

Article

Planning of a resilient underground distribution network using georeferenced data

Alex Valenzuela ^{1,†,‡}, Esteban Inga ^{1,‡} and Silvio Simani ^{2,*}

- ¹ Universidad Poliécnica Salesiana; avalenzuela@ups.edu.ec
- ² University of Ferrara; silvio.simani@unife.it
- * Correspondence: e-mail@e-mail.com; Tel.: (optional; include country code; if there are multiple corresponding authors, add author initials) +xx-xxxx-xxxx (F.L.)
- + Current address: Affiliation 3
- ‡ These authors contributed equally to this work.

Version January 14, 2019 submitted to Journal Not Specified

- Abstract: This study describes a practical methodology for a resilient planning and routing of power
- ² distribution networks considering real scenarios based on georeferenced data. Customers' demand
- and their location are the basis for distribution transformer allocation considering the minimal
- 4 construction costs and reduction of utility's budget. MST techniques are implemented to determine
- the optimal location of distribution transformers and Medium voltage network routing. Additionally,
- 6 Allocation of tie points are determined to minimise the total load shedding when unusual and
- ⁷ extreme events are faced by distribution grid, improving reliability and resilience reducing downtime
- ⁸ during those events. The proposed methodology provides a coverage of 100% supplying electricity
- to the totality of customers within statutory limits during normal and unusual conditions.
- ¹⁰ Keywords: Distribution network planning, RMU allocation, Resilience, Routing, MST techniques

11 1. Introduction

The electricity demand is steadily increasing due to the fact that new and novel electric equipment 12 is connected to the grid every day, such as factory's machinery, household devices and also electric 13 vehicles. Consequently, generation, transmission and distribution systems are designed and operated 14 to satisfy the customer demand with minimum outages and uninterrupted power supply [1]. In this 15 general context, not only primary equipment (power transformers, circuit breakers, disconnectors, 16 reclosers, etc.), but also protection, communication and control systems are needed to withstand 17 unusual events or contingencies without supply interruption [2]. Abnormal events are largely 18 influenced by lightning discharges, insulation ageing, overvoltage, overloading, human errors and 19 natural disasters, which could cause a temporary or permanent outages, hazards to people, damage to 20 faulted and un-faulted equipment; consequently, for the aforementioned adverse effects is mandatory 21 a suitable, reliable and resilient power distribution system, which incorporates fast isolation and 22 restoration capabilities for the entire network[3]. As a result, control and protection systems are the 23 most strategic ancillary services of the power systems since it permits rapid recovery/reconstitution 24 elsewhere on the system avoiding permanent loss of supply [4]. 25 The grid resilience strategies adopted by electric utilities are focused to determine and identify 26

²⁷ greatest risks, which produce valuable economic impacts after an extreme event. Resilience
²⁸ strategies are focused on mitigation and hardening actions to re-establish the electricity, generally
²⁹ those actions are associated not only with deployment of mobile transformers on critical locations,
³⁰ evaluation of risks and risk management, but also the deployment of energy storage systems with

advanced communication, protection and control capabilities which permits the synchronization and

reconnection itself to the main grid [2,5]. However, the basic principle to improve the resilience on distribution networks is associated with an accurate planning and design stage. Some strategies are related with vegetation management, undergrounding power lines, and pole reinforcing. In addition, flexibility and robustness can be achieved with an adequate design considering the placement of tie points and switch equipment in radial networks. The aforementioned hardening tasks are focused to enhance power flow capacity and provide greater control to bypass damaged areas [6]. It is important to note that an accurate design and hardening activities, complemented with modern and sophisticated

³⁹ protection and control capabilities will limit the outage duration and minimise its consequences,

⁴⁰ however those components will not avoid a failure or disaster [7].

2. Resilience on power distribution networks

Natural and man-made disasters have been a constant issue in recent years around the world, several natural disasters such as Marmara earthquake in 1999, hurricane Katrina in 2005, Japan 43 Earthquake in 2011, Hurricane Sandy in 2012, and also the Ecuadorian earthquake occurred in 2016 44 are examples of the destructive power of nature [7]. Those events not only cause innumerable 45 fatalities, but also extensive damage to private and public property, affecting utilities and their 46 infrastructures. As a consequence of a disaster, usually overhead lines can bring down, or electric equipment like circuit breakers, reclosers, distribution transformers and power substations can be 48 affected, producing cascading events which eventually can lead to the formation of unplanned electric 49 islands and posteriorly the entire disconnection of the distribution systems [4,8]. Power outages force 50 to shut down business and factories, close schools and impede emergency services, resulting on high 51 economic impacts due to the fact that lost output and wages, spoiled inventory, delayed production, 52 inconvenience and damage to grid infrastructure [2,8,9]. A paramount task on the disaster zone is to re-establish the electricity supply as soon as possible 54

in order to restore emergency services, hospitals, health and welfare institutions, transportation, and 55 short-term food supply. Consequently, several restoration techniques and methods have been applied 56 after abnormal events, which are normally based on three temporal stages: preparation, system 57 restoration and load restoration. The first stage is addressed to form cleanup and replacement crews to assess the distribution grid. The second step is to reinitialize the system by black start and non-black 59 star units, and finally the third stage deals with the connection of the main loads to the system [3]. 60 Nevertheless, the restoration time taken to energize the system is normally exaggerated long. The 61 importance and development of other restoration techniques is an outstanding goal to improve the grid 62 safety, accordingly, the connection of distributed generation, microgrids, and distribution automation 63 can be feasible solutions to enhance the resilience of power grid, to get faster recovery and to prevent total system collapse [3,5]. 65

Issues associated with power system resilience are defined as planning, resource allocation and 66 routing problems. This paper is addressed to determine an optimal planning and routing to withstand 67 natural or man-made disasters considering a variety of simulations taking into account the arbitrariness 68 of disasters. Simulations will be carried out using georeferenced data to exemplify a real network throughout MatLab and Powerfactory. Research have been conducted on Resilience of distribution 70 grids taking into account the deployment of distributed generation (DG), which are small generators 71 connected to distribution network capable to inject active power to grids, and consequently supply 72 the critical infrastructure [4]. Some studies have been achieved considering the interconnection of 73 the main grid with the affected zone by mobile transformers [5,6] Alternative solutions are related with controlled islanding and network reconfiguration to minimize the restoration time utilized by 75 restoration crews [8,9]. Controlled islanding has been studied as achievable key to facilitate the 76 restoration procedure, therefore suitable controlled islanding is carried out using optimization-based 77 approaches such as ant search mechanism and particle swarm optimization [10]. On the other hand, 78 network distribution restoration using spanning tree search has been studied in [11,12], where the 79

- topological structure is modified to enhance the operating conditions. Those studies are focused to
- ⁸¹ find the candidate restoration strategy based on graph theory.
- The complexity and growth of power systems have modified the operation procedures since
- ⁸³ local grids have been extended to regional or national grids, and currently it is extensively common
- to interconnect neighbouring countries by transmission lines at high voltage levels. According to
- ANSI C84.1 1-1989 voltages from 69 kV to 1100 kV are referred as high voltage and extra high voltage,
- whereas medium voltage refers to voltages between 0.6 kV to 69 kV, finally voltages from 0.6 kV
- and below are referred as low voltage. Not only transmission grid, which operates at high voltage
 levels, but also distribution networks have been evolved to loop and mesh grids from conventional
- networks constituted mainly radial, consequently novel equipment and electrical devices have been
- enabled in modern grids to meet technical requirements such as quality of supply, reliability and safety
- ⁹¹ [13]. However, under certain unusual conditions such as lighting discharges, insulation ageing and
- ⁹² disasters, a failure in electricity network could cause a short or long-term loss of the electric power
- 93 leading to a cascading outages causing a catastrophic impact on transmission and distribution system
- operations [8].



Figure 1. Power outages for 140 worldwide outage data from 1965 to 2012

Modern power grids are implemented based on communication, control, protection and 95 computing systems, and through the years it has been transformed in more sophisticated, complex 96 and even vulnerable distribution networks. As can be seen in 1, an operating distribution network, 97 which is continuously running 24/7, is exposed to abnormal events, which include physical, cyber and 98 personnel vulnerabilities which can influence on the grid's operation [5]. Personnel vulnerabilities is 99 related to people who are running the electric power system, since they could produce inadvertently 100 or intentionally disruptions in the operation of the power grid, causing potential failures or increase 101 restoration times after terrorism attack or natural disasters [3]. Physical vulnerabilities are associated 102 with equipment's failure due to natural events or man-made attacks, then distribution transformers, 103 circuit breakers, reclosers, disconnectors and overhead lines can be affected, where the destruction of a 104 part of the distribution network could bring an interruption of service [3]. Finally, cyber vulnerability 105 is associated with computing automation, and high-speed communications, therefore an important 106 abnormal event like the damage of telecommunication links will cause the inoperability of control and 107 protection system, and also the unexpected tripping of circuit breakers and reclosers. On the other 108 hand, a man-made attack like hacking the grid, in order to manipulate SCADA system, can lead in the 109 disruption of power flows, and also transmit erroneous signals to operators [14]. 110

3. Planning Distribution Networks

Set in this context, where distribution networks are exposed to uncontrollable and mostly 112 unexpected events; planning, design and implementation of distribution grids considering tie 113 points and switch equipment in radial networks, deployment and allocation of DG, mobile power 114 transformers and feeder's reconfiguration are valid techniques and strategies to provide a rapid 115 restoration avoiding unintentional load shedding. The connection of generators to the grid it will have 116 an impact on the operation of the network. Positive benefits of DG are related with enhancing the 117 reliability, resiliance and integrity of the network, nevertheless, some difficulties and problems could 118 give rise with DG deployment. Generator fault level contributions can surpass the rating of electrical 119 equipment, steady-state rise in network voltage levels is an issue if the amount of the generated power 120 exceeds the local demand. Voltage flicker and harmonic pollution could be produced by static power 121 conversion equipment used to couple Photovoltaic systems to the network [11] 122

123 3.1. Types of distribution network topology

Distribution network planning is not only associated with newly-built districts where public 124 facilities, residential and commercial areas are growing, but also with existing regions, which have 125 a deficient level of efficiency and insufficient capacity. Set in this context, Distribution Network 126 Operators have the responsibility to design and plan novel and modern grids, based on sophisticated 127 communication, control and automation capabilities which allow to enhance the flexibility of power 128 grids. Electric network transformation is mainly caused by the incessant growing of electric demand, 129 integration of intermittent renewable sources and electric vehicles [15]. These new requirements 130 could exceed the capacity of distribution equipment since current distribution networks were planned 131 considering generic profiles of domestic, commercial, and industrial customers. Consequently, the 132 planning, design and reinforcement of power distribution networks face economical and technical challenges. Technical challenges are associated with operative constraints such as adequate voltage 134 profile, accurate selectivity on protection system, and quality of supply. Whilst economical aspects are 135 related with short-term and long-term investment considering minimum cost. 136

Distribution grids generally are developed in radial topology, where a unique path between the 137 source and end users is built using overhead lines or underground cables. This topology is the cheapest 138 and is widely used in urban and rural areas. Additionally, any disaster in the grid will interrupt the 139 power flow, consequently it will result in complete loss of power to the customer. Normally open points 140 (tie points) installed in strategic locations within primary feeders are used to transform a radial grid into 141 loop or mesh systems. The last aforementioned topology is more complex to analyse, nevertheless those 142 systems provide better continuity of service than the common topology (radial system), subsequently, 143 the operation of tie switches will provide operational flexibility and it will reduce the number of supply 144 interruptions caused by natural disasters, faults or scheduled maintenance. Set in this context, graph 145 theory has been studied as a tool for planning and reconfiguration in distribution networks, where the 146 reconfiguration is determined by opening and closing switching devices, whilst planning and design 147 deal with optimal location of the distribution transformers and tie points considering the end user 148 positions [16]. 149

150 3.2. Network Planning based on Theory Graphs

Planning and sizing of distribution systems are required in order to develop an efficient, reliable and secure grid, therefore, this stage allow to minimize the construction costs and reduce utility's budget based on the optimal allocation of technical resources such as distribution transformers, conductors and switching devices. Generally, a power distribution grid is comprised by several distribution substations, which are located near to main loads, additionally each substation is composed by a variety of primary feeders, which typically are operating in a radial configuration, nevertheless open ties are taken into account to reconfigure the initial topology reducing downtimes [17,18]. Within

this background, the topology of distribution can be modified and reinforced after a construction 158 in order to increase resilience, reliability and security. However, this paper is focused on planning 159 stage, where it is none existing facilities and solely georeferenced data is available, then an accurate design should meet the requirement imposed by the expected load in future. Future end customers 161 normally are clustered in different categories considering the electricity usage and their associated 162 used equipment (residential, commercial and industrial). Nevertheless, some utilities have divided 163 residential customers in categories based on the load profile and electricity consumption, hence, large 164 populations are represented by a strata with similar electricity consumption pattern [19]. Distribution networks constitutes a local infrastructure that is comprised by several substations near to populated 166 area, which operates at primary voltage levels (46 kV to 132 kV). The power substation is not only 167 comprised of switching and protection equipment, but also it has a control and energy management 168 system. The control system permits the automatic connection and disconnection of three-phase primary 169 outgoing feeders which are linked at the low voltage side of the power transformer (6.3 kV to 33 170 kV). Primary feeders are distributed in the surroundings of the power substations, and they are the 171 physical medium to transport electricity to loads via a number of overhead lines or underground cables. 172 Underground schemes are used not only in densely populated urban areas, but also in zones where 173 high levels of reliability and resilience are required since underground cables eliminates susceptibility 174 to wind damage, lightening, ice and wind storms, and vegetation contact. The customer's load cannot 175 be supplied at medium voltage levels, so distribution transformers are used to provide the final voltage 176 level at 220 V phase-to-phase. 177

Set in this context, a Medium Voltage (MV) underground network is composed by several 178 padmounted distribution transformers, which meet the calculated demand design and voltage 179 regulation requirements. In addition, Ring Main Units (RMU) are employed to provide connections to 180 transformer and possible isolation points along the primary feeder. RMU's is an equipment completely 181 sealed used indoor or outdoor, which comprises switching devices can be either circuit breakers, 182 disconnectors, fuses and bays for transformers. These elements are extensively used in underground 183 grid in distribution systems because it provides continuity of service, allowing network reconfiguration, 184 and ensuring reliability for the grid and security for operators during operations in place and remote 185 operation during abnormal conditions. On the other hand, the Low Voltage (LV) underground network 186 is characterized by a radial topology due to the fact that a disturbance in LV grid has a minimum impact on the grid's operation since a reduced number of end customers are affected during a contingency. 188 Universally, those end users are connected to the low voltage grid in the nearest junction box, which 189 are placed in range between 30 to 50 meters, and also they are located near street intersections [20]. 190

Distribution network planning is mainly related with a tree-topology, then a graph theory can 191 be applied to solve planning problem, which is considered as **NP-complete** problem due to its 192 combinatorial nature [12,21]. Additionally, clustering algorithms like k-medoids, or k-means are 193 focused to break the dataset up into groups, minimising the distance between the center of the cluster 194 and each corresponding nodes. The aforementioned algorithms are used to subdivide dataset of n 195 objects building into k clusters (primary feeders), therefore nodes are represented as distribution 196 transformers. Whilst, minimum spanning tree algorithm such as Kruskal and Prim can be used to find the primary feeder route which connects each distribution transformer considering the shortest 198 path [16,22]. Spanning tree problems not only are used to solve electrical problems, but also they are 199 applicable in other sciences such as computer and communication networks, wiring connections and 200 circuit design [23–25]. Prim's algorithm finds a minimum spanning tree for weighted undirected 201 graph, where the spanning tree is connected one vertex at time, consequently, at each step the nearest 202 vertex is added to the tree [26,27]. For the present paper, the medium voltage network is designed 203 considering a modified-prim algorithm, the aforementioned algorithm is based on prim algorithm, 204 however, modifications permit to find the minimal path in less time. The modified-prim needs a graph 205 G=(V,E) of order n and size m, then spanning tree T of G is defined as a connected graph spanning all 206 the vertices of the vertex set V with exactly n-1 edges belonging to the edge set E, considering there are 207

not loops formed. Set in this context, modified-prim algorithm find the minimal tree cost taking into 208 account that the created tree has a subset of edges, where every node is included in each step assuring 209 the minimal cost [16,22,26,28,29]. Not only the MV grid but also the distribution transformers location 210 are based on modified-prim algorithm, where transformers are placed and sized in a georeferenced 211 map using the end user demand and the minimal distance between end user and manholes. The 212 manholes commonly are located in the sidewalks on main streets, and they are used as point of 213 connection from the main grid to the consumer premises. The placement of distribution transformers 214 is based on the equivalent loading gravity center where the load demand of customers is used to find the equivalent location of the total demand within each small area by equations (1) and (2), this 216 procedure guaranteeing that the transformer is located near to the most loaded nodes [30]. 217

$$Lodt = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (Lo_i * S_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i)}$$
(1)

$$Ladt = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (La_i * S_i)}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (S_i)}$$
(2)

Where, Si represent the load demand associated in each manhole i at location expressed in latitude and
longitude (Loi, Lai). Consequently, the coordinates of each transformer is calculated as Lodt and ladt,
respectively.

4. Problem Formulation

Underground network planning considering a resilience approach is a combinatorial problem 222 defined as NP-complete, where the connection between customers and the main grid on a 223 georeferenced scenario is dealt using a heuristic model based on MST techniques. The project deals 224 with the optimal location of distribution transformers using a modified-prim algoritm based on the 225 minimal cost of Low voltage network between transformers and end customers. Secondly, clustering 226 algorithms are used to break the dataset (number of distribution transformers) up into groups, then 227 k-medoids algorithm determines the a defined number of clusters, which can be used as primary 228 feeders. The next stage deals with the built up the medium voltage network based on modified-prim to 229 determine the lowest path between main substation and distribution transformers on a georeferrenced 230 path. The improvement of resilience is handled by the optimal location of tie points in medium 231 voltage network, consequently, the operation of RMU's will provide operational security and flexibility 232 reducing downtimes due to abnormal events. Finally, power system simulations are executed on 233 PowerFactory to determine the functionality of the proposed methodology. Variables and parameters 234 are presented in Table 1. 235

Table 1. Parameter and Variables

Nomenclature	Description
X_{st}, Y_{st}	Street point positions (Latitude and Longitude)
X_s, Y_s	Residential customers' locations (Latitude and Longitude)
X_{box}, Y_{box}	Manhole's position (Latitude and Longitude)
X_{tra}, Y_{tra}	Transformer's position (Latitude and Longitude)
X _{rec} , Y _{rec}	RMU's position (Latitude and Longitude)
dist _{box} , dist _{ub} , dist _{tra} , dist _{MV} , dist _{rec}	Distance matrix (variable dimension)
G1, G2	Connectivity matrix
kl, km, kn, kp, kj	Variables for loop control
$tmp, sum_{tmp}, k1_{tmp}, k2_{tmp}$	Temporary variables
[user ibu]	Residential customers connected to the nearest manhole
<i>dem_{us}</i>	Residential customer' demand
D _{boxes}	Associated manhole demand
п	Number of residential customers
m	Capacity Restriction
primary	Number of primary feeders
path1, path2	Connectivity route for medium Voltage grid and tie-lines
d_{mbox}, d_{mMV}	Route selection criteria
loc, flag, rpos, cpos, z	Complementary variables

The first algorithm (See algorithm 1) is targeted to develop the planning of a resilent distribution 236 network, where manholes are located in each street based on georeferenced information, which are 237 placed in range between 30 to 50 meters. In addition, the second step considers the connection between 238 end user's and low voltage grid in the nearest manhole, and consequently a manhole's demand is 239 calculated by the connected end user's demand. The third step is focused to determine the location 240 of distribution transformers considering the manholes' position and their demand. Subsequently, 241 different scenarios can be simulated and analysed, where position and kVA rating of distribution 242 transformers are determined based on maximum length of low voltage grid within a voltage drop 243 restriction. 244

Algorithm [•]	1 Planning	of a	Resilent	Distribution	Network

```
Step 1 : Manhole Allocation
    U_{st} = [X_{st} Y_{st}]
    dist_{box} \leftarrow haversine [U_{st} U_{st}]
    for kl \rightarrow 1: dist_{box} do
        sum_{tmp} = dist_{box} (kl) + dist_{box} (kl + 1)
         if sum_{tmp} \geq d_{mbox} then
             find [X_{box} Y_{box}]
 13
14
15
16
17
18
         else
             next
         end if
20:
21: end for
22:
23:
24:
25:
    Step 2 : Network Operator's Service Cable Allocation
    U_s = [X_s Y_s]; n = length [U_s]
26:
27:
    U_{box} = [X_{box} Y_{box}]
    dist_{ub} \leftarrow haversine [U_s U_{box}]
    for km \rightarrow 1 : length (U_{hox}) do
        k1_{tmp} \rightarrow (min(min(dist_{ub})))
         if length(k1_{tmp}) \neq 0 then
             [user ibu] = find(dist_{ub} == min(min(dist_{ub})))
         end if
    end for
    for kn \rightarrow 1 : length (ibu) do
        D_{boxes} = sum(dem_{us}(dist_{ub}))
46:
47:
    end for
    Step 3 : Distribution Transformer Allocation
 50:
51:
    dist_{tra} \leftarrow haversine [U_{box} U_{box}]
52555555555566122334556678897011213747576778998122334556578899011223
    while loc \leftarrow 1 \, do
         flag = 1
         while flag \leq m \operatorname{do}
             for kp \rightarrow 1: length (X_{box}) do
                  k2_{tmp} \rightarrow (min(min(dist_{tra})))
                  if length (k2_{tmp}) \neq 0 then
                       [rpos cpos] = find(dist == min(min(dist_{tra})))
                       loc = [loc U_{box}(kp)]
                      next
                  else
                       flag == 0
                  end if
                  if length(loc) \ge m then
                     flag \leftarrow 0
                  end if
             end for
         end while
    end while
    tmp \leftarrow loc
    while z \leq length(X_{box}) do
        G1(tmp, tmp) = 1
        z = z + length (tmp)
96:
97:
    end while
    X_{tra} \leftarrow sum(D_{boxes}) * X_{box} / sum(D_{boxes})
\stackrel{100:}{\underset{101:}{101:}} Y_{tra} \leftarrow sum(D_{boxes}) * Y_{box} / sum(D_{boxes})
     Algorithm 2 : Routing of MV network and Switching Equipment Allocation
104:
105:
     Output: U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}]
```

246

245

Algorithm 2 develops an adequate route for MV grid connecting all distribution transformers.
The first stage is to establish the number of primary feeders, then a clustering methodology is used to
divide the total transformers in to groups. The second stage deals with the optimal routing based on

street topology and manhole's location. Finally, switching equipment allocation is accomplished taken

into account the nearest points between primary feeders, where the optimal placement is obtained

based on the minimal distance between tie-points. As a consequence, tie-lines between primary feeders
are established improving reliability and resilience.

Algorithm 2 Routing of MV network and Switching Equipment Allocation 1: Routing in Underground Medium Voltage Network 2: primary = 3 4: $U_{tra} = [X_{tra} Y_{tra}]$ 7: kmedoids(U_{tra} , primary) 9: for $kj \rightarrow 1$: primary) do 11: $dist_{MV} \leftarrow haversine [U_{tra} U_{tra}]$ 12: $G2(dist_{MV} \leq d_{mMV}) = 1$ 13: $G2(dist_{MV} \leq d_{mMV}) = 1$ 14: $path1 \leftarrow prim(sparse(G2))$ 15: $path1 \leftarrow prim(sparse(G2))$ 16: $U_{trap} = [X_{trap} Y_{trap}]$ 9: end for 22: $dist_{rec} \leftarrow haversine [U_{trap} U_{trap}]$ 23: $dist_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(dist = = min(min(dist_{rec})))$ 24: $[X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(prim([X_{rec} Y_{rec}]))$ 25: $[X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2))$ 30: $[U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}]$		
1: Routing in Underground Medium Voltage Network 2: primary = 3 4: $U_{tra} = [X_{tra} Y_{tra}]$ 5: kmedoids(U_{tra} , primary) do 9: for $kj \rightarrow 1$: primary) do 11: $dist_{MV} \leftarrow haversine [U_{tra} U_{tra}]$ 12: $G2(dist_{MV} \leq d_{mMV}) = 1$ 13: $G2(dist_{MV} \leq d_{mMV}) = 1$ 14: $path1 \leftarrow prim(sparse(G2))$ 15: $U_{trap} = [X_{trap} Y_{trap}]$ 9: end for 22: $dist_{rec} \leftarrow haversine [U_{trap} U_{trap}]$ 23: $[X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(dist == min(min(dist_{rec})))$ 25: $[X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2))$ 26: $[X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2))$ 27: $U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}]$	lgorithm 2 Routing of MV network and Switching Equipment Allocation	_
$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} \ primary = 3 \\ U_{tra} = [X_{tra} Y_{tra}] \\ kmedoids(U_{tra}, primary) \\ \text{for } kj \rightarrow 1 : primary) \text{ do} \\ \frac{1}{12} \ dist_{MV} \leftarrow haversine [U_{tra} U_{tra}] \\ \frac{1}{13} \ G2(dist_{MV} \leq d_{mMV}) = 1 \\ \frac{1}{14} \ path \leftarrow prim(sparse(G2)) \\ \frac{1}{15} \ u_{trap} = [X_{trap} Y_{trap}] \\ \text{end for} \\ \frac{1}{15} \ dist_{rec} \leftarrow haversine [U_{trap} U_{trap}] \\ \frac{1}{25} \ [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(dist = min(min(dist_{rec}))) \\ \frac{1}{26} \ [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2)) \\ \frac{1}{26} \ [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2)) \\ \frac{1}{26} \ U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] \end{cases}$	1: Routing in Underground Medium Voltage Network	
$ \begin{array}{l} \underbrace{ \begin{array}{l} \underbrace{ U_{tra} = [X_{tra} Y_{tra}] } \\ \underbrace{ W_{tra} = [X_{tra} Y_{tra}] } \\ \underbrace{ Kmedoids(U_{tra}, primary) & 0 \\ \underbrace{ for \ kj \rightarrow 1: primary) & 0 \\ \underbrace{ dist_{MV} \leftarrow haversine \left[U_{tra} U_{tra} \right] } \\ \underbrace{ dist_{MV} \leftarrow haversine \left[U_{tra} U_{tra} \right] \\ \underbrace{ G2(dist_{MV} \leq d_{mMV}) = 1 \\ \underbrace{ Hat} prim(sparse(G2)) \\ \underbrace{ U_{trap} = \left[X_{trap} Y_{trap} \right] \\ \underbrace{ end \ for \\ \underbrace{ end \ for \\ \underbrace{ Switching \ Equipment \ Allocation \\ \underbrace{ K_{rec} \ Y_{rec} \right] = find(dist = = min(min(dist_{rec}))) \\ \underbrace{ K_{rec} \ Y_{rec} \right] = find(prim([X_{rec} \ Y_{rec}])) \\ \underbrace{ W_{rec} = \left[X_{rec} \ Y_{rec} \right] \\ \underbrace{ U_{rec} = \left[X_{rec} \ Y_{rec} \right] \\ \underbrace{ W_{rec} = \left[X_{rec} \ Y_{rec} \right] \\ \underbrace{ W_{rec} \ Farce \ Y_{rec} \right] \\ \end{array} } \end{array} $	$\frac{2}{3}$: primary = 3	
$ \begin{array}{ll} & & \\ & &$	$\overset{4:}{5:} U_{tra} = [X_{tra} Y_{tra}]$	
⁸ / ₁₀ for $kj \rightarrow 1$: primary) do ¹⁰ / ₁₁ dist _{MV} ← haversine [U _{tra} U _{tra}] ¹¹ / ₁₂ G2(dist _{MV} ≤ d _{mMV}) = 1 ¹² / ₁₄ path1 ← prim(sparse(G2)) ¹³ / ₁₅ U _{trap} = [X _{trap} Y _{trap}] ¹⁴ / ₁₅ end for ¹⁵ / ₁₅ switching Equipment Allocation ¹⁵ / ₁₅ K _{rec} Y _{rec}] = find(dist == min(min(dist _{rec}))) ¹⁶ / ₁₅ [X _{rec} Y _{rec}] = find(dist == min(min(dist _{rec}))) ¹⁷ / ₁₅ path2 = find(prim([X _{rec} Y _{rec}])) ¹⁸ / ₁₅ [X _{rec} Y _{rec}] = find(min(path2)) ¹⁹ / ₁₅ U _{rec} = [X _{rec} Y _{rec}]	^{6:} <i>kmedoids</i> (U _{tra} , primary)	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	9: for $kj \rightarrow 1$: primary) do	
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$	1: $dist_{MV} \leftarrow haversine [U_{tra} U_{tra}]$	
$\begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} 41\\ 5\\ \\ 5\\ \end{array} & path1 \leftarrow prim(sparse(G2))\\ \begin{array}{ll} 62\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array} & \end{array} & \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array} & \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array}\\ \begin{array}{ll} 12\\ \end{array} & \end{array} $	$\frac{23}{32} G2(dist_{MV} \le d_{mMV}) = 1$	
$ \begin{array}{ll} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \\ \end{array} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \begin{array}{l} \end{array} \\ \end{array} $	5: $path1 \leftarrow prim(sparse(G2))$	
$\begin{cases} end for \\ Switching Equipment Allocation \\ dist_{rec} \leftarrow haversine [U_{trap} U_{trap}] \\ [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(dist == min(min(dist_{rec}))) \\ rath2 = find(prim([X_{rec} Y_{rec}])) \\ [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2)) \\ U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] \\ \end{cases}$	$\overset{\text{b:}}{\overrightarrow{}} U_{trap} = [X_{trap} \; Y_{trap}]$	
$ \begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{201} Switching Equipment Allocation \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{202} Sidst_{rec} \leftarrow haversine [U_{trap} U_{trap}] \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{202} Sidst_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(dist == min(min(dist_{rec}))) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{202} Find(prim([X_{rec} Y_{rec}])) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{202} Sidst_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2)) \\ & \sum_{i=1}^{202} U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] \end{aligned} $	9. end for	
$\begin{array}{l} \substack{32\\32\\34\\34\\34\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\35\\$	1: Switching Equipment Allocation	
$ \begin{array}{l} \overset{44}{7} & [X_{rec} \mid Y_{rec}] = find(dist = = min(min(dist_{rec}))) \\ \overset{44}{7} & path2 = find(prim([X_{rec} \mid Y_{rec}])) \\ \overset{48}{7} & [X_{rec} \mid Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2)) \\ \overset{44}{7} & [U_{rec} = [X_{rec} \mid Y_{rec}] \end{array} $	$\frac{2}{3:}$ dist _{rec} \leftarrow haversine [U_{trap} U_{trap}]	
$\begin{aligned} & \overset{57:}{\underset{k=1}{2}} path2 = find(prim([X_{rec} Y_{rec}])) \\ & \overset{58:}{\underset{k=2}{2}} [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] = find(min(path2)) \\ & \overset{51:}{\underset{k=2}{2}} [U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}] \end{aligned}$	$\begin{array}{l} \overset{4:}{5:} \left[X_{rec} \; Y_{rec} \right] = find(dist == min(min(dist_{rec}))) \end{array}$	
$ \begin{array}{l} \underbrace{525}_{225} \left[X_{rec} \; Y_{rec} \right] = find(min(path2)) \\ \underbrace{515}_{321} \left[U_{rec} = \left[X_{rec} \; Y_{rec} \right] \end{array} $	$\int_{1}^{\infty} path2 = find(prim([X_{rec} Y_{rec}]))$	
$\underset{1}{\overset{31}{\underset{1}{\underset{2}{\underset{2}{\underset{2}{\underset{2}{\underset{2}{\underset{2}{$		
	$\lim_{t \to \infty} U_{rec} = [X_{rec} Y_{rec}]$	

255 5. Analysis and Results

256 5.1. Case Study

254

The planning of a distribution network is a paramount task for distribution operators, where the appropriate location of power substation, and distribution transformers are accomplished considering technical constraints such as voltage drop, power quality and security at the minimum capital cost. The financial strategy in distribution infrastructure is extremely related with the length of primary feeders (MV networks), secondary grids (LV network), and investments on major components such as distribution transformers, switchgear equipment (Ring Main Units) and protection systems.

For the present, The case study is characterised as a residential and commercial sector, where a 263 vast amount of lucrative business will run in conjunction with apartment buildings. In addition leisure 264 spaces, shopping centres and government institutions are located in this zone. As a consequence of the 265 high urban density, more than one power feeder is used to serve this area. The case study has been 266 designed considering 1155 residential and commercial end users, which are provided of electricity via 267 a three primary feeders connected to the main power substation, which is located in a practical and 268 feasible area within the case study. For simulation proposes, voltage levels and primary equipment 269 was selected based on technical requirements, consequently an indoor substation was chosen, which 270 operates at primary voltage level of 66 kV. The power substation is not only comprised of switching 271 and protection equipment, but also it has a control and energy management system. The control 272 system permits the automatic connection and disconnection of three-phase primary outgoing feeders 273 which are linked at the low voltage side of the transformer (11 kV). 274

The geographic information used for planning and routing is obtained from OSM files, that 275 contains georeferenced features which can be mapped (roads, avenues, buildings). The gathered 276 information is hierarchically structured and it can be divided in nodes, ways and relations. The 27 geographical coordinates (latitude and longitude) are showed as points named nodes, whilst ways is 278 an ordered list of nodes which can form a closed features (buildings) and none-closed features such 279 as roads, avenues. Set in this context, the case study is composed by 1155 closed features, which are 280 represented as end users, additionally roads, avenues and streets are represented as 88 non-closed 281 features. Both closed and non-closed features are the basis for planning and routing, where the 282 electrical demand of customers is extremely associated with the area of the closed features, whereas 283 the design and configuration of the network is based on street topology. Consequently, the extracted 284 data from OSM defines the planning and routing of the distribution networks, therefore any outdated, 285

²⁸⁶ erroneous and incomplete collected information could lead to an erroneous planning. Table 2 shows

the case study parameters and planning criteria used for the present analysis, where it is depicted the

²⁸⁸ features of different primary equipment and ancillary services.

Item	Parameter	Value	
	Primary feeders	3	
Medium Voltage	Voltage level	11 kV	
network	Installation Type	Underground Network	
	Network Configuration	Radial with tie points using RMU	
	Conductor size and type	XLPE insulated power cable 3x95 15kV	
	Ring Main Units	1 to 4 switchgear cubicles	
	Distribution Transformers	Oil Immersed distribution Transformers 11/0.22 kV	
Low Voltage	Distribution Transformers Rating	kVA {30,50,75,100,160,250,350,500,750,1000}	
network	Voltage level	0.22 kV	
	Installation Type	Underground Network	
	Network Configuration	Radial	
	Conductor size and type	XLPE insulated power cable 2 kV	
	end users information	1155 closed- features from OSM	
	Total demand	13.029 MW	
Deployment	Associated junction boxes per transformer	{5, 10, 15,20}	
features	Coverage LV network	100 %	
	Coverage MV network	100 %	

Table 2. Case Study	v parameters and	planning	criteria
---------------------	------------------	----------	----------

The load forecasting is a paramount task for a proper decision in planning and operation of electric energy system, which is permanently affected by uncertain nature since there are several factors such as population, economy (income per capita), lifestyle and socio-demographic factors, weather and acquisition of new electric appliances. For the present, a relation between the customer's demand and gross floor area is calculated using proportional demand based on ecuadorian standards i.e. a bigger floor area consumes more electricity than a small building due to the fact that the number of electric appliances in residences and commercial markets.

296 5.2. Results

This section depicts the results obtained from MatLab and Powerfactory by performing a planning 297 and routing a real distribution grid from georeferenced data from OSM. Distribution utilities have used 298 electrical studies such as power flow, fault and harmonic analysis to determine planning expansions, 299 upgrades, refurbishments and investments. The present project is focused in planning, consequently, 300 power flow analysis is mandatory to determine voltage levels, voltage drops, losses and loading 301 of cables and transformers when the grid is running on normal conditions. Furthermore, unusual 302 conditions are simulated when a partial section of a primary feeder is out of service and normally open 303 ties (RMU) are connecting the grid in order to minimise the outages. 304

The secondary network transports electricity between each distribution transformer to end 305 customers, whose are connected to the low voltage grid in the nearest junction box at 220 V. Figure 2 depicts 4 possible scenarios contemplating location of distribution transformers due to the increment of length in low voltage grids. The length of LV grids is extremely related with conductor size because 308 the cross-sectional area of the conductor determines its resistance and therefore voltage drops. Some 309 scenarios have been analyzed considering the average length of the LV network, scenario A shows an 310 average length of 100 m between between the final customer and its distribution transformer, then 311 this scenario is formed by 5 manholes. Similarly Scenario B, C and D consider an average length of 312 secondary network of 200 m, 300 m and 400 m, respectively. Distribution transformers in each scenario 313 has been situated considering based on the equivalent loading gravity center using end customer's 314 load demand. 315

The insulated conductors used on underground networks can be buried directly in the ground or installed in ducts buried in the ground, aforementioned aspects should be considered in design and planning process, due to the fact that installation condition will affect the power cable's performance. Set in this context, it has been selected different power cables for low and medium voltage network 320

321

323

customers. Consequently, scenario A is formed by 82 distribution transformers located in 3 primary
 feeders with a total length of MV voltage grid grid of 7.484 km, whilst scenario D comprises 43

distribution transformers placed into 5.862 km of MV voltage grid.



Figure 2. LV network for 4 scenarios

Figure 3 shows the rating capacity of selected distribution transformer by each scenario, where 327 it is clear that the number of transformers employed to feed residential and commercial customers 328 decrease in function of LV network's length. 7 different kVA rating has been selected for planning 329 process, the smallest capacity is 30 kVA to supply to reduced number of customers, whilst the bigger 330 transformers has a nominal capacity of 1000 kVA, which are used solely in special conditions where 331 the LV network has an average distance of 400 m. Set in this background, Scenario B and C present the 332 most effective features due to the fact that the LV network has an average length of 200 m and 300 m, 333 respectively. Additionally, 55 and 48 distribution transformers along 3 primary feeders (Scenario B 334 and Scenario C) are needed to supply electricity to end customers, which have mainly a lower capacity 335 than other scenarios; which is a paramount aspect since small transformers are more economical than 336 large distribution transformers. 337

Figure 4 depicts the medium voltage network in each scenario, where the primary feeders connects the totality of distribution transformers. Primary feeders A,B and C are represented by green, red and

Scenario	Primary	Distance	Coverage	Distribution	End users per	MV orid	MV grid
per cluster	feeder	Transformer to end user	LV	Transformer	primary feeder	Length	voltage drop
#	Description	Average	%	#	#	km	%
	PRIMARY FEEDER A	100	100	32	466	2.524	< 1.2
SCENARIO A	PRIMARY FEEDER B	100	100	30	452	2.94	< 1.2
SCENARIO A	PRIMARY FEEDER C	100	100	20	237	2.04	< 1.2
	lime TOTAL	100	100	82	1155	7.484	< 1.2
-	PRIMARY FEEDER A	200	100	22	318	2.572	< 1.2
SCENA DIO P	PRIMARY FEEDER B	200	100	13	306	1.799	< 1.2
SCENARIO B	PRIMARY FEEDER C	200	100	20	531	2.234	< 1.2
	lime TOTAL	200	100	55	1155	6.605	< 1.2
	PRIMARY FEEDER A	300	100	19	444	2.568	< 1.2
SCENARIO C	PRIMARY FEEDER B	300	100	11	245	1.507	< 1.2
SCENARIOC	PRIMARY FEEDER C	300	100	18	466	2.039	< 1.2
	lime TOTAL	300	100	48	1155	6.114	< 1.2
SCENARIO D	PRIMARY FEEDER A	400	100	16	422	2.038	< 1.2
	PRIMARY FEEDER B	400	100	12	249	2.032	< 1.2
	PRIMARY FEEDER C	400	100	15	484	1.792	< 1.2
	lime TOTAL	400	100	43	1155	5.862	< 1.2

Table 3. MV and LV network results



Figure 3. Distribution transformer rating per scenario

yellow colours respectively for each scenario. The routing of MV network is based on MST techniques

considering the lowest path between distribution transformers and power substation. As can be seen in

table 3, the length of MV network is intensely associated with the amount of distribution transformers,

therefore, Scenario A shows the longest MV network, whereas, scenario C and scenario D shows the

lowest length of MV grid.

The topology of the underground primary feeders are based on radial configuration with external 345 interconnections (tie-lines), which have enough capacity to connect and transfer end-customers 346 between primary feeders. Circuit breakers on auxiliary interconnections are normally open, but 347 they allow various configurations when are tripped by emergency conditions. Operation of switching 348 equipment can be achieved by remote control from a utility control centre. Those strategies and 349 350 features provide higher service reliability and flexibility under unusual circumstances, due to the fact that customers' load is taken by another primary feeder in order to minimise interruption times, see 351 Fig. 5. 352

The optimal allocation of switching equipment and tie lines is accomplished into three stages. The fist of these consist to determine the feasible positions to allocate RMU equipment, which are defined considering the nearest points between primary feeders. Candidate positions for normally opened automatic circuit breakers are suggested considering points where distribution equipment already exists like distribution transformers. The second step is focused to enumerate the possible paths to



Figure 4. Routing of primary feeders using MST techniques for each scenario

connect the candidate RMU equipment in each primary feeder. Underground tie-line between primary
 feeders must be sited along public streets and roads, therefore the optimal path is selected considering
 the minimal distance, which will represent a reduction on excavation cost, installation and material
 cost. The third stage is addressed to select adequate and optimal position for switching equipment
 based on the two aforementioned criteria as can be seen in figure 5. All scenarios have been evaluated
 considering at least one possible tie-line between primary feeders since this principle will improve
 reliability and resilience reducing downtime during contingency events.

Figure 5 depicts the normal operating conditions of a distribution network, where the grid is 365 not subjected to unusual events. Nevertheless, Any failure in a distribution system interferes with the normal system operation, which are commonly caused by insulation failure, flashover, physical 367 damage or human error. Faults on power systems could involve all the phases in a symmetrical 368 manner, or may be asymmetrical where only one or two phases are on short. Nevertheless, open-circuit 369 faults are present on distribution grids due to conductors of primary feeders are broken, or circuit 370 breakers operate only on one or two phases leaving others connected. The aforementioned kind of 371 fault is usually on extreme events, where sections of the grid can be affected, consequently, power 372 outages affect to end customers producing extensive damage to private and public property, affecting 373 utilities and their infrastructures. 374

Set in this context, switching equipment has a prominent function during unusual events due to the fact that they sectionalize faulted branches as can be seen in figure 6. For the present, it has been supposed an event that induces open-circuit faults on the distribution grid (highlighted in red), which can be detected by utility's monitoring system. The monitoring system has the capacity to



Figure 5. RMU allocation and tie lines for Scenario C



Figure 6. Reconfiguration of distribution network (Scenario C)

order the RMU operation, changing the topology of the distribution network. Subsequently, the feeder
 topological infrastructure is reconfigured altering the close/open status of tie switching equipment

³⁸¹ improving reliability and resilience.



Figure 7. A set of six subfigures: (a) Voltage profile of distribution feeder A under normal conditions (b) Voltage profile of distribution feeder B under normal conditions (c) Voltage profile of distribution feeder C under normal conditions (d) Voltage profile of distribution feeder A after a feeder's reconfiguration (e) Voltage profile of distribution feeder B after a feeder's reconfiguration and (f) Voltage profile of distribution feeder C after a feeder's reconfiguration.

Figure 6 shows the areas and energised branches taken by the nearest primary feeders after an abnormal event. The normally open switches that have been operated are connecting tie-lines A-B2, A-C2, and B-C1, which reconfigure the network minimising out of service areas (box highlighted in red). During abnormal operating conditions feeder A takes the end user's load from feeder B and
feeder C due to faulted branches. Additionally, primary feeder C supplies the yellow area which has
been out of service due to faulted lines on primary B. The aforementioned study permits to determine
the functionality of the proposed algorithm, where an example of an external event is simulated on
PowerFactory considering the operation of tie-switches to reduce power outages.

Electrical analysis for both, normal and unusual operating conditions have been developed on 390 PowerFactory, defining an external equivalent grid which is the point of connection between the 391 sub-transmission system and the power substation.Additionally Power transformer, underground power cables, and distribution transformers are considered for simulation purposes. Voltage drop 393 analysis is showed on figure 7, where a voltage profile depict the behaviour of the distribution network 394 during normal conditions, where it is clearly that voltage drop is kept within standard limits that are 395 imposed by utility's policies. Figure 7 is composed by six subplots, where subplots a, c and e represent 396 the profile voltage per feeder in normal conditions, i.e. primary feeders are connected with their 397 maximum demand, whilst subplots b, d, and f depict the voltage profile when the grid is operating 398 during abnormal conditions. 300

400 6. Conclusions

This paper proposes a powerful and innovative methodology to design, plan and route a resilient 401 distribution network considering geographical information from public available data, minimising 402 the total load shedding due to tie-switches allocation, including construction costs and reduction 403 utility's budget. The proposed model is based on geo-referenced data such as roads, avenues 404 and land for construction, which is used to forecast the electrical demand customers, which is 405 needed to determine the optimal location of distribution transformers contemplating the minimal distance between distribution transformers and end users. Routing problem has been addressed 407 throughout MST techniques which connect distribution transformers and power substation using 408 a MV underground network, which is sited along public streets and roads minimising the total 409 distance. The proposed model can be applied to different areas and location considering an increment 410 of customers and their demand, therefore the heuristic model has been performed to develop a scalable 411 power distribution networks based on different georeferenced maps. 412

Additionally, Normally open points (tie points) has been allocate in strategic locations within distribution network. Candidate tie points has been suggested based on possible paths to connect primary feeders, however the optimal allocation is defined by the minimal distance between them. As a result, at least one and two possible tie-lines between primary feeders have been contemplated, consequently, the proposed methodology has been focused to alter the close/open status of tie switching equipment improving reliability and resilience reducing downtime during contingency events.

For this problem, not only the end customer's demand and location but also the feeder network constraints has been required to test the proposed methodology. Satisfactory results has been achieved, where there are not scenarios that overpass statuary limits associates with voltage drop (lower than 1.2%), and cable loading for both normal and abnormal operating conditions. Subsequently, the model present a feasible solution for planning procedure providing a coverage of 100% since it is mandatory to supply electricity to the totality of customers.

426 7. Acknowledgment

This work was supported by Universidad Politécnica Salesiana and GIREI - Smart Grid Research
 Group under the project Automatic Protection Coordination on Power System under extreme events.

429

Nahman, J.; Perić, D. Path-set based optimal planning of new urban distribution networks. *International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems* 2017, *85*, 42–49. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2016.08.001.

432	2.	Panteli, M.; Mancarella, P. Influence of extreme weather and climate change on the resilience of power
433		systems : Impacts and possible mitigation strategies. <i>Electrical Power Systems Research</i> 2015 , 127, 259–270.
434	3.	Wang, Y.; Chen, C.; Wang, J.; Baldick, R. Research on Resilience of Power Systems Under Natural
435		Disasters-A Review. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems 2015, 31, 1-10. doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2015.2429656.
436	4.	Espinoza, S.; Panteli, M.; Mancarella, P.; Rudnick, H. Multi-phase assessment and adaptation of
437		power systems resilience to natural hazards. Electric Power Systems Research 2016, 136, 352-361.
438		doi:10.1016/j.epsr.2016.03.019.
439	5.	Bie, Z.; Lin, Y.; Li, G.; Li, F. Battling the Extreme: A Study on the Power System Resilience. Proceedings of
440		the IEEE 2017, 105, 1253-1266. doi:10.1109/JPROC.2017.2679040.
441	6.	Mousavizadeh, S.; Haghifam, M.R.; Shariatkhah, M.H. A linear two-stage method for resiliency analysis in
442		distribution systems considering renewable energy and demand response resources. Applied Energy 2018,
443		211, 443–460. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2017.11.067.
444	7.	Salman, A.M.; Li, Y.; Stewart, M.G. Evaluating system reliability and targeted hardening strategies of power
445		distribution systems subjected to hurricanes. <i>Reliability Engineering and System Safety</i> 2015 , 144, 319–333. doi:10.1016/j.ress.2015.07.028
440	8	Amraee T. Saberi H. Controlled islanding using transmission switching and load shedding for enhancing
448	0.	power grid resilience. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems 2017, 91, 135–143.
449		doi:10.1016/i.ijepes.2017.01.029.
450	9.	Jia, K.; Bi, T.; Liu, B.; Thomas, D.; Goodman, A. Advanced islanding detection utilized in distribution
451		systems with DFIG. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems 2014, 63, 113–123.
452		doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2014.05.003.
453	10.	Aghamohammadi, M.R.; Shahmohammadi, A. Intentional islanding using a new algorithm based on
454		ant search mechanism. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems 2012, 35, 138-147.
455		doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2011.10.006.
456	11.	Li, J.; Ma, X.Y.; Liu, C.C.; Schneider, K.P. Distribution system restoration with microgrids using spanning
457		tree search. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems 2014, 29, 3021–3029. doi:10.1109/TPWRS.2014.2312424.
458	12.	Zhai, H.F.; Yang, M.; Chen, B.; Kang, N. Dynamic reconfiguration of three-phase unbalanced
459		distribution networks. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy Systems 2018, 99, 1–10.
460	10	doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2017.12.027.
461	13.	Figueroa-Candia, M.; Felder, F.A.; Coit, D.W. Resiliency-based optimization of restoration policies
462		for electric power distribution systems. <i>Electric Power Systems Research</i> 2018, 161, 188–198.
463	14	doi:10.1016/j.epsr.2018.04.00/.
464	14.	gride considering substation cyber vulnerabilities <i>Electrical Power and Energy Systems Adequacy evaluation of electric power</i>
405		doi:10.1016/i.ijepes.2017.10.004.
467	15.	Valenzuela, A.; Montalvo, I.; Barrera-singaña, C. Electrical Vehicle: Facing Future Challenges in Ouito.
468		2017 IEEE PES Innovative Smart Grid Technologies Conference - Latin America (ISGT Latin America) 2017, p.
469		1–6. doi:10.1109/ISGT-LA.2017.8126694.
470	16.	Mosbah, M.; Arif, S.; Mohammedi, R.D.; Hellal, A. Optimum dynamic distribution network reconfiguration
471		using minimum spanning tree algorithm. 2017 5th International Conference on Electrical Engineering -
472		Boumerdes (ICEE-B) 2017, pp. 1-6. doi:10.1109/ICEE-B.2017.8192170.
473	17.	Li, H.; Mao, W.; Zhang, A.; Li, C. An improved distribution network reconfiguration method based on
474		minimum spanning tree algorithm and heuristic rules. International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy
475		Systems 2016, 82, 466–473. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2016.04.017.
476	18.	Xie, S.; Hu, Z.; Zhou, D.; Li, Y.; Kong, S.; Lin, W.; Zheng, Y. Multi-objective active distribution networks
477		expansion planning by scenario-based stochastic programming considering uncertain and random weight
478	4.6	of network. <i>Applied Energy</i> 2018 , <i>219</i> , 207–225. doi:10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.03.023.
479	19.	Kaeisi-Gahrooei, Y.; Khodabakhshian, A.; Hooshmand, R.A. A new stratified random sample customer
480		selection for load research study in distribution networks. <i>International Journal of Electrical Power and Energy</i>
481	20	Systems 2018, 97, 365–371. doi:10.1016/j.ijepes.2017.11.029.
482	20.	Santos, N.; Lagarto, NI.; Kodrigues, C. Innovative underground distribution cabinet for low-voltage
483		network. CINED - Open Access Proceedings Journal 2017, 2017, 256–259. doi:10.1049/oap-cired.2017.0253.

- Bajpai, P.; Chanda, S.; Srivastava, A.K. A Novel Metric to Quantify and Enable Resilient Distribution
 System using Graph Theory and Choquet Integral. *IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid* 2016, 3053, 1–1.
 doi:10.1109/TSG.2016.2623818.
- ⁴⁸⁷ 22. Moradijoz, M.; Moghaddam, M.P.; Haghifam, M.R. A flexible active distribution system expansion ⁴⁸⁸ planning model: A risk-based approach. *Energy* **2018**, *145*, 442–457. doi:10.1016/j.energy.2017.12.160.
- Inga-Ortega, E.; Peralta-Sevilla, A.; Hincapie, R.C.; Amaya, F.; Tafur Monroy, I. Optimal dimensioning of
 FiWi networks over advanced metering infrastructure for the smart grid. 2015 IEEE PES Innovative Smart
 Grid Technologies Latin America, ISGT LATAM 2015 2016, pp. 30–35. doi:10.1109/ISGT-LA.2015.7381125.
- Inga, E.; Céspedes, S.; Hincapié, R.; Cárdenas, A. Scalable Route Map for Advanced Metering Infrastructure
 Based on Optimal Routing of Wireless Heterogeneous Networks. *IEEE Wireless Communications* 2017,
 24, 1–8. doi:10.1109/MWC.2017.1600255.
- Inga, J.; Inga, E.; Ortega, A.; Hincapíé, R.; Gómez, C. Optimal Planning for Deployment of FiWi Networks
 based on Hybrid Heuristic Process. *IEEE Latin America Transactions* 2017, 15, 1684–1690.
- Wang, G.W.; Zhang, C.X.; Zhuang, J. Clustering with Prim's sequential representation of minimum
 spanning tree. *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 2014, 247, 521–534. doi:10.1016/j.amc.2014.09.026.
- Campaña, M.; Inga, E.; Hincapié, R. Optimal Placement of Universal Data Aggregation Points for Smart
 Electric Metering based on Hybrid Wireless. CEUR Workshop Proceedings; Scopus: Pucón, 2017; Vol. 1950,
 pp. 6–9.
- Peralta, A.; Inga, E.; Hincapié, R. Optimal Scalability of FiWi Networks Based on Multistage
 Stochastic Programming and Policies. *Journal of Optical Communications and Networking* 2017, *9*, 1172.
 doi:10.1364/JOCN.9.001172.
- Peralta, A.; Inga, E.; Hincapié, R. FiWi Network Planning for Smart Metering Based on Multistage
 Stochastic Programming. *Latin America Transactions, IEEE (Revista IEEE America Latina)* 2015, 13, 3838–3843.
 doi:10.1109/TLA.2015.7404917.
- Son 30. Chuang, H.j.; Tsai, W.y.; Chen, C.s.; Ho, C.y. Optimal Expansion Planning of Distribution Substations
 Using Loading Gravity with Genetic Algorithm. *Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Intelligent Technologies and Engineering Systems (ICITES2013)* 2014, 293, 11–19. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-04573-3.

© 2019 by the authors. Submitted to *Journal Not Specified* for possible open access publication under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).