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#### Abstract

We prove the following statement, which has been conjectured since 1985: There exists a constant $K$ such that for all natural numbers $d, g$ with $g \leq K d^{3 / 2}$ there exists an irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ whose general element is a smooth, connected curve of degree $d$ and genus $g$ of maximal rank.


## 1. Introduction

The postulation of algebraic space curves has been the object of wide interest in the last thirty years (see for instance [1], [2], [24]). In particular, the following Conjecture was stated in 1985 in [2], p. 2 (see also [3], $\S 6$, Problem 4):

Conjecture 1. There exists a constant $K$ such that for all natural numbers $d, g$ with $g \leq K d^{3 / 2}$ there exists an irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ whose general element is a smooth, connected curve of degree $d$ and genus $g$ of maximal rank.

Here we consider smooth and connected curves $X$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m)\right)=0, h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-\right.$ 1)) $=0, \operatorname{deg}(X)=d, g(X)=g$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(m-2)\right)=0$ (hence of maximal rank by Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity). Since $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(m)\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+m d-g \leq\binom{ m+3}{3} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d(m, g)_{\max }$ be the maximal integer $d$ such that (1) is satisfied, i.e. set $\left.d(m, g)_{\max }:=\left\lfloor\binom{ m+3}{3}+g-1\right) / m\right\rfloor$. Since $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-1)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(m-1)\right)=0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1+(m-1) d-g \geq\binom{ m+2}{3} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $d(m, g)_{\min }$ be the minimal integer $d$ such that (21) is satisfied, i.e. set $\left.d(m, g)_{\min }:=\left\lceil\binom{ m+2}{3}+g-1\right) /(m-1)\right\rceil$.

For every integer $s>0$ define the number $p_{a}\left(C_{s}\right):=s(s+1)(2 s-5) / 6+1$ (which is going to to be the genus of the curve $C_{s}$ to be introduced later in Section (2). For

[^0]all positive integers $m \geq 3$ set
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(m)= & p_{a}\left(C_{\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-4}\right)+p_{a}\left(C_{\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-5}\right) \\
= & \frac{(\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-4)(\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-3)(2\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-13)}{6}+1 \\
& +\frac{(\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-5)(\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-4)(2\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-15)}{6}+1 .
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

For any smooth curve $X \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ let $N_{X}$ denote the normal bundle of $X$ in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$. If $h^{1}\left(N_{X}\right)=0$, then $X$ is a smooth point of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and this Hilbert scheme has the expected dimension $h^{0}\left(N_{X}\right)$ at $X$.

Our main result is the following:
Theorem 1. For every integer $m \geq 3$ and every $(d, g)$ with $17052 \leq g \leq \varphi(m)$ and $d(m, g)_{\min } \leq d \leq d(m, g)_{\max }$ there exists a component of the Hilbert scheme of curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ of genus $g$ and degree $d$, whose general element $X$ is smooth and satisfies $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-1)\right)=0, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m)\right)=0, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(m-2)\right)=0$, and $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-1)\right)=0$.

As an application of Theorem 1 we prove Conjecture 1 Indeed, if $g=0$ we have just to quote [17. Next, if $0<g<17052$ we may choose $K>0$ such that $g \geq K(g+3)^{3 / 2}$. Hence from $K(g+3)^{3 / 2} \leq g \leq K d^{3 / 2}$ we get $d \geq g+3$ and we are done by [1]. Finally, if $g \geq 17052$ we have the following:
Corollary 1. Let $K=\frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{3 / 2}$ and $\epsilon=\frac{11}{20}+4\left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^{3 / 2}$. If $17052 \leq g \leq K d^{3 / 2}-6 \epsilon d$ then there exists an irreducible component of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ whose general element $X$ is a smooth, connected curve of degree $d$ and genus $g$ of maximal rank and with $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-1)\right)=0$.

The constant $K$ in Corollary 1 is certainly not optimal, but the exponent $d^{3 / 2}$ is sharp among the curves with $h^{1}\left(N_{X}\right)=0$ (see [11, [25, Corollaire 5.18] and [18, II.3.6] for the condition $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-2)\right)=0$, [18, II.3.7] and [27] for the condition $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-1)\right)=0$, and [18, II.3.8] for the condition $\left.h^{1}\left(N_{X}\right)=0\right)$.

If $X$ is as in Theorem [1, then by Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity we have $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(t)\right)=0$ for all $t>m$ and the homogeneous ideal of $X$ is generated by forms of degree $m$ and degree $m+1$. A smooth curve $Y \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Y}(m-1)\right)=$ $0, \frac{m^{2}+4 m+6}{6} \leq \operatorname{deg}(Y)<\frac{m^{2}+4 m+6}{3}$ and maximal genus among the curves with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Y}(m-1)\right)=0$ satisfies $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(m-1)\right)=0([15$, proof of Theorem 3.3 at p. 97]). In the statement of Theorem we claim one shift more, namely, $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(m-2)\right)=0$, in order to apply Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity to $X$.

We describe here one of the main differences with respect to [17, 1, 2]. Fix integers $d, g$ as in Theorem 1 or Corollary 1 Suppose that we have constructed two irreducible and generically smooth components $W_{1}, W_{2}$ of the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves of degree $d$ and genus $g$. Suppose also that we have proved the existence of $Y_{1} \in W_{1}$ and $Y_{2} \in W_{2}$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Y_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{Y_{1}}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{Y_{i}}\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y_{i}}(m-3)\right)=0, i=1,2$. If $W_{1}=W_{2}$, then by the semicontinuity theorem for cohomology and Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity a general $X \in W_{1}$ satisfies $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-1)\right)=0, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(t)\right)=0$ for all $t \geq m$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X}\right)=0$. In particular a general element of $W_{1}$ has maximal rank. But we need to know that $W_{1}=W_{2}$. If $d \geq g+3$ it was not known at that time that the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves of degree $d$ and genus $g$ is irreducible (6]), but it was obvious since at least Castelnuovo that its part parametrizing the non-special curves is
irreducible (modulo the irreducibility of the moduli scheme $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ of genus $g$ smooth curves). When $d<g+3$, the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves of degree $d$ and genus $g$ is often reducible, even in ranges with $d / g$ not small ([5, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23). In [2] when $d \geq(g+2) / 2$ we defined a certain irreducible component $Z(d, g)$ of the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves of degree $d$ and genus $g$ and (under far stronger assumptions on $d, g)$ we were able find $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ with $W_{1}=W_{2}=Z(d, g)$. Several pages of Section 5 are devoted to solve this problem.

We work over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero.

## 2. Preliminaries

2.1. The curves $C_{t, k}$. For each locally Cohen-Macaulay curve $C \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ the index of speciality $e(C)$ of $C$ is the maximal integer $e$ such that $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(e)\right) \neq 0$.

Fix an integer $s>0$. Let $C_{s} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be any curve fitting in an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-s-1) \rightarrow(s+1) \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(-s) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_{s}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each $C_{s}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay and in particular $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{s}}\right)=1$. By taking the Hilbert function in (3) we get $\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{s}\right)=s(s+1) / 2, p_{a}\left(C_{s}\right)=s(s+$ 1) $(2 s-5) / 6+1$ and $e\left(C_{s}\right)=s-3$. Hence $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{s}}(s-1)\right)=0, i=0,1,2$. By taking $d:=\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{s}\right)$ we get $p_{a}\left(C_{s}\right)=1+d(s-1)-\binom{s+2}{3}=G_{A}(d, s)$. The set of all curves fitting in (3) is an irreducible variety and its general member is smooth and connected. Among them there are the stick-figures called $\mathbf{K}_{s}$ in [12], 13] and 4]. We have $h^{1}\left(N_{C_{s}}(-2)\right)=0$ for all $C_{s}([10$, Lemme 1], see also [9]). Unless otherwise stated we only use smooth $C_{s}$.

For any $t, k$ let $C_{t, k}:=C_{t} \sqcup C_{s}$ be the union of a smooth $C_{t}$ and a smooth $C_{k}$ with the only restriction that they are disjoint. By definition each $C_{t, k}$ is smooth. Let $d_{t, k}:=\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{t, k}\right)=t(t+1) / 2+k(k+1) / 2$ and $g_{t, k}:=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{t, k}}\right)=$ $2+t(t+1)(2 t-5) / 6+k(k+1)(2 k-5) / 6$ for $t \geq k>0$. If $t \geq k>0$ then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t+k-1) d_{t, k}+2-g_{t, k}=\binom{t+k+2}{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since each connected component $A$ of $C_{t, k}$ satisfies $h^{i}\left(N_{A}(-2)\right)=0, i=0,1$, we have $h^{i}\left(N_{C_{t, k}}(-2)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

Lemma 1. We have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k}}(t+k-1)\right)=0, i=0,1,2$.
Proof. Since $C_{t} \cap C_{k}=\emptyset$, we have $\operatorname{Tor}_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}}}^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t}}, \mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}\right)=0$ and $\mathcal{I}_{C_{t}} \otimes \mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}=\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k}}$. Therefore tensoring (3) with $s:=t$ by $\mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}(t+k-1)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow t \mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}(k-2) \rightarrow(t+1) \mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}(k-1) \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k}}(t+k-1) \rightarrow 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have $h^{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}(k-2)\right)=h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{k}}(k-2)\right)$ and the latter integer is zero, because $e\left(C_{k}\right)=k-3<k-2$. We have $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}(k-1)\right)=0$, because $C_{k}$ is arithmetically Cohen-Macaulay. We have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{k}}(k-1)\right)=0$, by the case $s=k$ of (3). Hence $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k}}(t+k-1)\right)=0, i=0,1,2$.

Remark 1. In this paper we only need $k \in\{t-1, t\}$.
Remark 2. We have $e\left(C_{t, k}\right)=\max \left\{e\left(C_{t}\right), e\left(C_{k}\right)=\max \{t-3, k-3\} \leq t+c-4\right.$. Recall that $d_{t, k}=\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{t, k}\right)$. If $s:=t+k$, then $d_{s-1,1}=\left(s^{2}-s+2\right) / 2 \geq d_{t, k}$. If $s$ is even then $d_{t, k} \geq s(s+2) / 4=d_{\frac{s}{2}, \frac{s}{2}}$. If $s$ is odd, then $d_{t, k} \geq(s+1)^{2} / 4=d_{\frac{s+1}{2}, \frac{s-1}{2}}$.

Remark 3. Let $X$ be a general smooth curve of genus $g$ and degree $d \geq g+3$ such that $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(1)\right)=0$; if either $g \geq 26\left(\left[25\right.\right.$, p. 67, inequality $\left.\left.D_{P}(g) \leq g+3\right]\right)$ or $g \leq 25$ and $d \geq g+14\left([25\right.$, p. 67] $)$, then $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-2)\right)=0$.
2.2. Smoothing. We are going to apply standard smoothing techniques (see for instance [16] and [26]).
Lemma 2. Fix $A \sqcup B$ with $A=C_{t}$ and $B=C_{k}$. Let $X$ be a nodal curve with $X=A \cup B \cup Y, Y$ a smooth curve of degree $d^{\prime} \geq 2$ and genus $g^{\prime}, \sharp(A \cap Y)=1$, $\sharp(B \cap Y)=1, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{Y}(1)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{Y}(-2)\right)=0$. Then $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-1)\right)=0$ and $X$ is smoothable.

Proof. Set $C:=A \cup B$. Write $\left\{p_{1}\right\}=A \cap Y$ and $\left\{p_{2}\right\}=B \cap Y$. We have an exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.0 \rightarrow N_{X}(-1) \rightarrow N_{X}(-1)\right|_{C} \oplus N_{X}(-1)\right|_{Y} \rightarrow N_{X}(-1)\right|_{\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{C}$ is obtained from $N_{C}(-1)$ by making two positive elementary transformations and $h^{1}\left(N_{C}(-1)\right)=0$, we have $h^{1}\left(\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{C}\right)=0$. Since $\left.N_{X}(-2)\right|_{Y}$ is obtained from $N_{Y}(-2)$ by making two positive elementary transformations and $h^{1}\left(N_{Y}(-2)\right)=0$, we have $h^{1}\left(\left.N_{X}(-2)\right|_{Y}\right)=0$. Let $H \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ be a general plane containing $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}$. Since $Y$ is not a line, $Y \cap H$ is a zero-dimensional scheme. Since $h^{1}\left(\left.N_{X}(-2)\right|_{Y}\right)=0$, the restriction map

$$
H^{0}\left(Y,\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(Y \cap H,\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{H \cap Y}\right)
$$

is surjective. Since $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\} \subseteq Y \cap H$, the restriction map $H^{0}\left(Y \cap H,\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{H \cap Y}\right) \rightarrow$ $H^{0}\left(\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\},\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}}\right)$ is surjective. Hence the restriction map

$$
H^{0}\left(Y,\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{Y}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\},\left.N_{X}(-1)\right|_{\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}\right\}}\right)
$$

is surjective. From (6) we get $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-1)\right)=0$.
Since $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-1)\right)=0, X$ is smoothable ([12, Corollary 1.2]).
Call $U\left(t, k, d^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ the set of all curves $X=A \cup B \cup Y$ appearing in Lemma 2 For all integer $y \geq 0$ and $x \geq y+3$ the Hilbert scheme of smooth space curves of degree $x$ and genus $y$ is irreducible (6, 7). By Lemma 2 there is a unique irreducible component $W\left(t, k, d^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ of the Hilbert scheme of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ containing the curve $X$ of Lemma 2, A general $C \in W\left(t, k, d^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ is smooth and $h^{1}\left(N_{C}(-1)\right)=0$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(C)=d^{\prime}+\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{t}\right)+\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{k}\right)=d^{\prime}+t(t+1) / 2+k(k+1) / 2$ and genus $g(C)=g^{\prime}+p_{a}\left(C_{t}\right)+p_{a}\left(C_{k}\right)=g^{\prime}-2+t(t+1)(2 t-5) / 6+k(k+1)(2 k-5) / 6$.

## 3. Assertion $M(s, t, k), k \in\{t-1, t\}$

For any $t \geq 27$, set $c(2 t+1, t, t)=t+3, d(2 t+1, t, t)=0, c(2 t, t, t-1)=t+2$ and $d(2 t, t, t-1)=t-1$. Set $g(t+k+1, t, k):=c(t+k+1, t, k)-3$. Note that if $k \in\{t-1, t\}$ we have
(7) $t(t+1)+k(k+1)+d(t+k+1, t, k)=(t+k)(t+k+4-c(t+k+1, t, k))$

Now fix an integer $s \geq t+k+3$ with $s-t-k-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and define the integers $c(s, t, k), g(s, t, k)$ and $d(s, t, k)$ by the relations $g(s, t, k)=c(s, t, k)-3-$ $3(s-t-k-1) / 2$ and
(8) $s\left(d_{t, k}+c(s, t, k)\right)+3-g_{t, k}-g(s, t, k)+d(s, t, k)=\binom{s+3}{3}, 0 \leq d(s, t, k) \leq s-2$

Note that (8) holds even if $s=t+k+1$. From (8) for the integers $s+2$ and $s$ and the equality $g(s+2, t, k)-g(s, t, k)=c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 d_{t, k}+2 c(s, t, k)+(s+1)(c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k))+ \\
& d(s+2, t, k)-d(s, t, k)+3=(s+3)^{2} \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

Remark 4. We have $c(2 t+1, t, t)=t+3, d(2 t+1, t, t)=0, c(2 t, t, t-1)=t+2$, $d(2 t, t, t-1)=t-1, c(2 t+2, t, t-1)=2 t+6, d(2 t+2, t, t-1)=2 t-3$, $c(2 t+3, t, t)=2 t+7, d(2 t+3, t, t)=2 t-1$.

Remark 5. We explain here the main reason for the assumption $t \geq 27$ made in this section. Fix an integer $s \geq t+k+1$ with $s \equiv t+k+1(\bmod 2)$. We work with a curve $X=C_{t, k} \sqcup A$ with $A$ a general smooth curve of degree $c(s, t, k)$ and genus $g(s, t, k)$ and we need $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-2)\right)=0$, i.e. we need $h^{1}\left(N_{A}(-2)\right)=0$. If $s=t+k+1$, then $A$ has genus 0 . The normal bundle of a general smooth rational curve $A \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ of degree $c(t+k+1, t, k) \geq 3$ is balanced, i.e. it is the direct sum of two line bundles of degree $2 c(s, t, k)-1$ ( 8 ), hence $h^{1}\left(N_{A}(-2)\right)=0$. Now assume $s \geq t+k+3$. By Lemma 3 below we have $g(s, t, k) \geq g(t+k+1, t, k)$. We have $g(2 t+1, t, t)=t \geq 27$ and $g(2 t, t, t-1)=t-1 \geq 26$. Since $g(s, t, k) \geq 26$, Remark 3 gives $h^{1}\left(N_{A}(-2)\right)=0$.

Lemma 3. For each $s \geq t+k+1$ with $s \equiv t+k-1(\bmod 2)$ we have $2(c(s+$ $2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)) \geq s+4$.

Proof. Since $g_{t, k}+g(s, t, k)<g_{\lceil(s+1) / 2\rceil,\lfloor(s+1) / 2\rfloor \text {, (8) for } s, t, k \text { and (11) for } t^{\prime}=}$ $\lceil(s+1) / 2\rceil$ and $k^{\prime}=\lfloor(s+1) / 2\rfloor$ imply $d_{t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}} \geq c(s, t, k)+d_{t, k}$. Remark 4 gives $c\left(s+2, t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=k^{\prime}+3$. Since $0 \leq d(s+2, t, k) \leq s$ and $0 \leq d(s, t, k) \leq s-2$, (9) and the difference between (8) for $s^{\prime}:=s+2$ and (4) for $t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}$ imply $c(s+2, t, k)-$ $c(s, t, k) \geq-1+c\left(s+2, t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}\right)=\lfloor(s+1) / 2\rfloor+2$.

Let $Q:=\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. The elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ are the fibers of the projection $\pi_{2}: Q \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}$, so that each $D \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ contains exactly one point of each fiber of $\pi_{2}$.

Assertion $M(s, t, k), k \in\{t-1, t\}, s \geq t+k+1, s \equiv t+k+1(\bmod 2)$ : Set $e=1$ if $0 \leq d(s, t, k) \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$ and $e=2$ if $d(s, t, k)>$ $c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$. There is a 6 -tuple $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ such that
(a) $Q$ is a smooth quadric surface, $X=C_{t, k} \sqcup Y, Y$ is a smooth curve of degree $c(s, t, k)$ and genus $g(s, t, k)$ and $Q$ intersects transversally $X$, with no line of $Q$ containing $\geq 2$ points of $X \cap Q$;
(b) $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are different elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$, each of them containing one point of $Y \cap Q, S_{i} \subset D_{i} \backslash D_{i} \cap Y, 1 \leq i \leq 2$, and $\sharp\left(S_{1}\right)+\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=d(s, t, k)$; $\pi_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}\left(S_{1}\right) ; S_{2}=\emptyset$ and $\pi_{2}\left(S_{1}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}\left(Y \cap\left(Q \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right)\right)$ if $e=1$, $\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=d(s, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k)+c(s, t, k)+3$ and $\pi_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}(Y \cap(Q \backslash$ $\left.\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right)$ ) if $e=2$;
(c) $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}}(s)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

Remark 6. Fix lines $L, R \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $L \cap R=\emptyset$ and $o \in \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash(L \cup R)$. Let $\ell: \mathbb{P}^{3} \backslash\{o\} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}$ denote the linear projection from $o$. We have $\sharp(\ell(L) \cap \ell(R))=1$, i.e. there is a unique line $D(L, R, o) \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $o \in D(L, R, o), D(L, R, o) \cap L \neq \emptyset$ and $D(L, R, o) \cap R \neq \emptyset$. We have $\sharp(D(L, R, o) \cap L)=\sharp(D(L, R, o) \cap R)=1$. The function $(L, R, o) \mapsto D(L, R, o)$ is regular.

Lemma 4. For all $t \geq 27$ and $k \in\{t-1, t\}$ assertion $M(t+k+1, t, k)$ is true.

Proof. Fix $C_{t, k}$ intersecting $Q$ at $2 d_{t, k}$ general points ([25).
(a) Assume $k=t$. We have $c(2 t+1, t, t)=t+3$ and $d(2 t+1, t, t)=0$ and so we take $e=1$ with $S_{1}=S_{2}=\emptyset$. Take any $A \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(2, t+1)\right|$ with $A \cap C_{t, k}=\emptyset$. We have $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}\left(C_{t, t} \cup A\right)=C_{t, t}$ and thus $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\operatorname{Res}_{Q}\left(C_{t, t} \cup A\right)}(2 t-1)\right)=0, i=0,1$. We have $h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap(C \cap A)}(2 t+1,2 t+1)\right)=h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{C_{t, t} \cap Q}(2 t-1, t)\right)=0, i=0,1$, by (7) and the generality of $C_{t, k} \cap Q$. Hence $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup A}(2 t+1)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

We deform $A$ to a curve $Y$ of degree $t+3$ and genus $t$ with $Y \cap C_{t, k}=\emptyset$, $Y$ intersecting transversally $Q$ and with no line of $Q$ containing $\geq 2$ points of $Q \cap\left(C_{t, k} \cup Y\right)$. By the semicontinuity theorem for cohomology ([14, III.8.8]), for a general $Y$ we have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup Y}(2 t+1)\right)=0, i=0,1$. Set $X:=C_{t, k} \cup Y, S_{1}=S_{2}=\emptyset$ and take as $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ any two different elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$, each of them containing one point of $Y \cap Q$.
(b) Assume $k=t-1$. We have $c(2 t, t, t-1)=t+2, d(2 t, t, t-1)=t-1$ and $c(2 t+2, t, t-1)-c(2 t, t, t-1)=t+4$ (Remark (4). Hence $e=1$. However, in the proof of $M(t+k+1, t, k)$ we will exchange the two rulings (as we will do below for the general proof that $M(s, t, k) \Longrightarrow M(s+2, t, k))$, so that $D_{1}, D_{2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$. Take lines $L_{1}, L_{2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ such that $L_{1} \neq L_{2}$ and $C_{t, t-1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, and $t$ different lines $R_{j} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq j \leq t$, none of them containing a point of $C_{t, t-1} \cap Q$. Fix $D_{1}, D_{2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ containing no point of $C_{t, t-1} \cap Q$ and with $D_{h} \neq R_{j}$ for all $h, j$. Set $u_{h}:=L_{1} \cap D_{h}, h=1,2$. Fix $E_{1} \subset D_{1}$ with $\sharp\left(E_{1}\right)=t-1$ and $E_{1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup L_{2}\right)=\emptyset$. We have $h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{E_{1}}(2 t-2, t)\right)=0$. Since $C_{t, k} \cap Q$ is a general subset of $Q$ with cardinality $2 d_{t, k}$, we have $h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap(C \cap A) \cup E_{1}}(2 t, 2 t)\right)=$ $h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{\left(C_{t, t} \cap Q\right) \cup E_{1}}(2 t-2, t)\right)=0, i=0,1$, by (7). The residual sequence of $Q$ gives $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup A \cup E_{1}}(2 t)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

Take an ordering $\left\{o_{1}, \ldots, o_{t-1}\right\}$ of $E_{1}$ and let $M_{i}$ the only element of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ with $o_{i} \in M_{i}$. Set $w_{i}:=R_{i} \cap M_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq t-1$. We fix a deformation $\left\{L_{h}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, $h=1,2$, of $L_{h}$ with the following properties: $\Lambda$ is a connected and affine smooth curve, $o \in \Lambda, L_{h}(o)=L_{h}, u_{h} \in L_{h}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda, L_{1}(\lambda) \cap L_{2}(\lambda)=\emptyset$ for all $\lambda$ and $L_{h}(\lambda)$ is transversal to $Q$ for all $\lambda \neq o$. For each $i$ with $1 \leq i \leq t-1$ there is a unique line $R_{i}(\lambda)$ containing $w_{i}$ and intersecting both $L_{1}(\lambda)$ and $L_{2}(\lambda)$ (Remark 6). There is a deformation $\left\{R_{t}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of $R_{t}$ with $R_{t}(o)=R_{t}, R_{t}(\lambda)$ intersecting both $L_{1}(\lambda)$ and $L_{2}(\lambda)$. Taking instead of $\Lambda$ a smaller neighborhood of $o$ we may assume $R_{i}(\lambda) \cap R_{j}(\lambda)=\emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$ and all $\lambda$ so that $A(\lambda):=$ $L_{1}(\lambda) \cup L_{2}(\lambda) \cup R_{1}(\lambda) \cup \cdots \cup R_{t}(\lambda)$ is a connected nodal curve of degree $t+2$ and arithmetic genus $t-1$. By semicontinuity (restricting if necessary $\Lambda$ to a neighborhood of $o$ ) we have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup A(\lambda) \cup E_{1}}(2 t)\right)=0, i=0,1$, for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Fix $\lambda_{0} \in \Lambda \backslash\{o\}$. Let $\left\{B_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ be a smoothing of $A\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ fixing $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$, i.e. take a smooth and connected affine curve $\Delta$ and $a \in \Delta$ with $B_{a}=A\left(\lambda_{0}\right), B_{\delta}$ a smooth curve of degree $t+2$ and genus $t-1$ and $\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \subset B_{\delta}$ for all $\delta$. Restricting if necessary $\Delta$ we may assume that $B_{\delta}$ is transversal to $Q$ and disjoint from $C_{t, k} \cup E_{1}$ for all $\delta \in \Delta$ and (by semicontinuity) that $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup B_{\delta} \cup E_{1}}(2 t)\right)=0, i=0,1$. Since $A\left(\lambda_{0}\right)$ is transversal to $Q$, we may (up to a finite covering of $\Delta$ ) find $t-1$ sections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{t-1}$ of the family $\left\{B_{\delta} \cap Q\right\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ of $2 t+4$ ordered points of $Q$ with $s_{i}(a)=w_{i}, i=1, \ldots, t-1$. Let $M_{j}(\delta), \delta \in \Delta$, be the only element of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ with $w_{i} \in M_{i}(\delta)$. Set $o_{i}(\delta):=L_{1} \cap M_{i}(\delta)$ and $E_{1}(\delta):=\left\{o_{1}(\delta), \ldots, o_{t-1}(\delta)\right\}$. By semicontinuity for a general $\delta \in \Delta \backslash\{a\}$ we have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup B_{\delta} \cup E_{1}(\delta)}(2 t)\right)=0$. We
fix such a $\delta$ and set $X:=C_{t, k} \cup B_{\delta}, S_{1}:=E_{1}(\delta), S_{2}:=\emptyset$. For $M(2 t, t, t-1)$ we use the lines $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and $M_{j}(\delta), 1 \leq j \leq t-1$.

Lemma 5. For each integer $s \geq t+k+1$ such that $s \equiv t+k+1(\bmod 2)$ we have $2 c(s, t, k) \geq s+4$ and $2 c(s, t, k) \geq s+6$ is $s \geq t+k+3$.
Proof. The case $s=t+k+1$ is true by Remark 4. The general case follows by induction $s-2 \Longrightarrow s$ by Lemma (3)

Lemma 6. Assume $t \geq 27$ and $k \in\{t-1, t\}$. Fix an integer $s \geq t+k+1$ such that $s \equiv t+k+1(\bmod 2)$. If $M(s, t, k)$ is true, then $M(s+2, t, k)$ is true.

Proof. Let $e \in\{1,2\}$ be the integer arising in $M(s, t, k)$ and $f \in\{1,2\}$ the corresponding integer for $M(s+2, t, k)$. Take ( $\left.X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ satisfying $M(s, t, k)$ with $X=C_{t, k} \sqcup Y$ and $D_{1}, D_{2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$. The 6-tuple $\left(X^{\prime}, Q, D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime}, S_{1}^{\prime}, S_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ will be a solution after exchanging the two rulings of $Q$, i.e. we will take $D_{1}^{\prime}, D_{2}^{\prime} \in$ $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ and we use $\pi_{1}$ instead of $\pi_{2}$. In each step with $d(s, t, k) \neq 0$ we obtain $X^{\prime}$ smoothing a curve $W$ union of $X, \chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}} \chi(o), e+1$ elements $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ and $c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-e-1$ elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$. See step (c) for the easier case $d(s, t, k)=0$ (here to get $W$ we add to $X$ a line $D_{0} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ and $c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-1$ elements of $\left.\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|\right)$.
(a) Assume $e=2$ and set $z:=d(s, t, k)+3-c(s+2, t, k)+c(s, t, k)$. Since $d(s, t, k) \leq s-2$, Lemma 3 gives $d(s, t, k) \leq 2(c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3)$, i.e. $z \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$. By assumption there is $E \subset Y \cap\left(Q \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right)$ such that $\sharp(E)=z$ and $\pi_{2}(E)=\pi_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}\left(S_{1}\right)$. Take a line $D_{0} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ different from $D_{1}, D_{2}$, with $D_{0} \cap E=\emptyset, D_{0} \cap C_{t, k} \cap Q=\emptyset$ and $D_{0} \cap Y \cap Q \neq \emptyset$; we use that $2 c(s, t, k) \geq 3+z$ (Lemma (5). Take distinct lines $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$, $1 \leq i \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$, such that $L_{i} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \leq z$, $X \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3} L_{i}\right)=E, L_{i} \cap\left(C_{t, k} \cap Q\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i$. Set $J:=\left(D_{0} \cup D_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.D_{2}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3} L_{i}\right)$. We fix $f$ general lines $R_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq f$, and $A_{i} \subset R_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq f$, with the conditions $\sum_{i=1}^{f} \sharp\left(A_{i}\right)=b(s+2, t, k), \pi_{1}\left(A_{f}\right) \subseteq$ $\pi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(A_{f}\right) \subseteq \pi_{1}(Y \cap(Q \backslash J))$. Set $\chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}} \chi(o), A:=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ and $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi . W$ is a flat degeneration of a disjoint union of $C_{t, k}$ and a smooth curve of degree $c(s+2, t, k)$ and genus $g(s+2, t, k)$, but to obtain a deformation compatible with the data $A_{1}, A_{2}$, see steps (a1) and (a2). We have $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W \cup A)=X \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and so $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W \cup A)}(s)\right)=0, i=0,1$. We have $h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{(W \cap Q) \cup A}(s+2, s+2)\right)=h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{(X \cap(Q \backslash J) \cup A}(s-1, s+5+c(s, t, k)-c(s+\right.$ $2, t, k))$ ). We have $\sharp((X \cap(Q \backslash J)) \cup A)=h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{O}_{Q}(s-1, s+5+c(s, t, k)-c(s+\right.$ $2, t, k))$. We have $h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{A}(s-1, s+5+c(s, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k))\right)=0$, because $s+5+c(s, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k)>0, f \leq 2$ and $\sharp\left(A_{1}\right) \leq s$; this is a key reason for our definition of $M(s+2, t, k)$. Therefore to prove that $h^{i}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{(X \cap(Q \backslash J) \cup A}(s-1, s+\right.$ $5+c(s, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k)))=0, i=0,1$, it is sufficient to prove that we may take as $X \cap(Q \backslash J)$ a general subset of $Q$ with its prescribed cardinality. By Remark 5 we have $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-2)\right)=0$. Since $h^{1}\left(N_{X}(-2)\right)=0$, we may deform $X$ keeping fixed $E$ so that the other points are general in $Q$.
(a1) We have just proved that $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(s+2)\right)=0, i=0,1$. If $d(s+2, t, k)=0$, then $M(s+2, t, k)$ is proved for $e=2$. Now assume $d(s+2, t, k)>0$. To prove $M(s+2, t, k)$ when $e=2$ we need to deform $W$ to a smooth $X^{\prime}=C_{t, k} \sqcup Y^{\prime}$ intersecting transversally $Q$ and (perhaps moving $A$ ) to obtain condition (b) of $M(s+2, t, k)$. Set $P_{i}:=Y \cap D_{i}, i=0,1,2$. Let $\left\{D_{i}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a deformation of $D_{i}$
with $\Lambda$ a smooth and connected affine curve, $o \in \Lambda, D_{i}(o)=D_{i}, D_{i}(\lambda), \lambda \in \Lambda \backslash\{o\}$, a line of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ transversal to $Q$ and containing $P_{i}$. Fix $i \in\{1, \ldots, z\}$. By Remark 6 for each $\lambda \in \Lambda$ there is a unique line $L_{i}(\lambda) \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $D_{0} \cap L_{i} \in L_{i}(\lambda)$, $L_{i}(\lambda) \cap D_{1}(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ and $L_{i}(\lambda) \cap D_{2}(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$; restricting if necessary $\Lambda$ we may assume that all $L_{i}(\lambda), \lambda \neq o$, are transversal to $Q$. Fix an integer $i$ with $z<i \leq$ $c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$ and fix a general $m_{i} \in L_{i}$. By Remark 6 there is a unique line $L_{i}(\lambda)$ such that $m_{i} \in L_{i}(\lambda), L_{i}(\lambda) \cap D_{1}(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$ and $L_{i}(\lambda) \cap D_{2}(\lambda) \neq \emptyset$; restricting if necessary $\Lambda$ we may assume that all $L_{i}(\lambda), \lambda \neq o$, are transversal to $Q$. Restricting if necessary $\Lambda$ to a smaller neighborhood of $o$ in $\Lambda$ we may assume that $L_{i}(\lambda) \cap L_{j}(\lambda)=\emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, that $C_{t, k} \cap L_{i}(\lambda)=\emptyset$ for all $i$ and all $\lambda$, that $L_{i}(\lambda) \cap D_{0} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \leq z$. Fix a general $\lambda \in \Lambda$ and set $J(\lambda):=D_{0}(\lambda) \cup D_{1}(\lambda) \cup D_{2}(\lambda) \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3} L_{i}(\lambda)\right)$. Let $\chi(\lambda)$ be the union of all $\chi(q)$ with either $q \in D_{1}(\lambda) \cap L_{i}(\lambda), 1 \leq i \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$ or $q \in D_{2}(\lambda) \cap L_{i}(\lambda), 1 \leq i \leq z$. Set $W(\lambda):=X \cup J(\lambda) \cup \chi(\lambda)$. $W(\lambda)$ is the disjoint union of $C_{t, k}$ and of a degeneration of a flat family of smooth and connected curves of degree $c(s+2, t, k)$ and genus $g(s+2, t, k)$. As in the first part of step (a), restricting if necessary $\Lambda$, by semicontinuity we get $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W(\lambda) \cup A}(s+2)\right)=0$, $i=0,1$.
(a2) To prove $M(s+2, t, k)$ we need to prove that there is a set like $A$ (call it $A^{\prime}$ ) satisfying both $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(\lambda) \cup A^{\prime}(s+2)\right)=0, i=0,1$, and condition (b) of $M(s+2, t, k)$. First of all, instead of $P_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq 2$, we take a family $\left\{P_{i}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of points of $Y$ with $P_{i}(o)=P_{i}$ and $P_{i}(\lambda) \in Y \backslash Y \cap Q$ for all $\lambda \in \Lambda \backslash\{o\}$. Assume for the moment $f=2$. We modify the definition of $D_{i}(\lambda)$, because we impose that $P_{i}(\lambda) \in D_{i}(\lambda)$ (instead of $P_{i} \in D_{i}$ ), but we also impose that $D_{1}(\lambda) \cap R_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $D_{2}(\lambda) \cap R_{2} \neq \emptyset$ (this is possible by Remark 6). Then we construct $L_{i}(\lambda)$ as above. With this new definition $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ are secant lines of $W(\lambda) \backslash\left(C_{t, k} \cup Y\right), Y \subset W(\lambda), \pi_{1}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\pi_{1}\left(A_{f}\right) \subseteq \pi_{1}(Q \cap(Y \backslash J(\lambda) \cap Y))$; call $m_{1}, \ldots, m_{x}, x=\sharp\left(A_{f}\right)$, the points of $Y \cap Q$ whose image is $\pi_{1}\left(A_{f}\right)$. We fix $\lambda \in \Lambda \backslash\{o\}$. Let $\left\{B_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ be a smoothing of $W(\lambda)$ with $\Delta$ an affine and connected smooth curve, $a \in \Delta$, and $B_{a}=W(\lambda)$. Set $A(a):=A$. Since $Y$ is transversal to $Q$, up to a finite covering of $\Delta$ we may find $x+2$ sections $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{x}, z_{1}, z_{2}$ of the total space of $\left\{B_{\delta}\right\}_{\delta \in \Delta}$ with $s_{i}(a)=m_{i}$, $z_{1}(a)=R_{1} \cap D_{1}(\lambda), z_{2}(a)=R_{2} \cap D_{2}(\lambda), s_{i}(\delta) \in B_{\delta} \cap Q, z_{1}(\delta) \in B_{\delta} \cap Q$ and $z_{2}(\delta) \in B_{\delta} \cap Q$ for all $\Delta$. Let $R_{h}(\delta), h=1,2$, be the only element of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ containing $z_{h}(\delta)$. For each $\delta \in \Delta \backslash\{a\}$ and $i \in\{1, \ldots, x\}$ let $M_{i}(\delta) \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ be the only line of this ruling of $Q$ containing $s_{i}(\delta)$. Set $A_{1}(\delta):=\cup_{i=1}^{x}\left(R_{1}(\delta) \cap M_{i}(\delta)\right)$ and $A_{2}(\delta):=\cup_{i=1}^{d(s+2, t, k)-x}\left(R_{2}(\delta) \cap M_{i}(\delta)\right)$. Set $X_{\delta}:=C_{t, k} \cup B_{\delta}$. By construction ( $\left.X_{\delta}, Q, R_{1}, R_{2}, A_{1}(\delta), A_{2}(\delta)\right)$ satisfies condition (b) of $M(s+2, t, k)$, exchanging the two rulings of $Q$. By semicontinuity we have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{B_{\delta} \cup A(\delta)}(s+2)\right)=0, i=0,1$, for a general $\delta \in \Delta$.

Now assume $f=1$. In this case we only impose that $D_{i}(\lambda)$ meets $R_{1}$; we have $\pi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \subset \pi_{1}(Q \cap(Y \backslash J(\lambda) \cap Y))$ and $x=\sharp\left(A_{1}\right)=b(s+2, t, k)$.
(b) Assume $e=1$ and $d(s, t, k)>0$, i.e. assume $0<d(s, t, k) \leq c(s+2, t, k)-$ $c(s, t, k)-3$. We set $S_{2}:=0$ and ignore $D_{2}$. We fix $o \in S_{1}$. Take a line $D_{0} \neq D_{1}$ meeting $Y \cap Q$ and $c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-2$ distinct lines $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$, with $L_{i} \cap\left(C_{t, k} \cap Q\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i, L_{i} \cap(Y \cap Q) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq d(s, t, k)-1$ and $S_{1} \backslash\{o\}=D_{1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{d(s, t, k)-1}\right)$. Set $J:=\left(D_{0} \cup D_{1}\right) \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(s+2, t, k)+c(s, t, k)-2} L_{i}\right)$ and $\chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1}} \chi(o)$. Note that $\chi(X \cup J \cup \chi)-\chi(X)=c(s, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k)+3$. To modify step (a2) we impose that $D_{1}(\lambda) \cap R_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $D_{0}(\lambda) \cap R_{2} \neq \emptyset$.
(c) Assume $d(s, t, k)=0$. Hence $S_{1}=S_{2}=\emptyset$. Take a line $D_{0} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ different from $D_{1}, D_{2}$ and with $D_{0} \cap Y \cap Q \neq \emptyset$. Take $c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-1$ lines $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-1$, such that $L_{i} \cap\left(C_{t, k} \cap Q\right)=\emptyset$ for all $i$ and $L_{i} \cap(Y \cap Q) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $1 \leq i \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-3$. Set $J:=D_{0} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(s+2, t, k)-c(m, t, k)-1} L_{i}\right), Y^{\prime}:=Y \cup J$ and $W:=X \cup J$. Note that $\chi(W)-\chi(X)=c(s, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k)+3$. The union $Y^{\prime}$ is a connected nodal curve, which is a flat degeneration of a family of smooth curves of degree $c(s+2, t, k)$ and genus $g(s+2, t, k)$ not intersecting $C_{t, k}$. As in step (a) we get $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(s+2)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(s+2)\right)=d(s+2, t, k)$. If $d(s+2, t, k)=0$, then we are done, because $A=\emptyset$ and so condition (b) of $M(s+2, t, k)$ is trivially true. Now assume $d(s+2, t, k)>0$.

First assume $f=2$. As in step (a) we prove $M(s+2, t, k)$ interchanging the rulings of $Q$ and set $x:=c(s+4, t, k)-c(s+2, t, k)-3$. We fix general lines $R_{1}, R_{2} \in$ $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ and take $A_{i} \subset R_{i}$ such that $\pi_{1}\left(A_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{1}\left(A_{1}\right) \cap \pi_{1}(Q \cap(Y \backslash J \cap Y))$. Set $A:=A_{1} \cup A_{2}$. For a general $X$ we have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W \cup A}(s+2)\right)=0, i=0,1$. Set $q:=D_{0} \cap Y$. By Remark 6 there is a family $\left\{D_{0}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ of lines of $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ and $o \in \Lambda$ with $D_{0}(o)=D_{0}, \sharp\left(D_{0}(\lambda) \cap Y\right)=1$ for all $\lambda, D_{0}(\lambda) \cap Y \notin Q$ if $\lambda \neq 0$, $D_{0}(\lambda) \cap R_{1} \neq \emptyset$ and $D_{0}(\lambda) \cap R_{2} \neq \emptyset$. Up to a finite covering of $\Lambda$ we may also find families $\left\{L_{i}(\lambda)\right\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}, 1 \leq i \leq c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-1$. Set $J(\lambda)=D_{0}(\lambda):=$ $D_{0} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{c(s+2, t, k)-c(s, t, k)-1} L_{i}(\lambda)\right)$. We do the smoothing of $Y \cup J(\lambda)$ as in step (a2).

Finally, if $f=1$ we only need $D_{0}(\lambda) \cap R_{1} \neq \emptyset$ for all $\lambda$.

## 4. With a constant genus $g$

We fix an integer $t \geq 27$ and take $k \in\{t-1, t\}$. We fix an integer $g \geq g_{t, k}+g(t+$ $k+5, t, k)$. Let $y$ be the maximal integer $\geq t+k+5$ such that $y \equiv t+k-1(\bmod 2)$ and $g_{t, k}+g(y, t, k) \leq g\left(y\right.$ exists, because $\left.\lim _{u \rightarrow+\infty} g(t+k+1+2 u, t, k)=+\infty\right)$. By the definition of $y$ we have $y \geq t+k+5$ and $y \equiv t+k-1(\bmod 2)$. For all integers $x \geq y+2$ with $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$ define the integers $a(x, t, k, y)$ and $b(x, t, k, y)$ by the relation
(10) $x d_{t, k}+3-g+x a(x, t, k, y)+b(x, t, k, y)=\binom{x+3}{3}, 0 \leq b(x, t, k, y) \leq x-1$

If $x \geq y+4$, by taking the difference between equation (10) and the same equation for the integer $x^{\prime}:=x-2$ we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
2 d_{t, k}+2 a(x, t, k, y)+(x+2)(a(x+2, t, k, y)-a(x, t, k, y)) \\
+b(x+2, t, k, y)-b(x, t, k, y)=(x+3)^{2} \tag{11}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 7. For each $x \geq y+2$ with $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$ we have $2(a(x+2, t, k, y)-$ $a(x, t, k)) \geq x+5$.

Proof. Assume by contradiction $2(a(x+2, t, k, y)-a(x, t, k)) \leq x+4$. Recall that for all $u \geq v>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u+v-1) d_{u, v}+2-g_{u, v}=\binom{u+v+2}{3} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

First assume $x$ odd, i.e. $k=t$. Since $g_{(x+1) / 2,(x+1) / 2}>g$, (12) and (10) give $d_{(x+1) / 2,(x+1) / 2} \geq d_{t, k}+a(x, t, k, y)$. Since $b(x+2, t, k, y) \leq x+1$ and $b(x, t, k, y) \geq 0$ (11) gives

$$
(x+1)(x+3) / 2+(x+2)(x+4) / 2+x+1 \geq(x+3)^{2}
$$

which is false. Now assume $x$ even, i.e. $k=t-1$. Since $g_{(x+2) / 2, x / 2}>g$, (12) and (10) gives $d_{(x+2) / 2, x / 2} \geq d_{t, k}+a(x, t, k, y)$. Since $b(x+2, t, k, y) \leq x+1$ and $b(x, t, k, y) \geq 0$ (11) gives

$$
(x+2)^{2} / 2+(x+2)(x+4) / 2+x+1 \geq(x+3)^{2}
$$

which is false.
Lemma 8. We have $2(a(y+2, t, k, y)-c(y, t, k)) \geq y+5$.
Proof. Define the integers $w, z$ by the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(y+2)\left(w+d_{t, k}\right)+3-g_{t, k}-g(y, t, k)+z=\binom{y+5}{3}, 0 \leq z \leq y+1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g \geq g_{t, k}+g(y, t, k)$, we have $w \leq a(y+2, t, k)$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $2(w-c(y, t, k)) \geq y+5$. Taking the difference between (13) and the case $s=y$ of (8) we get

$$
2 d_{t, k}+2 c(y, t, k)+(y+2)(w-c(y, t, k))+z-d(y, t, k)=(y+3)^{2}
$$

Then we continue as in the proof of Lemma 7 with $y+2$ instead of $x+2$.
The next lemma follows at once by induction on $x$, the inequality $2 c(y, t, k) \geq$ $y+6$ and Lemmas 7 and 8 .

Lemma 9. We have $2 a(x, t, k, y) \geq x+6$ for all integers $x \geq y+2$ with $x \equiv y$ $(\bmod 2)$.

Lemma 10. For each $x \geq y+2$ with $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$ we have $a(x, t, k, y) \geq$ $g-g_{t, k}+3$.

Proof. First assume $x=y+2$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (y+2)\left(d_{t, k}+c(y+2, t, k)\right)+3-g_{t, k}-g(y+2, t, k)+d(y+2, t, k)= \\
& (y+2)\left(d_{t, k}+a(y+2, t, k, y)\right)+b(y+2, t, k, y)+3-g
\end{aligned}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{align*}
& (y+2)(c(y+2, t, k)-a(y+2, t, k, y)+d(y+2, t, k)-b(y+2, t, k, y) \\
& =g(y+2, t, k)-g-g_{t, k} \tag{14}
\end{align*}
$$

By the definition of $y$ the right hand side of (14) is negative. Since $c(y+2, t, k) \geq$ $g(y+2, t, k)+3, b(y+2, t, k, y) \leq y+1, d(y+2, t, k) \geq 0$, we have $c(y+2, t, k, y) \geq$ $g-g_{t, k}$.

Now assume $x \geq y+4$. By Lemma 7 we have $a(x, t, k, y) \geq a(y+2, t, k, y)$.
By Lemma 10 there is a non-special curve of degree $a(x, t, k, y)$ and genus $g-g_{t, k}$. We need this observation in the next statement.

Assertion $N(x, t, k, y), x \geq y, x \equiv y(\bmod 2):$ Set $e=1$ if $0 \leq b(x, t, k, y) \leq$ $a(x+2, t, k, y)-a(s, t, k, y)-1$ and $e=2$ if $b(x, t, k, y) \geq a(x+2, t, k, y)-a(x, t, k, y)$. There is a 6 -tuple $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ such that
(a) $Q$ is a smooth quadric surface, $X=C_{t, k} \sqcup Y, Y$ is a smooth non-special curve of degree $a(x, t, k, y)$ and genus $g-g_{t, k}$ and $Q$ intersects transversally $X$, with no line of $Q$ containing $\geq 2$ points of $X \cap Q$;
(b) $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are different elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$, each of them containing one point of $Y \cap Q, S_{i} \subset D_{i} \backslash D_{i} \cap Y, 1 \leq i \leq 2$, and $\sharp\left(S_{1}\right)+\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=b(x, t, k, y)$; $\pi_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\pi_{2}\left(S_{e}\right) \subset \pi_{2}\left(Y \cap\left(Q \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right)\right) ; S_{2}=\emptyset$ if $e=1$, $\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=b(x+2, t, k, y)-a(x+2, t, k, y)+a(x, t, k, y)+2$ if $e=2$;
(c) $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}}(x)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

Lemma 11. If $N(x, t, k, y)$ is true, then $N(x+2, t, k, y)$ is true.
Proof. We outline the modifications of the proof of Lemma 6 needed to get Lemma 11. Let $e \in\{1,2\}$ (resp. $f \in\{1,2\}$ ) be the integer arising in $N(x, t, k, y)$ (resp. $N(x+2, t, k, y))$. Take $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ satisfying $N(x, t, k, y)$. Set $w:=$ $a(x+2, t, k, y)-a(x, t, k, y)$.
(a) Assume $e=2$. Set $z:=b(x+2, t, k, y)+2-w$. Since $b(x+2, t, k, y) \leq x+1$, Lemma 7 gives $z \leq w-2$. Let $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq w-2$, be the lines such that $S_{1}=D_{1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{w-2}\right)$ and $S_{2}=D_{2} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{z}\right)$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{w-2} L_{i}\right)$ and $\chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}} \chi(o)$. Condition (b) gives $\sharp\left(L_{i} \cap Y\right)=1$ for all $i$. Condition (a) gives $C_{t, k} \cap J=\emptyset$. Hence $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi$ is a smoothable curve of degree $a(x+2, t, k, y)$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=g$.
(b) Assume $e=1$, i.e. assume $d(x+2, t, k, y) \leq w-1$. Let $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$, $1 \leq i \leq b(x, t, k, y)$, be the lines such that $S_{1}=D_{1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{b(x, t, k, y)}\right)$. Take general lines $L_{j} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, b(x, t, k, y)<j \leq w-1$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{w-1} L_{i}\right)$ and $\chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1}} \chi(o)$. Condition (a) gives $C_{t, k} \cap J=\emptyset$. Hence $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi$ is a smoothable curve of degree $a(x+2, t, k, y)$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=g$.

Lemma 12. $N(y+2, t, k, y)$ is true.
Proof. Use the proof of Lemma 6 and Lemma 11 starting with ( $X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}$ ) satisfying $M(y, t, k)$ and quoting Lemma 8 instead of Lemma 7

## 5. Proving Conjecture 1

In order to prove Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 first of all we notice that from the previous section we could deduce with a small effort the following two facts, but that (as explained at the end of the introduction) they would not prove Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 .

For each integer $d$ such that $g-3 \leq d \leq d(m, g)_{\text {max }}$ there exists a smooth and connected curve $X_{1} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{1}\right)=d, g(X)=g, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{1}}(m-2)\right)=0$, $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{1}}(-1)\right)=0$.

For each integer $d \geq d(m, g)_{\min }$ there exists a smooth and connected curve $X_{2} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(X_{2}\right)=d, g(X)=g, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X_{2}}(m-2)\right)=0, h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{2}}(-1)\right)=0$.

Now fix an integer $d$ such that $d(m, g)_{\min } \leq d \leq d(m, g)_{\max }$. To prove Theorem 1 for the pair $(d, g)$ it is sufficient to prove that we may find $X_{1}, X_{2}$ as above and with the additional condition that $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are in the same irreducible component, $\Gamma$, of $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$. If we prove this statement, then by the semicontinuity theorem for cohomology ([14, III.8.8]) we get $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-1)\right)=0$, hence we would conclude the proof for the pair $(d, g)$. To get $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ in the same irreducible component of $\operatorname{Hilb}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ we need to rewrite the proofs of the previous section with a few improvements. But first we need to distinguish between the case in which $d$ is very near to $d(m, g)_{\text {min }}$ and the case in which $d$ is very near to $d(m, g)_{\max }$. In the first case (say $d(m, g)_{\min } \leq d \leq d^{\prime}$ ) we will modify the proof
of the existence of $X_{2}$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$ to get (for the same curve $X_{2}$ ) also $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m)\right)=0$. If $d$ is very near to $d(m, g)_{\max }\left(\right.$ say $\left.d^{\prime \prime} \leq d \leq d(m, g)_{\max }\right)$ we will modify the proof of the existence of the curve $X_{1}$ to get a curve $X_{1}$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}(m-1)\right)=0$. We use that $N(x, t, k, y)$ are true for $x=m-5, m-4, m-3, m-2$ (Lemma 13).

Set $\epsilon:=0$ if $m$ is odd and $\epsilon:=1$ if $m$ is even.
5.0.1. Near $d(m, g)_{\text {min }}$. In this range the most difficult part is the proof of the existence of $X_{2}$. It is the construction of $X_{2}$ which says in which $W\left(t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ we will try to find $X_{1}$. Recall that to get a curve $X_{2}$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$ we started with a curve $C_{t, t-\epsilon}$ with $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, t-\epsilon}}(2 t-1-\epsilon)\right)=0$, where $t$ is the maximal integer $t>0$ such that such that $g_{t, t-\epsilon}+g(2 t+5-\epsilon, t, t-\epsilon) \leq g$. Set $k:=t-\epsilon$. Recall that an element $W$ of $U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ has degree $d$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{W}\right)=g$ if and only if $b=g-g_{t, k}$ and $a_{d}=d-d_{t, k}$. The component $W\left(t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}, d^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ is the component $W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$, where $b=g-g_{t, k}$ and $a_{d}=d-d_{t, k}$. The curve $T$ satisfying $N(m-1, t, k, y)$ has $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=g, 3$ connected components, $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T}(m-1)\right)=b(m-1, t, k, y)$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T}(m-1)\right)=0$, hence $d>a(m-1, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}$. The minimum integer $d(m, g)_{\min }$ is $a(m-1, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}+1$, unless $b(m-1, t, k, y) \in\{m-2, m-1\}$ (in the latter case we have $\left.d(m, g)_{\min }=a(m-1, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}+2\right)$.
(a) We make the construction of Section4for the integer $m^{\prime}:=m-1 \equiv t+k-1$ $(\bmod 2)$ and the integer $g$ (note that the numerology for $g$ in Theorem 1 is such that we may do the construction of Section 4 for $m^{\prime}:=m-1$ and the integer $g)$. We get an integer $y \leq m^{\prime}-4=m-5$ with $y \equiv t+k-1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$. Then for all integers $x \geq y+2$ with $x \equiv y(\bmod 2)$ we proved $N(x, t, k, y)$. Hence $N(m-5, t, k, y)$ and $N(m-3, t, k, y)$ are true (Lemma 13). Since $d \geq d(m, g)_{\min }$, we have $d>a(m-1, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}$, hence we want to add in a smooth quadric $Q$ a certain union of $d-a(m-3, t, k, y)-d_{t, k}$ lines. We write $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}$ for a general (but fixed in this construction) $C_{t, k}$, because we need to distinguish the two connected components of $C_{t, k}$, even when $k=t$.
(a1) Assume $d=d(m, g)_{\min }=a(m-1, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}+1$. Set $z:=d-$ $a(m-3, t, k, y)-d_{t, k}=1+a(m-1, t, k, y)-a(m-3, t, k, y)$. We need to modify $N(m-3, t, k, y)$ in the following way.

Assertion $N^{\prime}(m-3, t, k, y), m-3 \equiv y(\bmod 2):$ Set $e=1$ if $b(m-3, t, k, y) \leq$ $z-3$ and $e=2$ if $b(m-3, t, k, y) \geq z-2$. There is a 6 -tuple $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ such that
(a) $Q$ is a smooth quadric surface, $X=C_{t} \sqcup C_{k}^{\prime} \sqcup Y, Y$ is a smooth curve of degree $a(m-3, t, k, y)$ and genus $g-g_{t, k}$ and $Q$ intersects transversally $X$, with no line of $Q$ containing $\geq 2$ points of $X \cap Q$;
(b) $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are different elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|, D_{1} \cap C_{t} \neq \emptyset, D_{2} \cap C_{k} \neq \emptyset$, $S_{i} \subset D_{i} \backslash D_{i} \cap\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 2$, and $\sharp\left(S_{1}\right)+\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=b(m-3, t, k, y)$; $\pi_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}\left(S_{1}\right), \pi_{2}\left(S_{e}\right) \subset \pi_{2}\left(Y \cap\left(Q \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right)\right) ; S_{2}=\emptyset$ if $e=1$, $\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=b(m-3, t, k, y)-z+3$ if $e=2$;
(c) $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}}(m-3)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

As in the proof of Lemma 6 and Lemma 11 we get $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right), X=$ $C_{t} \sqcup C_{k}^{\prime} \sqcup Y$ satisfying $N^{\prime}(m-3, t, k, y)$; in the proof of Lemma 6 we take $R_{1}$ containing a point of $C_{t} \cap Q$ instead of a point of $Y \cap Q$ and $R_{2}$ containing a point of $C_{k}^{\prime} \cap Q$ instead of a point of $Y \cap Q$.
(a1.1) Assume $b(m-3, t, k, y)=0$. Take $D_{0} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ containing one point of $Y \cap Q, L_{1} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ containing a point of $C_{t}, L_{2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ containing a point of $C_{k}^{\prime}$ and general $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 3 \leq i \leq z-1$. Set $J:=D_{0} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right)$. Since $X \cap(Q \backslash J)$ is a general subset of $Q$ with cardinality $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m-3, t, k, y)-3$, we have $h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap(X \cup J)}(m-1)\right)=h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap(Q \backslash J)}(m-2, m-z)\right)=0$ (use (10) for $x=$ $m-3$, that $z=1+a(m-1, t, k, y)-a(m-3, t, k, y)$ and that $b(m-1, t, k, y) \leq m-2)$. Since $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(X \cup Y)=X$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-3)\right)=0$, we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup J}(m-1)\right)=0$. The union $X \cup J$ is a nodal and connected smoothable curve of degree $d$ and arithmetic genus $g$ and $Y \cup J$ is a connected smoothable curve of degree $d-d_{t, k}$ and arithmetic genus $g-g_{t, k}-2 \geq 26$. We may smooth $Y \cup J$ in a family of curves, all of them containing the two points $\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cap J$. Call $E$ a general element of this smoothing. Since $\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ is 2-transitive, we may see $E$ as a general non-special space curve of its degree and its genus $\geq 26$. By construction and Lemma 2 we have $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup E \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup E}(-1)\right)=0$. By semicontinuity there is a smooth $X_{2} \in W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{2}}(-1)\right)=0$.
(a1.2) Assume $0<b(m-3, t, k, y) \leq z-3$. Hence $S_{2}=\emptyset$. We take $D_{1}$ and call $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq b(m-3, t, k, y)$, the elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ such that $S_{1}=D_{1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{b(m-3, t, k, y)}\right)$; note that each line $L_{i}$ contains a point of $Y \cap Q$. Take any $L_{b(m-3, t, k, y)+1} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ with $C_{k}^{\prime} \cap L_{b(m-3, t, k, y)+1} \neq \emptyset$, any $L_{b(m-3, t, k, y)+2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ with $Y \cap L_{b(m-3, t, k, y)+2} \neq \emptyset, L_{b(m-3, t, k, y)+2} \neq L_{i}$ for $i \leq b(m-3, t, k, y)$ and (if $b(m-3, t, k, y)<z-3)$ take general $L_{j} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$, $b(m-3, t, k, y)+3 \leq j \leq z-1$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right), \chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1}} \chi(o)$ and $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi$. We have $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)=X \cup S_{1}$ and thus $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)}(m-3)\right)=0$. Since $W \cap Q$ is the union of $J$ and $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m-3, t, k, y)-b(m-3, t, k, y)-3$ general points of $Q$ and $b(m-1, t, k, y) \leq m-1$, (11) gives $h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap Q}(m-1)\right)=$ $h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap(Q \backslash J)}(m-2, m-z)\right)=0$. Thus $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(m-1)\right)=0$. We first deform $W$ to the union $F$ of $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup D_{1} \cup Y \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=b(m-3, t, k, y)+1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right)$ and $b(m-3, t, k, y)$ disjoint lines $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{b(m-3, t, k, y)}$, each of them containing one point of $Y$. The union $F$ is a nodal and connected curve. Write $F=C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G$. We have $\sharp\left(G \cap C_{t}\right)=\sharp\left(G \cap C_{k}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a general smoothing of $G$ fixing the 2 points of $\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cap G . C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime} \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$. By Lemma 2 and semicontinuity there is a smooth $X_{2} \in W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{2}}(-1)\right)=0$.
(a1.3) Assume $b(m-3, t, k, y) \geq z-2$. Since $z=a(m-1, t, k, y)-a(m-$ $3, t, k, y)+1$ and $b(m-3, t, k)) \leq m-4$, Lemma 7 gives $2(z-3) \geq b(m-3, t, k, y)$. Let $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq z-3$, be the lines such that $S_{1}=D_{1} \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-3} L_{i}\right)$ and $S_{2}:=D_{2} \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{w} L_{i}\right)$. Take $L_{z-2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ containing one point of $Y \cap Q$ and different from the other lines $L_{i}, i \leq z-3$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-2} L_{i}\right)$, $\chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1}} \chi(o)$ and $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi$. We have $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)=X \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and thus $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)}(m-3)\right)=0$. Since $W \cap Q$ is the union of $J$ and $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m, t, k, y)-w-$ 3 general points of $Q$ and $b(m-1, t, k, y) \leq m-1$ (11) gives $h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap Q}(m-1)\right)=$ $h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap(Q \backslash J)}(m-2, m-z)\right)=0$. Thus $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(m-1)\right)=0$. We first deform $W$ to the union $F$ of $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup Y \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=w+1}^{z-2} L_{i}\right)$ and $w$ disjoint lines $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{w}$, each of them containing one point of $Y$. The union $F$ is a nodal and connected curve. Write $F=C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G$. We have $\sharp\left(G \cap C_{t}\right)=\sharp\left(G \cap C_{k}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a general smoothing of $G$ fixing the 2 points of $\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cap G$. We have $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime} \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$. By Lemma 2 and semicontinuity there is a smooth $X_{2} \in W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{2}}(-1)\right)=0$.
(a1.4) Assume $d(m, g)_{\min }=a(m-1, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}+2$. We are in the set-up of step (a1.3) with the integer $z^{\prime}:=a(m-1, t, k, y)-a(m-3, t, k, y)+2$ instead of the integer $z:=a(m-1, t, k, y)-a(m-3, t, k, y)+1$.
(a2) Assume $d>d(m, g)_{\min }$ and set $w:=d-d(m, g)_{\min }$. By step (a1) there is a nodal curve $E=C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup F \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}-w, b\right)$ with $\sharp\left(C_{t} \cap F\right)=\sharp\left(C_{k}^{\prime} \cap F\right)=1$, $C_{t} \cap D_{k}^{\prime}=\emptyset, F$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{E}(m-1)\right)=0$. Take a general union $G$ of $F$ and $w$ lines, each of them meeting $F$ at exactly one point and quasi-transversally. By construction $E^{\prime}:=C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G$ is nodal and $C_{t} \cap G=C_{t} \cap F, C_{k}^{\prime} \cap G=C_{k}^{\prime} \cap F$. Since $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{E}(m-1)\right)=0$ and $E^{\prime} \supset E$, we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{E^{\prime}}(m-1)\right)=0$. We may smooth $G$ keeping fixed the points $C_{t} \cap F$ and $C_{k}^{\prime} \cap F$, because Aut $\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ is 2-transitive. Hence there is a non-special smooth curve $G^{\prime \prime}$ of degree $d-d_{t, k}$ and genus $g-g_{t, k}$ with $C_{t} \cap G^{\prime \prime}=C_{t} \cap F, C_{k}^{\prime} \cap G^{\prime \prime}=C_{k}^{\prime} \cap F$ and which is a general member of a family with $F^{\prime}$ as its special member and with $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime \prime}$ nodal. By semicontinuity we have $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime \prime}}(m-1)\right)=0$. We have $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime \prime} \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$.
(b) Set $\alpha:=t(t-2)$ if $k=t$ and $\alpha:=t^{2}-3 t+1$ if $k=t-1$. Fix a plane $H$, a smooth conic $D \subset H$ and general $C_{t, k}$. We have $D \cap C_{t, k}=\emptyset$ and $C_{t, k} \cap H$ is a general subset of $H$ with cardinality $d_{t, k}$. Hence $h^{0}\left(H, \mathcal{I}_{H \cap\left(C_{t, k} \cup D\right)}(t+k)\right)=$ $h^{0}\left(H, \mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cap H}(t+k-1)\right)=\binom{t+k+1}{2}-d_{t, k}=\alpha$ and $h^{1}\left(H, \mathcal{I}_{H \cap\left(C_{t, k} \cup D\right)}(t+k)\right)=0$. Then we continue the construction from the critical value $t+k$ to the critical value $t+k+2$, then to the critical value $t+k+4$, and so on up to the critical value $m-2$; in each step, say to arrive at the critical value $x$ from a curve $A^{\prime}$ and a set $S^{\prime}$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{A^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime}}(x-2)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{A^{\prime} \cup S^{\prime}}(x-2)\right)=\alpha$ and $0 \leq \sharp\left(S^{\prime}\right) \leq x-3$ (and so $\sharp\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\binom{x+1}{3}-(x-2) \operatorname{deg}\left(A^{\prime}\right)-3+g-\alpha$; we have bijectivity inside $Q$ and get a curve $A^{\prime \prime}$ and a set $S^{\prime \prime}$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{A^{\prime \prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}}(x)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{A^{\prime \prime} \cup S^{\prime \prime}}(x)\right) \leq \alpha$. In the last step we also need to connect the connected components of the curve and get an element $B \in U\left(t, k, a^{\prime}, b\right)$ for some $a^{\prime}$; we need to check that at each step the numerical conditions are satisfied. Call $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ the curve we get for $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^{3}}(m-2)$ and either $e=1$ or $e=2$. Set $S:=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}:=\sharp(S)$. We have $0 \leq \alpha^{\prime} \leq m-3$. Since $S$ is a union of connected components of $X \cup S$, the restriction map $H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X \cup S}(m-2)\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{X}(m-2)\right)$ is surjective and its kernel has dimension $\sharp(S)$. Since $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup S}(m-2)\right)=0$, we have $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-2)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-2)=\alpha+\alpha^{\prime} \leq \alpha+m-3\right.$. We cover in this way the integers $d$ such that $\binom{m+3}{3}+g-1-d m \geq \alpha+m-3$. Hence we cover all $d$ such that $d(m, g)_{\max }-d \geq 1+\lfloor\alpha / m\rfloor$. If $t \leq m / 4$ we have $\alpha / m \leq m / 4$.
5.0.2. Near $d(m, g)_{\max }$. In this range the most difficult part is the existence of $X_{1}$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}(m)\right)=0$ and it is this part which dictates the component $W\left(t^{\prime}, k^{\prime}, a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$ in which we will find both $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. We stress that the integers $t, k$ introduced in this subsection are not the same as in the previous one and hence also $y$ may be different.
(a) In this step we prove the existence of $X_{1}$. We start with the maximal integer $k$ such that $g_{k+1-\epsilon, k}+g(2 k+6-\epsilon, k+1-\epsilon, k) \leq g$ and set $t:=k+1-\epsilon$. We use $N(x, t, k, y)$. In particular we have $N(m-4, t, k, y)$ and $N(m-2, t, k, y)$. Set $a_{d}:=d-d_{t, k}$ and $b:=g-g_{t, k}$. In this step we prove the existence of $A \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{A}(m)\right)=0$, hence by semicontinuity the existence of $X_{1} \in W\left(t, t-1, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}(m)\right)=0$. Set $z:=d-a(m-2, t, k, y)-d_{t, k}$. We write $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}$ for a general (but fixed in this construction) $C_{t, k}$, because we need to distinguish the two connected components, even when $k=t$. Recall that we have (1).
(a1) Assume $d=d(m, g)_{\max }$. Let $T$ be any curve satisfying $N(m, t, k, y)$. We have $\operatorname{deg}(T)=d_{t, k}+a(m, t, k, y), h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=g, h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{T}(m)\right)=0, T$ has 3 connected components, $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{T}(m)\right)=b(m, t, k, y)$. By (11) we have $d=a(m, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}$ if $b(m, t, k, y) \leq m-3$ and $d=a(m, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}+1$ if $m-2 \leq b(m, t, k, y) \leq m-1$. Hence $a(m, t, k, y)-a(m-2, t, k) \leq z \leq a(m, t, k, y)-$ $a(m-2, t, k, y)+1$. Call $\eta$ the difference between the right hand side and the left hand side of (1).

Assertion $N^{\prime \prime}(m-2, t, k, y), m \equiv y(\bmod 2)$ : Set $e=1$ if $b(m-2, t, k, y) \leq$ $z-3$ and $e=2$ if $b(x, t, k, y) \geq z-2$. There is a 6 -tuple $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ such that
(a) $Q$ is a smooth quadric surface, $X=C_{t} \sqcup C_{k}^{\prime} \sqcup Y, Y$ is a smooth curve of degree $a(m-2, t, k, y)$ and genus $g-g_{t, k}$ and $Q$ intersects transversally $X$, with no line of $Q$ containing $\geq 2$ points of $X \cap Q$;
(b) $D_{1}, D_{2}$ are different elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|, D_{1} \cap C_{t} \neq \emptyset, D_{2} \cap C_{k}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, $S_{i} \subset D_{i} \backslash D_{i} \cap\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right), 1 \leq i \leq 2$, and $\sharp\left(S_{1}\right)+\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=b(x, t, k, y)$; $\pi_{2}\left(S_{2}\right) \subseteq \pi_{2}\left(S_{1}\right)$ and $\pi_{2}\left(S_{e}\right) \subset \pi_{2}\left(Y \cap\left(Q \backslash\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right)\right)\right) ; S_{2}=\emptyset$ if $e=1$, $\sharp\left(S_{2}\right)=b(m-2, t, k, y)-z+2$ if $e=2$;
(c) $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup S_{1} \cup S_{2}}(x)\right)=0, i=0,1$.

As in the proof of Lemma 6 and Lemma 11 we get $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right), X=$ $C_{t} \sqcup C_{k}^{\prime} \sqcup Y$ satisfying $N^{\prime \prime}(m-2, t, k, y)$; in the proof of Lemma 6 we take $R_{1}$ containing a point of $C_{t} \cap Q$ instead of a point of $Y \cap Q$ and $R_{2}$ containing a point of $C_{k}^{\prime} \cap Q$ instead of a point of $Y \cap Q$.
(a1.1) Assume $b(m-2, t, k, y)=0$. Take $z-1$ distinct lines $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$, $1 \leq i \leq z-1$, such that $L_{i} \cap C_{t}=\emptyset$ for all $i, L_{i} \cap C_{k}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i=1$ and $L_{i} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i=2$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right)$. Since $X \cap(Q \backslash J)$ is a general subset of $Q$ with cardinality $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m-3, t, k, y)-3$, we have $h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap(X \cup J)}(m)\right)=h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap(Q \backslash J)}(m-1, m+1-z)\right)=0$ (use the generality of $X \cap(Q \backslash J)$ and the difference between (11) and the case $x:=m-2$ of (10), which gives an upper bound for $\sharp(X \cap(Q \backslash J))$; we get an equality if and only if $\eta=0$, i.e. $b(m, t, k, y)=m-2$ and $\left.d=a(m, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}+1\right)$. Since $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(X \cup J)=X$ and $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X}(m-2)\right)=0$, we have $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X \cup J}(m)\right)=0$. The union $X \cup J$ is a nodal and connected smoothable curve of degree $d$ and arithmetic genus $g$ and $Y \cup J$ is a smooth and connected curve of degree $d-d_{t, k}$ and arithmetic genus $g-g_{t, k}-2 \geq 26$. We may smooth $Y \cup J$ in a family of curves, all of them containing the two points $\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cap J$. Call $E$ a general element of this smoothing. Since Aut $\left(\mathbb{P}^{3}\right)$ is 2transitive, we may see $E$ as a general non-special space curve of its degree and its genus $\geq 26$. By construction and Lemma 2 we have $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup E \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup E}(-1)\right)=0$. By semicontinuity there is a smooth $X_{1} \in W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{1}}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{1}}(-1)\right)=0$.
(a1.2) Assume $0<b(m-2, t, k, y) \leq z-3$. Hence $S_{2}=\emptyset$. We take $D_{1}$ and call $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq b(m-2, t, k, y)$, the elements of $\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ such that $S_{1}=D_{1} \cap\left(L_{1} \cup \cdots \cup L_{b(m-2, t, k, y)}\right)$; note that each line $L_{i}$ contains a point of $Y \cap Q$. Take any $L_{b(m-2, t, k, y)+1} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ with $C_{k}^{\prime} \cap L_{b(m-2, t, k, y)+1} \neq \emptyset$, any $L_{b(m-2, t, k, y)+2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ with $Y \cap L_{b(m-2, t, k, y)+2} \neq \emptyset, L_{b(m-2, t, k, y)+2} \neq L_{i}$ for $i \leq b(m-2, t, k, y)$ and (if $b(m-2, t, k, y)<z-3)$ take general $L_{j} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$, $b(m-2, t, k, y)+3 \leq j \leq z-1$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right), \chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1}} \chi(o)$ and $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi$. We have $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)=X \cup S_{1}$ and thus $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)}(m-2)\right)=0$. Since $\eta \geq 0$, (11) and the case $x=m-2$ of (11) give $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m, t, k, y)-b(m-$
$2, t, k, y)-3=m(m+3-z)-\eta \leq h^{0}\left(Q, \mathcal{O}_{Q}(m-2, m+2-z)\right)$. Since $W \cap Q$ is the union of $J$ and $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m, t, k, y)-b(m-2, t, k, y)-3$ general points of $Q$, we have $h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap Q}(m)\right)=h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap(Q \backslash J)}(m-1, m+1-z)\right)=0$. Thus $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(m)\right)=0$. We first deform $W$ to the union $F$ of $C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup D_{1} \cup Y \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=b(m-3, t, k, y)+1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right)$ and $b(m-3, t, k, y)$ disjoint lines $M_{1}, \ldots, M_{b(m-3, t, k, y)}$, each of them containing one point of $Y$. The union $F$ is a nodal and connected curve. Write $F=C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G$. We have $\sharp\left(G \cap C_{t}\right)=\sharp\left(G \cap C_{k}^{\prime}\right)=1$. Let $G^{\prime}$ be a general smoothing of $G$ fixing the 2 points of $\left(C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime}\right) \cap G . C_{t} \cup C_{k}^{\prime} \cup G^{\prime} \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$. By Lemma 2 and semicontinuity there is a smooth $X_{2} \in W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m)\right)=0$ and $h^{1}\left(N_{X_{2}}(-1)\right)=0$.
(a1.3) Assume $b(m-2, t, k, y) \geq z-2$. Since $z \geq a(m, t, k, y)-a(m-2, t, k)$ and $b(m-2, t, k, y) \leq m-3$, the case $x=m-2$ of Lemma 7 gives $2(z-3) \geq b(m-$ $2, t, k, y)$. Set $w:=b(m-2, t, k)-z+3$. Let $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|, 1 \leq i \leq z-3$, be the line such that $S_{1}=D_{1}\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-3} L_{i}\right)$ and $S_{2}:=D_{2} \cap\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{w} L_{i}\right)$. Let $L_{z-2} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ be a line with $L_{z-2} \neq L_{i}$ for any $i \neq z-2$ and $L_{z-2} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$. Note that $L_{j} \cap Y \neq \emptyset$ if and only if either $j \leq w$ or $j=z-2$. Set $J:=D_{1} \cup D_{2} \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-2} L_{i}\right), \chi:=\cup_{o \in S_{1} \cup S_{2}} \chi(o)$ and $W:=X \cup J \cup \chi$ and continue as in the last step.
(a2) Assume $d<d(m, g)_{\max }$ We have $\eta \geq m\left(d(m, g)_{\max }-d\right) \geq m$ and in particular $\eta \geq m \geq b(m-2, t, k, y)+2$. To prove the existence of $X_{1}$ in this component we only need that $z \geq 3$, i.e. that $d \geq a_{m-2, t, k, y}+d_{t, k}+3$, which is true because $1+(m-1) d-g \geq\binom{ m+2}{3}$ and $(m-1)\left(a(m-2, t, k, y)+d_{t, k}\right)+3-g=\binom{m+1}{2}-$ $a(m-2, t, k)-d_{t, k}+b(m-2, t, k, y) \geq 3 m$. Take $\left(X, Q, D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}, S_{2}\right)$ satisfying $N(m-2, t, k, y)$ with $X=C_{t} \sqcup C_{k}^{\prime} \sqcup Y$ and throw away $D_{1}, D_{2}, S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Fix $D \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(1,0)\right|$ containing one point of $Y \cap Q$ and $z-1$ distinct lines $L_{i} \in\left|\mathcal{O}_{Q}(0,1)\right|$ with $L_{i} \cap Y=\emptyset$ for all $i, L_{i} \cap C_{t} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i=1$ and $L_{i} \cap C_{k}^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i=2$. Set $J:=D \cup\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{z-1} L_{i}\right)$ and $W:=X \cup J$. As in the previous steps it is sufficient to prove that $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{W}(m)\right)=0$. We have $\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)=X$ and thus $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{I}_{\operatorname{Res}_{Q}(W)}(m-2)\right)=0$. Hence it is sufficient to prove that $h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{W \cap Q}(m)\right)=0$. We have $h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{Q \cap W}(m)\right)=h^{1}\left(Q, \mathcal{I}_{X \cap(Q \backslash J)}(m-1, m+1-z)\right)$. Since $X \cap Q$ is general in $Q$, it is sufficient to prove that $\sharp(X \cap(Q \backslash J)) \leq m(m+2-z)$. We have $\sharp(X \cap(Q \backslash J))=2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m-2, t, k, y)-3$. By the definition of $\eta$ and (10) for $x=m-2$ we have $2 d_{t, k}+2 a(m-2, t, k, y)-3=m(m+2-z)+b(m-2, t, k, y)+2-\eta \leq$ $m(m+2-z)$.
(b) In this part we get the existence of $A \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{A}(m-\right.$ 1)) $=0, \operatorname{deg}(A)=d$ and $p_{a}(A)=g$, hence by semicontinuity the existence of $X_{2} \in W\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{X_{2}}(m-1)\right)=0$. We have $h^{i}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k}}(t+k-1)\right)=0$, $i=0,1$ and $m-1 \equiv t+k(\bmod 2)$. Fix a plane $H$. Let $c$ be the maximal integer such that $\binom{t+k+2-c}{2} \leq d_{t, k}$. Let $E \subset H$ be a general linear projection of a general smooth and rational degree $c$ curve $E^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{P}^{3}$. The curve $E$ is nodal and it has $(c-1)(c-2) / 2$ singular points. Set $\chi:=\cup_{p \in \operatorname{Sing}(E)} \chi(p)$. The union $E \cup \chi$ is the flat limit of a family of degree $c$ smooth rational curves in $\mathbb{P}^{3}$ ([14), Fig. 11 at p. 260]. Hence to prove that a general union of some $C_{t, k}$ and a smooth rational curve of degree $c$ is contained in no surface of degree $t+k$ it is sufficient to prove that $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup E \cup \chi}(t+k)=0\right.$ for a general $C_{t, k}$. Thus it is sufficient to prove that $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cup E}(t+k)\right)=0$ for a general $C_{t, k}$. For a general $C_{t, k}$ we have $C_{t, k} \cap E=\emptyset$ and $C_{t, k} \cap H$ is a general subset of $H$ with cardinality $d_{t, k}$. By definition $c$ is the minimal positive integer such that $h^{0}\left(H, \mathcal{I}_{C_{t, k} \cap H}(t+k-c)\right)=0$. Set $\beta=h^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{t, k} \cup E \cup \chi}(t+k)\right)-\binom{t+k+3}{3}$. Since $\binom{t+k+2-c}{2}-\binom{t+k-1}{2}=t+k+1-c$,
we have $\beta \leq(c-1)(c-2) / 2+t+k+1-c$. Then we continue from the critical value $t+k$ to the critical value $t+k+2$ and so on.

At the end we obtain some $B \in U\left(t, k, a_{d}, b\right)$ with $h^{0}\left(\mathcal{I}_{B}(m-1)\right)=0$ if $1+$ $d(m-1)-g \geq\binom{ m+2}{3}+\beta$. In particular it is sufficient to assume $d \geq d(m, g)_{\min }+$ $\lceil\beta /(m-1)\rceil$. We have $c \sim \sqrt{2} t$, because $\operatorname{deg}\left(C_{t, k}\right) \sim t^{2}$ and $\binom{t+k+2}{2} \sim 2 t^{2}$. Hence $\beta \sim(c-1)(c-2) / 2 \sim t^{2}$. Since $t \leq m / 4$, it is sufficient to have roughly $d \geq d(m, g)_{\min }+m / 4$.

Lemma 13. Fix $t$ and $k \in\{t-1, t\}$ such that $y \equiv t+k-1(\bmod 2)$ and let $g_{t, k}+g(t+k+5, t, k) \leq g \leq-1+g_{t+1, k+1}+g(t+k+7, t+1, k+1)$. Then we have $y \leq \sqrt{20} t-1$. In particular, if $t \geq\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-5$ then $y \leq m-6$.
Proof. We have $g_{t+1, k+1}-g_{t, k}=2 t^{2}-2$ if $k=t$ and $g_{t+1, k+1}-g_{t, k}=2 t^{2}-2 t-1$ if $k=t-1$. By definition of $y$, we have $y \geq k+t+5$ and $g \geq g_{t, k}+g(y, t, k)=g_{t, k}+$ $c(y, t, k)-c(t+k+1, t, k)-3(y-t-k-1) / 2-3=g_{t, k}+\sum_{i=1}^{(y-t-k-1) / 2}(t+k+1+2 i+$ 3) $/ 2-3(y-t-k-1) / 2-3=g_{t, k}+1 / 8(t+k+y+9)(y-t-k-1)-3(y-t-k-1) / 2-3$. On the other hand, we have $g \leq-1+g_{t+1, k+1}+g(t+k+7, t+1, k+1) \leq$ $-1+g_{t+1, k+1}+3(t+k+7)$. Hence we get $1 / 8(t+k+y+9)(y-t-k-1) \leq$ $g_{t+1, k+1}-g_{t, k}+3(y-t-k-1) / 2+3-1+3(t+k+7)$ and in particular $(y+1)^{2} \leq$ $20 t^{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 11: We fix the integer $g$ and we perform the above construction in both the odd and the even case, by taking either $k=t$ or $k=t-1$. We have $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(C_{t, k}(t-1)=0\right.\right.$, hence we get $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}\left(C_{X}(t-1)=0\right.\right.$ by a repeated application of Mayer-Vietoris and semicontinuity. For every $t \geq 27$ such that $g \geq g_{t+3, k+3} \geq$ $g_{t, k}+g(t+k+5, t, k)$ we get an integer $y \equiv t+k-1$ such that the statement of Theorem 1 holds for every $m \geq y+6$ with $m \equiv y(\bmod 2)$. By Lemma 13 , the condition $m \geq y+6$ is satisfied for every $t \geq\lfloor m / \sqrt{20}\rfloor-5$, hence we obtain our statement for every $g$ with $2 g_{30}=17052 \leq g \leq \varphi(m)$.

Proof of Corollary 1: Let $m$ be the minimal non-negative integer such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m d+1-g \leq\binom{ m+3}{3} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The minimality of $m$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
(m-1) d+1-g>\binom{m+2}{3} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

in particular $d \geq \frac{(m+2)(m+1) m}{6(m-1)} \leq \frac{m^{2}}{6}$. From (15) and (16) we get $d \leq\binom{ m+2}{2}$. Since $g \leq K d^{3 / 2}-6 \epsilon d$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
g & \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{3 / 2}\binom{m+2}{2}^{3 / 2}-6 \epsilon d \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{10}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{(m+2)^{2}}{2}\right)^{3 / 2}-6 \epsilon d \\
& \leq \frac{2}{3}\left(\frac{1}{20}\right)^{3 / 2}(m+2)^{3}-\epsilon m^{2} \leq \varphi(m)
\end{aligned}
$$

(notice that the coefficients of $m^{3}$ are controlled by our choice of $K$ and the coefficients of $m^{2}$ are controlled by our choice of $\epsilon$ ). Since $g \leq \varphi(m)$, Theorem 1 covers all degrees $d_{0}$ in the interval $d(m, g)_{\min } \leq d_{0} \leq d(m, g)_{\max }$. In order to check that $d$ is in this interval, just notice that $d \geq d(m, g)_{\min }$ by (16) and $d \leq d(m, g)_{\max }$ by (15).
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