33] does not allow to confidently discriminate between appendage congenital defects and defects resulting from imperfect regeneration in these structures. For these reasons, frequency data of appendage anomalies, which should be taken with reserve [16], are not discussed further.

Geographic patterns

The ecology of the species, in combination with its current geographic distribution, suggests a post-glacial history of expansion from some refuge areas, possibly on the northern side of Pyrenees. This area is one of the so the called 'northern refuges' in Europe (with respect to the more widely recognized refuge areas in the Mediterranean peninsulas [34]), which apparently harboured several temperate species from the severe climatic conditions of the last glaciations [35]. *H. subterraneus* would have subsequently colonized central and eastern Europe areas following a north-eastern route.

As observed in many other species [36-38], the present-day pattern of genetic variation observed in *H. subterrraneus* seems to reflect demographic changes due to the glaciation events. In fact, the much higher level of genetic structure observed in France compared to the northeastern European populations, and the major genetic partition of the samples in southern and northern populations, can be related to the fact that southern samples come from the more stable distribution range of this species, continuously occupied also during the glaciations due to favourable climatic conditions, whereas northern populations reflects a more recent history of post-glacial colonization accompanied by a genetic divergence from the refuge(s).

An alternative, or complementary, explanation for the lower structure in northern areas is that human displacement of garden soils in recent years (<200 years ago) prevented population differentiation. This hypothesis, compatible with the synanthropic habits of the species, could also explain why, on the average, northern populations have similar or slightly higher heterozygosities compared to the French groups, which is in general not expected in recolonized areas compared to refugia [39]. Passive transport with garden soil cannot however represent the exclusive explanation for the observed pattern of variation.

Developmental instability additional patterns

The repetition of serially homologous structures along the main body axis is a common form of modularity, found in the body architecture of many multicellular eukaryote taxa. Several studies have explored how natural selection may have promoted the evolution of a modular body organization, and the potential of repetitive body units in taxa diversification [40]. However, comparably less attention has been paid to the possible influence of the developmental features of modularity on the evolvability of this primary morphological trait.

We found a significant, although not strong, positive correlation between individual number of leg bearing segments and the occurrence of trunk anomalies in *H. subterraneus*. This correlation concurs with the observation that most of the teratological cases so far recorded in the whole Geophilomorpha are found in the taxon Himantariidae [12], characterized by very

long and polypodous species, to which *H. subterraneus* belongs. These observations might suggest the idea of a trade-off between the number of body modules and developmental stability, as if the length of a segmental series, in addition or in combination with other factors, could affect the developmental precision of its phenotypic expression. This may seem at odds with the geophilomorph relatively homonomous (i.e., morphologically scarcely dedifferentiated) trunk segmental pattern, as a relatively simple morphological design can be supposed to be associated to equally simple developmental processes of segmental patterning and differentiation. However, measures of morphological complexity of the trunk segmental structures [9], mapped onto the comparative background of Chilopoda phylogeny [41], show that the segmental pattern of geophilomorphs is a derived state with respect to the plesiomorphic oligomerous and more heteronomous condition observed in more basal Chilopoda, like for instance the lithobiomorphs. Thus, the relatively simple trunk segmental pattern of geophilomorphs might none-theless involve a comparatively complex developmental control.

However specifically aimed studies, extended to other segmented (or modular) taxa, are needed to assesses effectiveness and/or generality of this possible trade-off.

Finally, we note that the taxonomic boundaries of this phenomenon cannot easily be defined. Similarly to all populations of *H. subterraneus* sufficiently sampled and reasonably well inspected, which show high frequencies of abnormal morphologies, a comparably high frequency of abnormalities characterizes also a population of the closely related species H. souletinus, from the Pyrenees [17]. However, the inspection of 115 specimens of another closely related species, Stigmatogaster gracilis, from Italy, revealed only appendage anomalies (in 29.6% of the specimens), but no one trunk anomaly. Because of the doubtful value of appendage anomalies as indicators of developmental stability (see above), there is therefore no compelling evidence of high levels of developmental instability in this species. This result is noteworthy, as the most recent geophilomorph phylogeny [42] resolves S. gracilis as the sister species of H. subterraneus, with H. souletinus branching out basally with respect the other two species. Thus, the phenomenon seems to have a taxonomic distribution that cannot be circumscribed to a single clade, while, irrespective of the phylogeny, although not caused by strictly local factors, it presents an apparent localization in central and eastern Europe. Further studies will be necessary to understand the causes of this developmental instability and its taxonomic and geographic patterns.

Supporting Information

S1 Dataset. Raw data used for morphological and genetic analyses. (XLS)

S1 Fig. *Structure* bar plot of the genetic compositions of single individuals. (PDF)

S1 Table. Pairwise differentiation (F_{st}) between population. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

We thank Piotr Leśniewski and Kinga Sikorska for assistance in field collection, Elisa Boscari and Luca Cornetti for their help in some preliminary data analyses, Cinzia Merotto for *S. gracilis* data collection, Livio Finos for guidance in generalized linear mixed models, and Lucio Bonato for fruitful discussions. Varpu Vahtera and three anonymous referees (two of them from Axios Review) provided useful comment on a previous version of the article. We thank Jinliang Wang for helpful advice in the inbreeding coefficient estimates.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: GF ML. Performed the experiments: ML LC. Analyzed the data: GF LC GB. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: GF ML LC GB. Wrote the paper: GF LC GB.

References

- 1. Nijhout HF, Davidowitz G Developmental perspectives on phenotypic variation, canalization, and fluctuating asymmetry. In: Polak M, editor. Developmental instability: causes and consequences. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003. pp. 3–13.
- Fusco G, Minelli A. Phenotypic plasticity in development and evolution: facts and concepts. Phil Trans R Soc B. 2010; 365: 547–556. doi: <u>10.1098/rstb.2009.0267</u> PMID: <u>20083631</u>
- Markow TA. Evolutionary ecology and developmental instability. Ann Rev Entomol. 1995; 40: 105–120.
- Palmer AR, Strobeck C. Fluctuating asymmetry: measurement, analysis, patterns. Annu Rev Ecol Syst. 1986; 17: 391–421.
- Polak M. Developmental instability: causes and consequences. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003.
- 6. Beasley DAE, Bonisoli-Alquati A, Mousseau TA. The use of fluctuating asymmetry as a measure of environmentally induced developmental instability: a meta-analysis. Ecol Ind. 2013; 30: 218–226.
- Savriama Y, Klingenberg CP. Beyond bilateral symmetry: geometric morphometric methods for any type of symmetry. BMC Evol Biol. 2011; 11: 280. doi: <u>10.1186/1471-2148-11-280</u> PMID: <u>21958045</u>
- Minelli A, Fusco G. Evo-devo perspectives on segmentation: model organisms, and beyond. Trends Ecol Evol. 2004; 19: 423–429. PMID: <u>16701300</u>
- Fusco G, Minelli A. Developmental stability in geophilomorph centipedes. Fragm faun. 2000; 43: 73–82.
- Møller AP, Swaddle JP. Asymmetry, developmental stability, and evolution. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1997.
- Graham JH, Emlen JM, Freeman DC. Nonlinear dynamics and developmental instability. In: Polak M, editor. Developmental instability: causes and consequences. New York, NY: Oxford University Press; 2003. pp. 35–50.
- Le niewska M, Bonato L, Minelli A, Fusco G. Trunk anomalies in the centipede Stigmatogaster subterranea provide insight into late-embryonic segmentation. Arthr Str Dev. 2009; 38: 417–426.
- 13. Brena C, Akam M. The embryonic development of the centipede *Strigamia maritima*. Dev Biol. 2012; 363: 290–307. doi: <u>10.1016/j.ydbio.2011.11.006</u> PMID: <u>22138381</u>
- Brena C, Akam M. An analysis of segmentation dynamics throughout embryogenesis in the centipede Strigamia maritima. BMC Biology. 2013; 11: 112. doi: <u>10.1186/1741-7007-11-112</u> PMID: <u>24289308</u>
- Brena C. The embryoid development of *Strigamia maritima* and its bearing on post-embryonic segmentation of geophilomorph centipedes. Front Zool. 2014; 11: 58.
- Le niewska M, Bonato L, Fusco G. Morphological anomalies in a Polish population of Stigmatogaster subterranea (Chilopoda, Geophilomorpha): a multi-year survey. Soil organisms. 2009; 81: 347–358.
- Le niewska M. Morphological anomalies in *Haplophilus subterraneus* (Shaw, 1794)—(Chilopoda: Geophilomorpha). Poznań: Kontekst Publishing House; 2012.
- Barber AD, Keay AN. Provisional atlas of the centipedes of the British Isles. Huntington: Biological Records Centre, UK; 1988.
- Lindner EN. Einige Anmerkungen zum Vorkommen von Stigmatogaster subterraneus (Shaw, 1789) und Henia vesuviana (Newport, 1845) (Chilopoda: Geophilida) in Deutschland sowie Überblick über deren Verbreitung in Europa. Schubartiana. 2007; 2: 49–56.
- Fusco G. Trunk segment numbers and sequential segmentation in myriapods. Evol Dev. 2005; 7: 608– 617. PMID: <u>16336414</u>
- Fusco G, Minelli A. Arthropod segmentation and tagmosis. In: Minelli A, Boxshall G, Fusco G, editors. Arthropod biology and evolution. Molecules, development, morphology. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer Verlag; 2013. pp. 197–221.
- Berto D, Fusco G, Minelli A. Segmental units and shape control in Chilopoda. Entomologica Scandinavica. 1997; 51: 61–70.

- Patwary MU, Kenchington EL, Bird CJ, Zouros E. The use of random amplified polymorphic DNA markers in genetic studies of the sea scallop *Plactopecten magellanicus* (Gmelin, 1791). J Shellfish Res. 1994; 13: 547–553.
- Molecular Ecology Resources Primer Development Consortium, Agata K, Alasaad S, Almeida-Val VM, Alvarez-Dios JA, Barbisan F, et al. Permanent Genetic Resources added to Molecular Ecology Resources Database 1 December 2010–31 January 2011. Mol Ecol Res. 2011; 11: 586–589. doi: <u>10.</u> 1111/j.1755-0998.2011.03004.x PMID: 21457476
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P. MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. Mol Ecol Notes. 2004; 4: 535–538.
- 26. Kuznetsova A, Brockhoff PB, Christensen RHB. ImerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. R package version 2.0–20. 2014. Available: <u>http://CRAN.R-project.org/package = ImerTest</u> Accessed 17 December 2014.
- Wang J. COANCESTRY: A program for simulating, estimating and analysing relatedness and inbreeding coefficients. Mol Ecol Res. 2011; 11: 141–145. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-0998.2010.02885.x</u> PMID: <u>21429111</u>
- Excoffier L, Lischer HE. Arlequin suite ver 3.5: a new series of programs to perform population genetics analyses under Linux and Windows. Mol Ecol Res. 2010; 10: 564–567. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-0998.</u> <u>2010.02847.x</u> PMID: <u>21565059</u>
- Belkhir K, Borsa P, Chikhi L, Raufaste N, Bonhomme F. GENETIX 4.04, logiciel sous Windows TM pour la génétique des populations. Laboratoire Génome, Populations, Interactions: CNRS UMR 5000, Université de Montpellier II, Montpellier, France. 2004. Available: <u>http://kimura.univ-montp2.fr/genetix/</u>. Accessed 17 December 2014.
- Hubisz MJ, Falush D, Stephens M, Pritchard JK. Inferring weak population structure with the assistance of sample group information. Mol Ecol Res. 2009; 9: 1322–1332. doi: <u>10.1111/j.1755-0998.2009</u>. 02591.x PMID: 21564903
- Pritchard JK, Stephens M, Donnelly P. Inference of population structure using multilocus genotype data. Genetics. 2000; 155: 945–959. PMID: <u>10835412</u>
- Maruzzo D, Bonato L, Brena C, Fusco G, Minelli A. Appendage loss and regeneration in arthropods: a comparative view. In: Koenemann S, Jenner R, editors. Crustacea and Arthropod Relationships; Crustacean Issues 16 (Festschrift for Frederick R. Schram). Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press; 2005. pp. 215–245.
- Maruzzo D, Bortolin F. Arthropod regeneration. In: Minelli A, Boxshall G, Fusco G, editors. Arthropod biology and evolution. Molecules, development, morphology. Berlin Heidelberg: Springer Verlag; 2013. pp. 149–169.
- Stewart JR, Lister AM. Cryptic northern refugia and the origins of the modern biota. Trends Ecol Evol. 2001; 16:608–613.
- Kotlík P, Deffontaine V, Mascheretti S, Zima J, Michaux JR, Searle JB. A northern glacial refugium for bank voles (*Clethrionomys glareolus*). Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 2006; 103: 14860–14864. PMID: <u>17001012</u>
- Ruedi M, Castella V. Genetic consequences of the ice ages on nurseries of the bat *Myotis myotis*: a mitochondrial and nuclear survey. Mol Ecol. 2003; 12: 1527–1540. PMID: <u>12755881</u>
- Vilaça ST, Biosa D, Zachos F, Iacolina L, Kirschning J, Alves PC. Mitochondrial phylogeography of the European wild boar: the effect of climate on genetic diversity and spatial lineage sorting across Europe. J Biogeogr. 2014; 41: 987–998.
- Homburg K, Drees C, Gossner MM, Rakosy L, Vrezec A, Assmann T. Multiple glacial refugia of the lowdispersal ground beetle *Carabus irregularis*: molecular data support predictions of species distribution models. PLoS ONE. 2013; 8(4): e61185. doi: <u>10.1371/journal.pone.0061185</u> PMID: <u>23593425</u>
- **39.** Hewitt G. The genetic legacy of the Quaternary ice ages. Nature. 2000; 405: 907–913. PMID: 10879524
- Clune J, Mouret JB, Lipson H. The evolutionary origins of modularity. Proc R Soc B. 2013; 280: 20122863. doi: <u>10.1098/rspb.2012.2863</u> PMID: <u>23363632</u>
- Edgecombe GD. The Chilopoda—Phylogeny. In: Minelli A, editor. Treatise on Zoology—The Myriapoda. Volume 1. Leiden: Brill; 2011. pp. 339–354. PMID: <u>20509437</u>
- Bonato L, Drago L, Murienne J. Phylogeny of Geophilomorpha (Chilopoda) inferred from new morphological and molecular evidence. Cladistics. 2014; 30: 485–507.