1	New ochre painted stones from the late proto-Aurignacian of Fumane Cave
2	
3	Dario Sigari <sup>*,1,2</sup> , Filippo Zangrossi <sup>1,3,4</sup> , Marco Peresani <sup>1,5</sup>
4	
5	
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Humanities, Section of Prehistoric and Anthropological Sciences, University of
7	Ferrara, C.so Ercole I d'Este, 32 44100 Ferrara, Italy
8	<sup>2</sup> Geosciences Center, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of Coimbra, Rua Sílvio Lima,
9	Pólo II, 3030-790 Coimbra, Portugal
10	<sup>3</sup> Department of History and History of Art, University Rovira i Virgili, Avinguda de Catalunya 35,
11	43002 Tarragona, Spain.
12	Catalan Institute of Human Paleoecology and Social Evolution (IPHES-CERCA), Zona Educacional
13	4, Campus Sescerades URV (Building W3), 4300/ Tarragona, Spain.
14 15	Scienze 1, 20126 Milano, Italy
16	Sectiza 1, 20120 Willand, Rafy
17	* Corresponding author: sordra@unife it: dariothebig@anche.po
10	corresponding autior. <u>sgrura@unite.it</u> , <u>uarioticorg@aliene.no</u>
10	
20	
20	ORCID
21	Dario Sigari: 0000-0002-7427-0877
22	Eilippo Zangrossi: $0000-0002-7427-0877$
23	Marco Peresani: $0000-0002-2677-457X$
24	Warco Telesalli. 0000-0001-0502-0550
25	
20	Adross
27	Dario Sigari
20	Università degli Studi di Ferrara
29	Dinartimento di Studi Umanistici
50 21	C so Ercole I d'Este 32
27	E.so Electric 1 d Este, 32 Ferrara - Italia
52 22	deriothebig@enche.no: sordre@unife.it
55 24	<u>danomeoig@anche.no</u> , <u>sgrura@unne.n</u>
54 25	Filippo Zangrossi Email: filippo zangrossi@edu unife it
35 26	Thippo Zangrossi – Ellian. <u>Imppo.zangrossi@edu.ume.n</u>
27	Marco Peresani – E-mail: marco peresani@unife it
20	marco i cresam – L-man. <u>marco.peresam e unite.it</u>
20	
39	

New ochre painted stones from the late proto-Aurignacian of Fumane Cave

- 40 41
- 42
- 43

### 44

## 45 Abstract

46

Fumane Cave, northern Italy, with its early Upper Palaeolithic deposit, dating between 41.2-47 35 ky cal BP, is one of the most significant sites for the understanding of the first 48 Anatomically Modern Human groups in Europe. The archaeological excavations led to 49 discover a consistent archaeological record which includes numerous items connected to the 50 symbolic production too: shells, engraved bones and six ochred stones which are considered 51 among the most ancient evidence of painting activity in Europe. Aside the six painted stones, 52 a high number of ochred rock flakes collected during the excavations have been recently re-53 examined with the aim to trace further lines of research, i.e. what their origin is, so if they are 54 55 fragments of parietal art or not and how these stones or part of them fit in the wider context of the most ancient forms of art in Europe. Specifically, within this paper, authors present 56 four newly recognised painted stones which were analysed according to their graphic themes 57 and techniques, their chronology and spatial distribution. This leads to deepen the issues of 58 symbolic production in the Fumane Cave, the use of the site, identifying any productive area. 59 60 Moreover, the newly presented findings, together with the already known ones are contextualized into the contemporaneous Italian and European context providing data 61 towards the understanding of any morphological and stylistic variability and semiotic 62 transformations to interpret any cultural dynamic process occurred in the Alpine area and 63 beyond. 64

#### 65 66

# 67 Keywords:

68 Portable art; Palaeolithic art; Aurignacian; symbolic behaviour; northern Italy; Alps

69

#### 71 INTRODUCTION

Over the last 30 years, the application of new chronometric methodologies has 72 permitted to confirm or modify the chronologies of both portable and parietal art in the 73 Middle Stone Age and the Upper Palaeolithic. So, the stylistic comparisons between parietal 74 and portable art objects together with the analysis of figurative palimpsest were further 75 qualified by the new information, giving more accurate data to establish both the 76 synchronicity and the diachronicity of the artistic production, the use of the sites and the 77 graphic and cultural connections among sites. Within this framework great importance has 78 been paid to the emergence of the symbolic production, the discovery of sites and decorated 79 80 objects, all of which have given a great impulse to the debate among scholars (see Hoffmann et al. 2018; White et al. 2020; Hoffmann et al. 2020). 81

Beside the chronological issue is the one linked to the concept of cultural geographies and graphic territories (Bourdier 2013), i.e. the discovery of new sites that have significantly widen the Palaeolithic art distribution over a large territory including Balkans (Ruiz-Redondo *et al.* 2019), Caucasus (Sigari 2017), Borneo (Aubert *et al.* 2018; Brumm *et al.* 2021) and Egypt (Huyge *et al.* 2011).

87 Therefore, the new advances in Palaeolithic studies and the research on the88 development of the artistic production focused both the chronology and the space variables.

This is also the case for intentionally painted stones, which are a clear distinctive trait of Anatomically Modern Humans since their early presence in Europe, especially during the Aurignacian, when several caves and shelters yielded undisputable evidence of the use of red ochre as pigment for both parietal and mobile art (Chiotti *et al.* 2007, Clottes 2010, García-Diez *et al.* 2015, Bourrillon *et al.* 2018, Wolf *et al.* 2018).

In this perspective, the red ochred stones from Fumane Cave offer a preferentialinsight into the earliest symbolic production, its development along the Aurignacian and

Gravettian, and its relationship both with the cave space itself and the other contemporarysites.

Fumane Cave in Italy belongs to the group of the most important Aurignacian sites, 98 preserving a detailed stratigraphy including the transition from the Middle to the Upper 99 Palaeolithic. The early Upper Palaeolithic layers witness the large use of symbolic items at 100 the site represented by hundreds of perforated shells, some of them stained with ochre, 101 engraved bones, and finally six ochred stones which are considered among the most ancient 102 evidence of painting activity of anatomically modern humans in Europe (Broglio 2005). 103 Aside these pieces of art, hundreds of small and fragmented stones coated with ochre were 104 105 collected during the excavations carried out in the 90ies and stored for future analyses. In the frame of a PhD project led by one of us (D.S.), this large assemblage was re-examined with 106 the aim to trace further lines of research to question the origin of the painted stones, i.e. are 107 they fragments of parietal art or not? During this investigation, four additional painted stones 108 have been recently recognised. Within this paper we present this material to deepen our 109 knowledge around the most ancient forms of art in Europe and the issue of symbolic 110 production in the Fumane Cave. 111

112

### 113 FUMANE CAVE

Fumane Cave is located in the Monti Lessini Plateau at the southern belt of the Italian Alps. This is flagship site for the study of Neanderthal and modern human behaviour and is currently under excavation coordinated by the University of Ferrara since 1988. The cave is part of a fossil karst network developed at the base of a rock cliff, formed by a wide cavity and two tunnels that enclose the deposits, a finely layered sedimentary succession with Mousterian, Uluzzian, Protoaurignacian and Gravettian records. Further details on the Late Pleistocene stratigraphic sequence, and paleoclimatic significance, as well as its

121 paleontological and cultural content are further described in a consistent number of

122 publications (Abu Zeid et al. 2019, Broglio, Dalmeri 2005, Falcucci et al. 2017, López-García

123 et al. 2015, Peresani 2012, Peresani et al. 2016, Peretto et al. 2004)

The Protoaurignacian is contained in the upper part of macro-unit A, layers A2, A1 124 (and correlated), dated at 41.2–40.4 ky cal. BP (Higham et al. 2009). The late 125 Protoaurignacian is contained in most of macro-unit D, layers D3, D6, D3+D6 (Falcucci et al. 126 2020), dated at 38.9–37.7 ky cal. BP (Higham et al. 2009), and the Gravettian in layer D1d, 127 dated to 35 ky cal. BP (Falcucci, Peresani 2019). In addition to dwelling structures, faunal 128 assemblages, lithic and bone tools, and marine shells the late Protoaurignacian layers are 129 featured by the notable painted stones (Broglio et al. 2009). The use of colouring materials 130 was intense, indeed: tiny pieces of red and yellow ochre scattered in the area, two reddish 131 layers (A2R and A2 S21) with massive presence of ochre (Broglio et al. 2005a, 2009, Cavallo 132 et al. 2017) belong to the Aurignacian complex, artefacts and shells with red pigment smeared 133 on the surface (Aleo et al. 2021, Peresani et al. 2019) and an high number of small plaquettes 134 smeared of red ochre (Broglio, Dalmeri 2005, Broglio et al. 2009). 135

136

### 137 THE PIGMENT ORIGIN

A recent study aimed to understand the provenance of the archaeological ochre found in the site, providing information towards the understanding of the human skills to select, process and treat suitable raw materials (Cavallo *et al.* 2017).

Samples of archaeological ochre from Aurignacian layers A and D were analysed and later compared with possible geological resources. According to their texture, microstructure and composition, the fragments were grouped into four main typological categories. The first type includes those that show dolomite crystals with ferruginous compounds and are covered by Fe-based reddish coating with secondary calcite and apatite. Within this group there are

two more samples with an uneven pinkish patina coating the surface whose texture, due to the 146 presence of partially dolomitised sparry calcite including cemented ooids, is similar to the 147 cave bedrock. The mineralogical composition of the first typology samples can be linked to 148 the weathering of Mesozoic dolomitised limestones (Cavallo et al. 2017). Only one sample 149 belongs to the second typological group, being made of non-homogeneous quartz and Fe-150 oxides. Differently, yellow isotropic fragments of goethite characterise the third typological 151 group. Finally, within the Type 4 there are two samples made of Fe-oxides and/or Fe-152 oxyhydroxides with Ca-phosphate, due to a consistent amount of bone fragments (Cavallo et 153 al. 2017). 154

All these fragments were compared with samples taken from potential geological 155 resources identified both close to the cave (Manune burg) and distant c. 20 km far from the 156 Fumane cave, in the Sant'Andrea and San Bortolo caves. The high incidence of dolomite 157 samples that can be related to the Mesozoic dolomitised limestones, led to suggest two main 158 interpretative hypotheses to explain their origin: 1) they are fragments of the cave walls and 159 the red colour on their surface can be a ferruginous patina or a post-depositional event; 2) they 160 were taken from fully dolomitised oolitic limestone outcropping at Manune and other sites 161 (Cavallo et al. 2017). In conclusion, the (ferroan) dolomite associated with hematite 162 fragments are related to the weathering of Mesozoic dolomitised limestones that can be found 163 with similar characteristics at the Manune, Sant'Andrea and San Bortolo sites, though they 164 have scarce quantity of red material. Differently, the microscopic observation of the 165 characteristic minerals association and of the textural and microstructural features of the ochre 166 Types 3 and 4 have given no information about their origin (Cavallo et al. 2017). The rest of 167 the samples show texture, microstructure and composition that recall the cave bedrock 168 (Cavallo et al. 2017). During the Upper Palaeolithic occupation of the site, the inhabitants of 169 Fumane cave mainly exploited the carbonate-based resources. The ochre was then used as 170

pigment and in some cases was probably powdered and mixed with bone fragments (Cavallo *et al.* 2017). So, the presence of bone fragments provides new information about the organic
binders that were not recorded by Colombini *et al.* (2005), where these authors differently
suggested that the ochre was mixed only to water and that the recognised lipids and proteins
were mainly tied to the environmental deposit (Colombini *et al.* 2005, Broglio *et al.* 2006).

176

### 177 THE PAINTED STONES

Six stones painted with red graphic units are already known: IG VR 60679 (Stone I),
IG VR 60768 (Stone II), IG VR 63643 (Stone III), IG VR 63642 (Stone IV), IG VR 63641
(Stone V) (Broglio, Dalmeri 2005, Broglio *et al.* 2006, Broglio *et al.* 2009). The sixth one was
published in (Broglio, Dalmeri 2005, Broglio *et al.* 2006), but it has never received a
catalogue number and has not been described so far.

The painted motifs portray different subjects. Stone I and II have figurative themes respectively a zoomorph, interpreted as a mustelid, and the so-called shaman of Fumane. An unidentifiable geometric sign, possibly a scalariform, was painted on Stone III, while a circle with dots and lines is on Stone IV. The fifth fragment has a probable running zoomorph with a spot just under it (Broglio *et al.* 2005b). The last stone flake has few traces that cannot be associated to any specific figurative category.

The six stones were respectively found within the Aurignacian layers A2-D3dbase, D5, D3 and D3a+b, in association with the above mentioned massive deposits of ochre and numerous ochred plaquettes, and the Gravettian layer D1d (Masetti 2005, Broglio *et al.* 2005b, 2009). Their distribution is not uniform: two of them, Stones IV and V, were found close to the entrance of the Gallery B, the mustelid at the entrance of Gallery A, the shaman close to the eastern wall and the last one, Stone IV, at the main entrance of the site (Broglio *et al. al.* 2005b). Four of the six stones briefly described here were found isolated, though more

ochred stones were recovered within different layers from both macro-units A and D, in the
whole cave space. At the time of their discovery, the stones had whitish concretions and
sediment residuals on their surface, impeding to immediately recognise the painted graphic
units (Broglio *et al.* 2005b, Velluti *et al.* 2005). So, once cleaned by the covers over the
paintings, graphic signs were exposed.

The stone lithology belongs to the locally dolomitised San Vigilio carbonatic sandstone and mudstone, and their origin was linked to ancient detachments of the vault of the cave (Masetti 2005, Cavallo *et al.* 2017, Colombini *et al.* 2005). Mineralogical and chemical analysis of both rock support and pigment led to recognise a surface alteration on the stones under the pigment coating (Colombini *et al.* 2005).

In one case hematite was recognised, while on the remaining four stones possibly local iron-oxide had been used to paint the red figures (Colombini *et al.* 2005).The abrupt interruption of the paintings on three of the stones (Stone I, II and V), and the paucity of human traces in association to the painted fragments (Stones II and V) led to hypothesise they were fragments of an ancient decorated vault that collapsed, breaking up into different pieces (Bertola 2005, Broglio *et al.* 2005b, 2009).

In 2017 the whole assemblage made of 481 stones smeared with ochre was reviewed, leading to recognise more new stones with painted graphic units. In this works we present four of them that were labelled following the previously chosen code system (Broglio 2005).

215

### 216 FORMAL DESCRIPTION OF THE STONES

The four new stones, which are here labelled as Stones VII-X, were unearthed during excavation activities from the layers of the macro-unit D (*Table 1*). Like the already published five painted stones (Colombini *et al.* 2005, Masetti 2005), all the new collected rock flakes with ochre belong to the same lithology of the cave, so they are fragments of the cave walls:

VII. This stone comes from layer D1e, which has not yet yielded any evidence of 221 • human frequentation aside an only one date 32.0-29.8 ky cal BP (Broglio, Dalmeri 2005) 222 close to the cave wall at the entrance of the Gallery B. It has convex and almost regular face 223 A (*Figure 1A*), opposed to the face B (*Figure 1B*), which is irregular showing an orange 224 patina caused by natural alteration. The irregular fractures of this surface explain the 225 preferential use of face A, which has an almost oval shape and whose limits are remarked by 226 two clear and continuous curved lines. The red signs are partially covered by the sediment 227 coating. However, the intense colour makes the graphic units standing out. 228

• *VIII* comes from a Gravettian layer (D1d) as well. The stone flake was recovered in the middle of the entering area to the Gallery B. Traces of ochre were found only on one surface, face A (*Figure 2A*), which is ovaloid in its shape and is less irregular than the opposite face B (*Figure 2B*). The red colour traces are vivid and were applied on the whole surface features, including the fracture edges, where pigment remains are uncovered by the coatings. The whole surface of the stone is uniformly coated by sediment which let partially free the small red traces on the face A.

236 IX. It was unearthed in the Aurignacian layer D6, in the Gallery B mouth. Its face A (Figure 3A), which is almost quadrangular, is characterised by several superficial 237 detachments which affected the dark red-purplish coat of pigment. Light red powder residuals 238 can be seen concentrated inside and around a fracture close to the edge of the stone. On the 239 opposite face B (*Figure 3B*), in the upper part red-orange patina caused by natural alteration 240 of the limestone itself can be recognised, together with dark a red ochre spot on the lower 241 edge. The dark red-purplish ochre film is preserved in discontinuous spots due to the 242 superficial detachments. The traces are all concentrated in a half of the face A. Despite the 243 fragmentary status, the colouring substance appears homogeneous in colour and texture, and 244 constitutes a proper layer applied on the rock, so marking a substantial difference with both 245

the naturally deposited loose red powder on the same face A and the reddened area caused by
the natural patina of the lithic support, that are on the opposite side and can be recognised in
the fracture section.

X. The stone fragment was unearthed close to the northern cave wall, at the entrance of
 Tunnel B, within the Aurignacian layer D6base. The stone has an almost triangular shape and
 only one ochred face, A (*Figure 4A*). On this surface, a faded red curved line can be
 recognised under a calcite veil. Similarly to Pietra 197, the painted mark looks continuous.
 The opposite side B (*Figure 4B*) shows a regular fracture surface without any anthropic
 intervention.

255

### 256 **METHODS**

257 As mentioned above, each stone was labelled following the previous numeration, so VII-X, in the wait for being recorded with the official and standard classification code 258 provided by the Institutions. For this first study stage, stones have not been cleaned avoiding 259 the removal of their external sedimentary coat. All the stones were photographed using DSLR 260 photography with a digital camera Nikon D5200 24.1-megapixel DX-format CMOS sensor 261 and the produced documentation was checked using the plugin D-Stretch (Harman 2008, 262 Domingo et al. 2013, Le Quellec et al. 2013) for the software ImageJ to enhance the red 263 pigment traces. Later digital tracing was done using the raster graphic editor software 264 Photoshop. 265

To examine the relationships between ochre and sedimentary residues, and ascertain if the colouring material was anthropically added or determined by post-depositional events, optical microscopic observation was performed through stereomicroscope Leica EZ4 HD equipped with digital camera 8-35x magnification.

The four pieces were placed on a rotating platform to perform Digital 3D photogrammetry using Canon EOS 100D 18 megapixel CMOS sensor digital camera. The photogrammetric models were later built using the software Agisoft PhotoScan. To have the enhanced 3D photogrammetric models of the stones, the extracted texture files were then processed by using the DStretch plugin (Sigari 2022).

275

### 276 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The new stones presented here look painted using only red pigment and do not show either any preferential decorated surface, and the presence of any engraved signs differently from the Mousterian levels (Peresani *et al.* 2014).

280 Concerning the figurative motifs, the enhancing mean of DStretch plugin highlighted 281 some graphic units and some issue linked to their making/composition/realization technique 282 (*Figure 5*). Specifically:

• *VII*. A curved line measuring 8.1 cm in length and 6.1 cm in height follows and marks the edge of the rock support. The line width is almost regular being 1.5-2 cm. Opposed to it, on the same face, there is a shorter red painted segment, 3.8 cm long and 2.3 cm high. The painting mark width ranges is almost regular being around 1.5 cm. The two marks were possibly part of a circle that used and remarked the face A of the stone fragment. The red pigment is dark, intense and homogeneous in the way it was spread on the stone flake (*Figure* 5*A*).

• *VIII*. The sediment coating obliterates the painting and limits our understanding of the painted motif. Nevertheless, two preserved small traces of red pigment provide information about the tool used to spread the pigment on the whole rock surface. Indeed, the two marks, which are on the low edge of face A and one close to the other (*Figure 5B, 2A*), measure 1 cm

in width and 1.8-2 cm in length and show continuous parallel striations inside. The pigment,
which partially covers the edge of the stone, is quite vivid, though not bright enough.

*IX.* We observed a main straight line, 5.5 cm long and 0.7 cm wide, which may 296 possibly correspond to the torso of an anthropomorphic figure. Its extremities show possible 297 perpendicular elements that may remind the arms and the legs painted with the same colour, 298 recalling the silhouette of the famous 'shaman' (Broglio 2005). Beside this graphic unit there 299 is a doubtful spot in the shape of a pointed square that reminds an example from the Dalmeri 300 shelter (Dalmeri et al. 2009) (Figure 5C). However, the very poor preservation condition of 301 the decoration obliges tuning down these interpretations, though inviting to further deepen the 302 investigation and consider a possible restoration. The red spot on the face B was possibly left 303 by ochre on the hands. 304

• *X*. A geometric sign was enhanced on this stone. It is a curved line 6.4 cm long and 4 cm large positioned close to the surface limit (*Figure 5D*). The red mark width ranges between 1-1.5 cm.

Though Stone VIII shows a main painted face (A), pigment covers the fracture edges of the fragment as well, differently from what described for the rock fragments I, II and V (Bertola 2005, Broglio 2005, Broglio *et al.* 2009). Therefore, the extension of the colouring substance on more than one side without interruptions, despite the change of the surface shape, fosters the hypothesis that pigmentation occurred after the detachment of the stone from the cave wall.

314

The decoration of portable artefacts shows that the whole surface of the support, e.g. pebble, rock or bone fragment could be painted or engraved. A number of examples of rock fragments with more sides painted can be found in Dalmeri shelter (Dalmeri *et al.* 2009) and Parpallò cave (Villaverde 1994), more engraved examples come from a more conspicuous

number of sites, e.g. Parpallò cave (Villaverde 1994), Laraux shelter (Airvaux et al. 1983), 319 Romanelli (Acanfora 1967), La Ferrassie shelter (Delluc, Delluc 1978), Rochefort cave 320 (Pigeaud, Hinguant 2016) and more (see: Delluc, Delluc 1989). Nevertheless, the existence of 321 only one decorated surface and the fracture of a stone, and so of a graphic unit made on it, is 322 not a sufficient element to state that the stone comes from a decorated wall (Delluc, Delluc 323 1978, Pigeaud, Hinguant 2016, Guerreschi 2005). The examples from the Parpallò Cave in 324 Spain, La Marche and Enlène caves in France, or Dalmeri shelter in Italy witness in this sense 325 the possibility of intentional fractures made a priori (Dalmeri et al. 2009, Villaverde 1994, 326 Mélard 2008). 327

328

The pieces described here were found in different layers: two, Stones IX and X, are 329 from Aurignacian layers D6 and D6-base, increasing the total number of the studied pieces 330 from the levels of this phase up to six. Differently, the Gravettian record still remains in the 331 minority with only three findings in total: a trend that is in line with the more sporadic 332 presence of human groups in the cave in this phase (Falcucci, Peresani 2019) (Figure 6). 333 Looking into the horizontal distribution of the stones, four of them, VII-X, are from the 334 entrance of Gallery B, similarly to Stones IV and V, further suggesting the idea of specific 335 area dedicated to the painted stones that probably lasted for as long as the cave was inhabited 336 (Figure 7). However, further studies may provide more secure information about the 337 persistence of a productive area during the different occupation stages of the cave. 338

339

Stereomicroscope observation revealed the presence of a microstratigraphy on the
stone surface similar to that on the Stones I-V as reported in the previous literature (see:
Colombini *et al.* 2005). Remarkable evidence is provided by Stone IX, whose superficial
detachments exposed a microsection of the surface coatings showing that the pigment lays on

an alteration veil of concretion and is extensively covered by a second thin concretion ofsediment (*Figure 8*).

Furthermore, stereomicroscope observation of Stone VIII led to recognise the presence 346 of parallel striae inside the red marks, confirming the use of tools to spread the pigment on the 347 rock surface (Figure 9). Striations witness the application of a dense substance with a brush 348 and or with a crayon (see: Grapp 1993, Múzquiz Pérez-Seoane 1994, 1998, Aujoulat et al. 349 2010). Further supporting the interpretation about the use of a tool to spread the colour is the 350 regular width of the red marks on Stones VII, VIII and X. Unfortunately, the thin calcite crust 351 covering the painted surface of Stone X precludes the exact understanding of the tool used. 352 Concerning the pigment recipes and binders important suggestions (Clottes 1993) 353 come from the analysis carried out by (Colombini et al. 2005) who recognised the use of red 354 ochre on Stones I, II, IV and V, and hematite on Stone III. Furthermore they proposed that 355 organic elements, such as lipids and proteins, have to be tied to the natural deposit and not to 356 organic binders. Therefore, according to (Colombini et al. 2005), pigment was possibly mixed 357 only with water and then spread on the stones. However, the presence of ochre mixed with 358 bone fragments as revealed by (Cavallo et al. 2017) on two samples from layer A2R, reminds 359 that the practice of mixing red colouring substance with crushed bone fragments was not rare 360 in Palaeolithic art (García-Diez, Ochoa 2013) and we cannot exclude this hypothesis for the 361 ochred stones of Fumane as well. So, both interpretations support the idea that a liquid 362 pigment may have been spread on the stones by using a brush that left those marks recognised 363 on Stone VII. 364

Probable indications about the pigment origin can be establish considering the stratigraphical association between the ochre sample D6-125c that belongs to the ochre Type 1 (Cavallo *et al.* 2017) and the painted Stones IX and X that come from the same layer and close squares.

The new record of graphic units of the Fumane Cave is composed by two clear 370 geometrics and two doubtful graphic marks. The recognised technique is exclusively painting, 371 similarly to the already published five painted stones (Broglio 2005, Broglio et al. 2009). 372 Both themes and graphic trend in remarking the natural features of the rock still match with 373 the already documented evidence and further increase the number of painted stones. 374 Nevertheless, the Stones VII-X are fragments of an ancient vault that were painted after 375 falling down and being selected for their shapes, e.g. the round edges (Stone VII), and regular 376 surfaces (Stones VII-X), to be painted. To further reinforce this hypothesis is the presence of 377 the pigment on a fracture side of Stone VIII and the applied ochre on both faces A and B on 378 Stone IX. 379

380

The presence of non-figurative elements makes hard to establish links with other 381 coeval graphic evidence. Indeed this graphic category is ubiquitous in Upper Palaeolithic art 382 studies, being not definitively diagnostic (Sauvet 2014). Comparisons of the Fumane 383 paintings within other Aurignacian paintings are very few e do not show any common 384 element, not even with other kinds of graphic expressions in Europe (see: Bourrillon, White 385 386 2015). Aurignacian sites with both portable and parietal art are the ones of Aldène, Baume-Latrone, Blanchard, Castanet, Cellier, La Ferrassie and Chauvet in France (Clottes 2010, 387 Azéma et al. 2012, Bourrillon, White 2015, Combier, Jouve 2014, Alcolea-González, de 388 Balbín Behrmann 2007), Hohle-Fels, Geissenklösterle and Vogelherd in Germany (Wolf et al. 389 2018), Altxerri B, Tito Bustillo, Altamira and Castillo in Spain (González-Sainz et al. 2013, 390 García-Diez et al. 2013). However, almost none of them provides valid comparable graphic 391 elements with the documented record of Fumane, neither the figurative, nor the non-figurative 392 themes although, for instance, there are red painted geometric signs in Altamira or Castillo 393

caves (García-Diez *et al.* 2013, Pike *et al.* 2012) or the painted stone from Geissenklösterle
(Wolf *et al.* 2018, Conard, Floss 1999). Therefore, for its both non-figurative and figurative
graphic ensemble, the Fumane record still appears as a unique example of the Aurignacian art
in Europe.

398

Same limitation in establishing comparisons is faced when analysing the red painted stones VII and VIII, respectively unearthed in the Gravettian layers D1e and D1d. The Italian record does not provide any possible comparable element because of the paucity of portable art finds discovered so far and the striking difference with the very few items currently known which are the engraved ibex and chevrons motif of Paglicci Cave (Palma di Cesnola 2003), the engraved pebble from San Sebastiano Cave (Belluomini *et al.* 2007) and the so called Gravettian 'Venuses' from the Balzi Rossi (Mussi *et al.* 2004).

Differently, a richer record of red paintings can be found in a number of western Europe sites, especially in the parietal art with numerous red painted figures, e.g. Covalanas and La Haza caves in Spain (García-Diez, Eguizabal Torre 2007, García-Diez *et al.* 2011). However, the available finds from Fumane Cave are too fragmentary and scarce at the moment to fix solid and valid links, so even the curved lines painted on Stone VII are not sufficient elements to be used as diagnostic parameters.

412

Aside the above mentioned difficulties in establishing comparisons, we consider the possibility of searching for shared elements between the Fumane red graphics with the later graphic production in the Alpine area, especially with the one of Dalmeri shelter (Dalmeri *et al.* 2009; Dalmeri *et al.* 2005). Specifically, the red circular motifs of Stones VII and X recall the red painted stones No. 9 and 102 from Dalmeri (Dalmeri *et al.* 2005a, 2009). We are fully aware that the comparison between two or more sites with a strong chronological difference

can be highly hasty (Sauvet 2014), even in such a small region of Eastern Alps with a gap of 419 data for the Gravettian-Early Epigravettian. This is mostly due to a too sparse distribution of 420 sites and findings across the Great Adriatic-Po Region, a vast land crossed by hunter-421 gatherers during the Last Glacial Maximum. However, continuity in the use of red pigment is 422 recorded at Romualdova Cave in Istria, where red cave painting have been recognised in 423 recent times (Ruiz-Redondo et al. 2019), and in Romanelli Cave in southern Italy as well 424 where a red painted slab was found (Sigari 2020). The artistic tradition consisting in strong 425 schematisation and red painted figures would be further confirmed in another Alpine 426 Epigravettian site, i.e. Villabruna shelter (Broglio 1992, 1998). So, the recalled examples 427 based on the graphic production of Fumane may witness a durable practice in the Alpine area 428 in terms of artistic choice both for the support and the technique and in a general sense for the 429 styles, from the Aurignacian to the Epigravettian. Supporting the idea of a thematic or stylistic 430 tradition that may last for long time, affinities can be found in what it has been recognised in 431 the Altamira Cave, where calcite crusts covering red claviform signs confirmed how they had 432 been produced at different times within the same site as well (García-Diez et al. 2013). 433 Unfortunately, the still limited record from Fumane Cave does not help in identifying any 434 consistent morphological or stylistic variability, but we do not exclude that the progress of the 435 research can definitively solve this issue. 436

437

### 438 CONCLUSION

The newly here presented decorated stones from Fumane Cave provide an update in our knowledge about the artistic activity in this site, enriching also the record of the paintings made by early Upper Palaeolithic populations of both Italy and Europe.

442

443 More specifically, the painted Stones VII-X offer new thematic, technological and 444 productive perspectives.

Thematic elements to the general record of the figurative and non-figurative graphic
units of this site are provided by three new geometric signs and a possible anthropomorphic
figure on Stone IX. However, still scarce or completely absent are the comparisons with other
contemporaneous sites, but on the other hand the connections with more recent evidence, i.e.
Epigravettian Dalmeri and Villabruna shelters portable art, reinforce the possibility of a
graphic expression that lasted for long time in the pre-Alpine area.

451

At a macroanalysis scale it was possible to note how pigment was spread on one or 452 more faces, as the fracture side of Stone VIII and the two ochred faces of Stone IX witness. 453 This evidence suggests that the stones were ochred after their fall from the cave vault. To 454 further support this interpretation is the homogeneous microstratigraphy of the Stones surface 455 recorded by using the microscope analysis. Indeed it shows how pigment lays on an alteration 456 veil of concretion which has later been covered by a second thin concretion of sediment. 457 Furthermore, microscope observation provided information about the painting 458 technique, revealing how a dense pigment was applied on the stone flakes by using a brush, 459 specifically on Stone VIII and X. 460

461

Further research activities may provide the identification of more pieces and information to understand the relationship between art production and site use. In this view it will become crucial the definitive understanding of the presence of an ancient painted cave or not. In other words, answering the question: have the painted stones from Fumane Cave to be considered parietal or not? Moreover, they can provide more data towards the definition of

specific graphic trends in the early upper Palaeolithic and so to sketch a more validevolutionary stylistic scheme for the Palaeolithic art.

469

To conclude, we can state that the ochred stones from Fumane Cave still represent a 470 unique case in the artistic production of the early AMH in Europe. In this perspective, the 471 study on more painted stones of this site might provide new significant data to understand any 472 consistent morphological and stylistic variability and semiotic transformations of the earliest 473 forms of art in the European continent. This can represent a key to interpret those cultural 474 dynamic processes occurred within the site itself between Aurignacian and Gravettian, and 475 within a wider territory, not only during the early upper Palaeolithic, but even during a longer 476 time frame, especially in the Alpine area and beyond. 477

478

### 479 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Research and fieldwork at Fumane is coordinated by the Ferrara University (M.P.) in the
framework of a project supported by the Ministry of Culture – SAPAB Archaeological
Superintendence, public institutions (Lessinia Mountain Community - Regional Natural Park,
B.I.M. Adige, Fumane Municipality), Foundations (Leakey Foundation, Spring 2015 Grant),
and private associations and companies.

485

### 486 **REFERENCES**

487 ABU ZEID N., BIGNARDI. S., RUSSO P., PERESANI M., 2019: Deep in a Paleolithic archive:

Integrated geophysical investigations and laser-scanner reconstruction at Fumane Cave,
Italy. *Journal of Archaeological Science: Reports* 27: 101976.

490 ACANFORA M.O., 1967: Figurazioni inedite della Grotta Romanelli. *Bullettino di Paletnologia*491 *Italiana* 18: 7–67.

493	supérieur de l'abri Laraux, commune de Lussac-les-Châteaux (Vienne). Nouvelle lecture						
494	et comparaisons. Bulletin de la Société préhistorique française 80: 235–246.						
495	ALCOLEA-GONZÁLEZ J.J., DE BALBÍN BEHRMANN R., 2007: C14 et style. La chronologie de						
496	l'art pariétal à l'heure actuelle. Anthropologie 111: 435-466.						
497	ALEO A., DUCHES R., FALCUCCI A., ROTS V., PERESANI M., 2021: Scraping hide in the early						
498	Upper Paleolithic: Insights into the life and function of the Protoaurignacian endscrapers						
499	at Fumane Cave. Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences 13.						
500	AUBERT M., SETIAWAN P., OKTAVIANA A.A., BRUMM A., SULISTYARTO P.H., SAPTOMO E.W.,						
501	ISTIAWAN B., MA'RIFAT T.A., WAHYUONO V.N., ATMOKO F.T., ZHAO J.X., HUNTLEY J.,						
502	TAÇON P.S.C., HOWARD D.L., BRAND H.E.A., 2018: Palaeolithic cave art in Borneo.						
503	<i>Nature</i> 564: 254–57.						
504	AUJOULAT N., BAFFIER D., FERUGLIO V., FRITZ C., TOSELLO G. 2010: Les techniques de l'art						
505	pariétal. In: J. Clottes (Ed.): La grotte Chauvet. L'art des origines. Pp. 152-161. Seuil,						
506	Paris.						
507	AZÉMA M., GÉLY B., BOURRILLON R., GALANT P., 2012: The Palaeolithic art of La Baume						
508	Latrone (France, Gard): new dating elements. INORA - International Newsletter of Rock						
509	Art 64: 6–12.						
510	BELLUOMINI G., CALDERONI G., COLLINA C., FEDI M., FIORE I., GALLOTTI R., GAROFALO B.,						
511	PENNACCHIONI M., PIPERNO M., SALVADEI L., SANTANGELO N., SANTO A.,						
512	TAGLIACOZZO A., 2007: La Grotta di Roccia San Sebastiano (Mondragone, Caserta). In						
513	Atti della XL Riunione Scientifica dell'Istituto Italiano di Preistoria e Protostoria. Pp.						
514	319–332. Istituto Italiano di Preistoria e Protostoria, Firenze.						
515	BERTOLA S., 2005: Morfologia delle superfici delle pietre dipinte. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri						
516	(Eds.): Pitture paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri. Pp.						
	20						

AIRVAUX J., CHOLLET A., PRADEL L., ROUSSOT A., 1983: La plaquette gravée du Périgordien

- 517 46–47. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- 518 BERTOLA S., BROGLIO A., CRISTIANI E., DE STEFANI M., GURIOLI F., NEGRINO F.,
- ROMANDINI M., VANHAEREN M., 2013: La diffusione del primo aurignaziano a sud
  dell'arco alpino. *Preistoria Alpina* 47: 123–152.
- 521 BOURDIER C., 2013: Rock art and social geography in the Upper Paleolithic. Contribution to
- 522 the socio-cultural function of the Roc-aux-Sorciers rock-shelter (Angles-sur-l'Anglin,
- 523 France) from the viewpoint of its sculpted frieze. *Journal of Anthropological*
- 524 *Archaeology* 32: 368–382.
- 525 BOURRILLON R., WHITE R., 2015: Pratiques symboliques aurignaciennes en abri-sous-roche
- dans la vallée de la Vézère: à la recherche d'une identité?. *Palethnologie*.
- 527 https://doi.org/10.4000/palethnologie.774.
- 528 BOURRILLON R., WHITE R., TARTAR E., CHIOTTI L., MENSAN R., CLARK A., CASTEL J.C.,
- 529 CRETIN C., HIGHAM T., MORALA A., RANLETT S., SISK M., DEVIÈSE T., COMESKEY D.J.,
- 530 2018: A new Aurignacian engraving from Abri Blanchard, France: Implications for
- <sup>531</sup> understanding Aurignacian graphic expression in Western and Central Europe.
- 532 *Quaternary International* 491: 46–64.
- 533 BROGLIO A., 1992: Le pietre dipinte dell'Epigravettiano recente del Riparo di Villabruna-A
- 534 (Dolomiti venete). In Atti della XXVIII Riunione Scientifica dell'Istituto Italiano di
- 535 Preistoria e Protostoria. L'arte in Italia. Dal Paleolitico all'Età del Bronzo. Pp. 223–
- 536 237. Istituto Italiano di Preistoria e Protostoria, Firenze.
- 537 BROGLIO A., 1998: Considerazioni sulla produzione artistica dell'Epigravettiano recente del
- 538 Veneto e del trentino. Due nuove pietre dipinte dal Riparo Villabruna A. *Rivista di*
- *Scienze Preistoriche* XLIX: 103–121.
- 540 BROGLIO A., 2005: I dipinti aurignaziani della Grotta di Fumane. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri
- 541 (Eds.): *Pitture paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri.* Pp.

- 542 57–60. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- 543 BROGLIO A., GIACOBINI G., TAGLIACOZZO A., PERESANI M., BERTOLA S., CILLI C., DE
- 544 STEFANI M., GURIOLI F., 2005a: L'abitato aurignaziano. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri
- 545 (Eds.): *Pitture paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri.* Pp.
- 546 23–37. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- 547 BROGLIO A., CREMASCHI M., PERESANI M., DE STEFANI M., BERTOLA S., MARINI D., DI
- 548 ANASTASIO G., 2005b: I ritrovamenti. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri (Eds.): Pitture
- 549 paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri. Pp. 38–40. Museo
- 550 Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- 551 BROGLIO A., DALMERI G., 2005: Pitture paleolitiche nelle prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e
- 552 *Riparo Dalmeri*. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- 553 BROGLIO A., DE STEFANI M., GURIOLI F., PERESANI M., 2006: Les peintures aurignaciennes
- de la Grotte de Fumane (Monts Lessini, Préalpes de la Vénétie). *INORA International Newsletter of Rock Art* 44: 1–8.
- 556 BROGLIO A., DE STEFANI M., GURIOLI F., PALLECCHI P., GIACHI G., HIGHAM T., BROCK F.,
- 2009: L'art aurignacien dans la décoration de la Grotte de Fumane. *L'Anthropologie*113: 753–761.
- 559 BRUMM A., OKTAVIANA A.A., BURHAN B., HAKIM B., LEBE R., ZHAO J.X., SULISTYARTO P.H.,
- 560 RIRIMASSE M., ADHITYATAMA S., SUMANTRI I., AUBERT M., 2021: Oldest cave art found
  561 in Sulawesi. *Science Advances* 7: 1–13.
- 562 CAVALLO G., FONTANA F., GONZATO F., PERESANI M., RICCARDI M.P., ZORZIN R., 2017:
- 563 Textural, microstructural, and compositional characteristics of Fe-based geomaterials
- and Upper Paleolithic ocher in the Lessini Mountains, Northeast Italy: Implications for
- provenance studies. *Geoarchaeology* 32: 437–455.
- 566 CHIOTTI L., DELLUC B., DELLUC G., 2007: Art et parure aurignaciens de l'abri Pataud (Les

- 567 Eyzies-de-Tayac, Dordogne, France) dans le contexte aurignacien du Périgord. In H.
- Floss, N. Rouquerol (Eds.) *Les chemins de l'art aurignacien en Europe. Colloque*
- *international. Aurignac 2005.* Pp. 171–186. Editions Musée-forum, Aurignac.
- 570 CLOTTES J., 1993: Paint Analyses from Several Magdalenian Caves in the Ariège Region of
- 571 France. *Journal of Archaeological Science* 20: 223–235.
- 572 CLOTTES J., 2010: La Grotte Chauvet. L'art des origines. Seuil, Paris.
- 573 COLOMBINI M.P., GIACHI G., MODUGNO F., PALLECCHI P., RIBECHINI E., 2005: Esame
- 574 mineralogico e chimico dei supporti e del colore. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri (Eds.):
- 575 Pitture paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri. Pp. 50–
- 576 54. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- 577 COMBIER J., JOUVE G., 2014: Nouvelles recherches sur l'identité culturelle et stylistique de la
- grotte Chauvet et sur sa datation par la méthode du 14C. *L'Anthropologie* 118: 115–151.
- 579 CONARD N.J., FLOSS H., 1999: Une pierre peinte du Hohle Fels (Baden-Württemberg,
- Allemagne) et la question de l'art pariétal paléolithique en Europe centrale. *Paléo* 11:
  167–176.
- 582 DALMERI G., BASSETTI M., CUSINATO A., KOMPATSCHER K., HROZNY KOMPATSCHER M.,
- 583 NICOLODI F., 2005: Sintesi e prime ipotesi interpretative (dati riferiti al 2001-2002). In:
- A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri (Eds.): *Pitture paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di*
- *Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri*. Pp. 140–44. Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona,
  Verona.
- 587 DALMERI G., CUSINATO A., KOMPATSCHER K., HROZNY KOMPATSCHER M., BASSETTI M.,
- NERI S., 2009: The ochre painted stones from the Riparo Dalmeri (Trento). Development
  of the research on the art and rituality of the Epigravettian site. *Preistoria Alpina* 44: 95–
  119.
- 591 DELLUC B., DELLUC G., 1978: Les manifestations graphiques aurignaciennes sur support

- rocheux des environs des Eyzies (Dordogne). *Gallia préhistoire* 21: 213–332.
- 593 DELLUC B., DELLUC G., 1989. Les blocs ornés: art pariétal ou art mobilier?. In L'art pariétal
- 594 paléolithique. 'Art pariétal paléolithique, étude et conservation'. Colloque international,
- 595 *Perigueux-Le Thot. 19-22 Novembre 1984.* Pp. 21–31. Ministère de la Culture, de la
- 596 Communication, des Grand Travaux et du Bicentenaire, Sous-Direction de
- 597 l'Archéologie, Centre National de Préhistoire, Paris.
- 598 DOMINGO I., VILLAVERDE BONILLA V., LÓPEZ-MONTALVO E., LERMA J.L., CABRELLES M.,
- 599 2013: Reflexiones sobre las técnicas de documentación digital del arte rupestre: la
- restitución bidimensional (2D) versus la tridimensional (3D). *Cuadernos de arte rupestre*6: 21-32.
- 602 FALCUCCI A., CONARD N.J., PERESANI M., 2017: A critical assessment of the
- 603 Protoaurignacian lithic technology at Fumane Cave and its implications for the definition604 of the earliest Aurignacian. *PLoS ONE* 12.
- 605 FALCUCCI A., PERESANI M., ROUSSEL M., NORMAND C., SORESSI M., 2018: What's the point?
- Retouched bladelet variability in the Protoaurignacian. Results from Fumane, Isturitz,
- and Les Cottés. *Archaeological and Anthropological Sciences* 10: 539–554.
- 608 FALCUCCI A., PERESANI M., 2019: A pre-Heinrich Event 3 assemblage at Fumane Cave and
- its contribution for understanding the beginning of the Gravettian in Italy. *Quartär* 66:
  135–154.
- 611 FALCUCCI A., CONARD N.J., PERESANI M., 2020: Breaking through the aquitaine frame: A re-
- evaluation on the significance of regional variants during the Aurignacian as seen from a
  key record in southern Europe. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* 98: 99–140.
- 614 GARCÍA-DIEZ M., ANGULO J., EGUIZABAL J., 2011: Conoce Covalanas. Sociedad regional de
- Educación Cultura y Deporte. Consejería de Educación, Cultura y Deporte. Gobierno de
- 616 Cantabria, Santander.

617	GARCÍA-DIEZ M., HOFFMANN D.L., ZILHÃO J., DE LAS HERAS C., LASHERAS J.A., MONTES R.,						
618	PIKE A.W.G., 2013: Uranium series dating reveals a long sequence of rock art at						
619	Altamira Cave (Santillana del Mar, Cantabria). Journal of Archaeological Science 40:						
620	4098–4106.						
621	GARCÍA-DIEZ M., GARRIDO D., HOFFMANN D.L., PETTITT P.B., PIKE A.W.G., ZILHÃO J.,						
622	2015: The chronology of hand stencils in European Palaeolithic rock art: implications of						
623	new U-series results from El Castillo Cave (Cantabria, Spain). Journal of						
624	Anthropological Sciences 93: 1–18.						
625	GARCÍA-DIEZ M., EGUIZABAL TORRE J., 2007: Los dibujos rojos de estilo paleolítico de la						
626	Cueva de La Haza (Ramales de la Victoria, Cantabria: estudio monográfico. Munibe						
627	Antropologia-Arkeologia: 177–222.						
628	GARCÍA-DIEZ M., OCHOA B., 2013: Arte prehistórico. In M. García-Diez, L. Zapata (Eds.)						
629	Métodos y técnicas de análisis y estudio en arqueología prehistórica. Pp. 611–634.						
630	Universidad del Pais Basco/Euskal Herriko Unibersitatea.						
631	GONZÁLEZ-SAINZ C., RUIZ-REDONDO A., GARATE-MAIDAGAN D., IRIARTE-AVILÉS E., 2013:						
632	Not only Chauvet: Dating Aurignacian rock art in Altxerri B Cave (northern Spain).						
633	Journal of Human Evolution 65.: 457–464.						
634	GROUPE DE REFLEXIONS SUR L'ART PARIETAL PALEOLITHIQUE, 1993: L'art parietal						
635	paleolithique. Techniques et méthodes de étude. CTHS, Paris.						
636	GUERRESCHI A., 2005: La produzione epigravettiana dell'area veneta e i rapporti con altre						
637	manifestazioni mobiliari. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri (Eds.): Pitture paleolitiche nelle						
638	Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri. Pp. 179–183. Museo Civico di						
639	Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.						
640	HARMAN J., 2008: Using Decorrelation Stretch to Enhance Rock Art Images.						
641	http://www.dstretch.com/AlgorithmDescription.html.						
	25						

642	HIGHAM T., BROCK F., PERESANI M., BROGLIO A., WOOD R., DOUKA K., 2009: Problems with
643	radiocarbon dating the Middle to Upper Palaeolithic transition in Italy. Quaternary
644	Science Reviews 28: 1257–1267.
645	HOFFMANN D.L., STANDISH C.D., GARCÍA-DIEZ M., PETTITT P.B., MILTON J.A., ZILHÃO J.,
646	Alcolea-González J.J., Cantalejo Duarte P., Collado Giraldo H., De Balbín
647	BEHRMANN R., LORBLANCHET M., RAMOS-MUÑOZ J., WENIGER GC., PIKE A.W.G.,
648	2018: U-Th dating of carbonate crusts reveals Neandertal origin of Iberian cave art
649	Science 359: 912–915.
650	HOFFMANN D.L., STANDISH C.D., GARCÍA-DIEZ M., PETTITT P.B., MILTON J.A., ZILHÃO J.,
651	Alcolea-González J.J., Cantalejo Duarte P., Collado Giraldo H., De Balbín
652	BEHRMANN R., LORBLANCHET M., RAMOS-MUÑOZ J., WENIGER GC., PIKE A.W.G.,
653	2020: Response to White et al.'s reply: 'Still no archaeological evidence that
654	Neanderthals created Iberian cave art' [J. Hum. Evol. (2020) 102640] Journal of Human
655	Evolution 144: 1–4.
656	HUYGE D., VANDENBERGHE D.A.G., DE DAPPER M., MEES F., CLAES W., DARNELL J.C.,
657	2011: First evidence of Pleistocene rock art in North Africa: securing the age of the
658	Qurta petroglyphs (Egypt) through OSL dating. Antiquity 85: 1184–1193.
659	LE QUELLEC JL., HARMAN J., DEFRASNE C., DUQUESNOY F., 2013: DStretch® et
660	l'amélioration des images numériques: applications à l'archéologie des images rupestres.
661	Les cahiers de l'AARS 16: 177–198.
662	LÓPEZ-GARCÍA J.M., DALLA VALLE C., CREMASCHI M., PERESANI M., 2015: Reconstruction
663	of the Neanderthal and Modern Human landscape and climate from the Fumane Cave
664	sequence (Verona, Italy) using small-mammal assemblages. Quaternary Science Reviews
665	128: 1–13.
666	MASETTI D., 2005: Litologia delle pietre dipinte. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri (Eds.): Pitture

- 667 paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri. Pp. 45–46. Museo
- 668 Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona, Verona.
- MELARD N., 2008 : Pierres gravées de la Marche à Lussac-les-Châteaux (Vienne): techniques,
  technologie et interprétations. *Gallia préhistoire* 50: 143–268.
- MUSSI, M., P. BOLDUC. & J. CINQ-MARS. 2004. Le 15 figurine paleolitiche scoperte da Louis
- Alexandre Jullien ai Balzi Rossi *Origini* XXVI: 7–61.
- 673 MÚZQUIZ PÉREZ-SEOANE M., 1994: Análisis del proceso artístico del arte rupestre.
- 674 *Complutum* 5: 357–368.
- 675 MÚZQUIZ PÉREZ-SEOANE M., 1998: Tecniche, procedimenti di esecuzione, autori e
- 676 impostazioni artistiche delle pitture di Altamira. In A. Beltrán (Ed.) La grotta preistorica
- 677 *di Altamira*. Pp. 59–88. Jaca Book, Milano.
- PALMA DI CESNOLA A., 2003: *Paglicci ed il Paleolitico del Gargano*. Claudio Grenzi Editore,
  Foggia.
- 680 PERESANI M., 2012: Fifty thousand years of flint knapping and tool shaping across the
- Mousterian and Uluzzian sequence of Fumane Cave. *Quaternary International* 247:
  125–150.
- PERESANI M., DALLATORRE S., ASTUTI P., DAL COLLE M., ZIGGIOTTI S., PERETTO C., 2014:

684 Symbolic or utilitarian? Juggling interpretations of neanderthal behavior: New inferences

from the study of engraved stone surfaces. *Journal of Anthropological Sciences* 92: 233–

686 255.

687 PERESANI M., CRISTIANI E., ROMANDINI M., 2016: The Uluzzian technology of Grotta di

- Fumane and its implication for reconstructing cultural dynamics in the Middle-Upper
- Palaeolithic transition of Western Eurasia. *Journal of Human Evolution* 91: 36-56.
- 690 PERESANI M., FORTE M., QUAGGIOTTO E., COLONESE A.C., ROMANDINI M., CILLI C.,
- 691 GIACOBINI G., 2019: Marine and freshwater shell exploitation in the Early Upper

- 692 Paleolithic: Re-examination of the assemblages from Fumane Cave (NE Italy).
- 693 *PaleoAnthropology* Special Is: 64–81.
- 694 PERETTO C., BIAGI P., BOSCHIAN G., BROGLIO A., DE STEFANI M., FASANI L., FONTANA F.,
- 695 GRIFONI R., GUERRESCHI A., IACOPINI A., MINELLI A., PALA R., PERESANI M., RADIS G.,
- 696 RONCHITELLI A., SARTI L., THUN HOHENSTEIN U., TOZZI C., 2004: Living-floors and
- 697 structures from the lower paleolithic to the Bronze Age in Italy. *Collegium*
- 698 *Antropologicum* 28: 63–88.
- 699 PIGEAUD R., HINGUANT S., 2016: Rituels familiers, pierres recyclées. Les plaquettes gravées
- du Solutréen de la grotte Rochefort (Mayenne, France). In: J.-J. Cleyet-Merle, J.M.
- 701 Geneste, E. Man-Estier (Eds.): *L'art au quotidien Objets ornés du Paléolithique*
- *suérieur: Actes du colloque international. Paleo, numéro spécial.* Pp. 381–399. Musée
- 703 National de Préhistoire, Les Eyzies-de-Tayac-Sireuil.
- 704 PIKE A.W.G., HOFFMANN D.L., PETTITT P.B., ALCOLEA J., DE BALBÍN R., DE LAS HERAS C.,
- LASHERAS J.A., MONTES R., ZILHÃO J., 2012: U-Series Dating of Paleolithic Art in 11
  Caves in Spain. *Science* 336: 1409–1414.
- 707 RUIZ-REDONDO A., KOMŠO D., GARATE-MAIDAGAN D., MORO-ABADÍA O., GONZÁLEZ-
- 708 MORALES M.R., JAUBERT J., KARAVANIĆ I., 2019: Expanding the horizons of
- Palaeolithic rock art: the site of Romualdova Pećina. *Antiquity* 93: 297–312.
- 710 SAUVET G., 2014: Du bon usage des comparaisons dans l'art rupestre: le cas des signes. In :
- 711 M.A. Medina-Alcaide, A.J. Romero Alonso, R.M. Ruiz-Márquez, J.L. Sanchidrián Torti
- 712 (Eds.): Sobre rocas y huesos: las sociedades prehistóricas y sus manifestaciones
- *plásticas*. Pp. 14–25. Fundación Cueva de Nerja, Córdoba.
- SIGARI D., 2022: 3D photogrammetric models of painted ochre stones from Fumane Cave",
- 715 Mendeley Data, V1, doi: 10.17632/m78rxfh5c8.1
- 716 SIGARI D., 2017: Portrayal of a sea in a semiarid environment: Boat engravings in Böyük Daş,

- Gobustan. *Journal of Arid Environments* 143: 57–63.
- 718 SIGARI D., 2020: The Sea on the Rocks. Sailing in the Rock Art of Gobustan. In: F. Biglari, J.
- 719 Nokandehm, A. Naderi Beni, A. Hozhabri (Eds.): *Human and the Sea. A review of*
- *thousands of years of relationship between humans and the sea in Iran.* Pp. 173–186.
- 721 National Museum of Iran and Ports and Maritime Organization of Iran, Tehran.
- 722 VELLUTI F., TOMESANI L., PASSARELLA O., 2005: Il restauro. In: A. Broglio, G. Dalmeri
- (Eds.): *Pitture paleolitiche nelle Prealpi venete. Grotta di Fumane e Riparo Dalmeri.* Pp.
- 40–44. Verona: Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Verona.
- 725 VILLAVERDE V., 1994: Arte paleolítico de la Cova del Parpalló. Estudio de la colección de
- 726 *plaquetas y cantos grabados y pintados*. Servei D'Investigació Prehistòrica Diputació de
- 727 València, Valencia.
- 728 WHITE R., BOSINSKI G., BOURRILLON R., CLOTTES J., CONKEY M.W., CORCHÓN M.S.,
- 729 CORTES-SANCHEZ M., DE LA RASILLA VIVES M., DELLUC B., DELLUC G., FERUGLIO V.,
- 730 FLOSS H., FOUCHER P., FRITZ C., FUENTES O., GARATE-MAIDAGAN D., GONZALEZ
- 731 MORALES M., GONZÁLEZ-PUMARIEGA SOLÍS M., GROENEN M., JAUBERT J., MARTINEZ-
- 732 AGUIRRE M.A., MEDINA-ALCAIDE M.A., MORO-ABADÍA O., ONTAÑON PEREDO R.,
- 733 PAILLET-MAN-ESTIER E., PAILLET P., PETROGNANI S., PIGEAUD R., PINÇON G., PLASSARD
- 734 F., RIPOLL LÓPEZ S., RIVERO O., ROBERT E., RUIZ-REDONDO A., RUIZ LOPEZ J.F., SAN
- 735 JUAN-FOUCHER C., SANCHIDRIÁN TORTI J.L., SAUVET G., SIMON-VALLEJO M.D.,
- TOSELLO G., UTRILLA P., VIALOU D., WILLIS M.D., 2020: Still no archaeological
- evidence that Neanderthals created Iberian cave art. *Journal of Human Evolution* 144:
- 738 102640.
- 739 WOLF S., DAPSCHAUSKAS R., VELLIKY E., FLOSS H., KANDEL A.W., CONARD N.J., 2018: The
- 740 Use of Ochre and Painting During the Upper Paleolithic of the Swabian Jura in the
- 741 Context of the Development of Ochre Use in Africa and Europe. *Open Archaeology* 4:

742 185–205.

## **TABLE TITLES**

**Table 1** Catalogue of the Stones VII-X with indication of their spatial coordinates, square and
layer of provenience, number of ochred surfaces, and presence of calcite concretion
covering the pigment and sizes.

751 TABLES

Stone	Excav. Year	Square	SU	Progr. Excav. Numb.	Clea - ning	Ochred sides	Calcite	Lengt h	Width	Thic kness
VII	-	147i	D1e	12	no	1	no	11.5	8.1	3.4
VIII	n/a	137A	D1d	376	no	2	no	11.4	6.5	3.5
IX	-	128c	D6	37	no	2	no	12.2	9.8	1.6
Х	-	135i	D6-base	30	no	1	yes	16	12.5	1.7

Table 1 

#### 756 FIGURE LEGENDS

757

Fig. 1 Stone VII, (A) face A with the red signs that mark the upper and lower edge of the
surface. The sediment coat is clearly visible on the whole face (B) the irregular face B
with its orange patina.

- Fig. 2 Stone VIII, (A) side A with the exposed red marks in the lower part. Red traces can be
  seen under the sediment coat the covers the surface; (B) face B is free from the sediment
  coat and has an orange patina
- Fig. 3 Stone IX, it has not sediment coat on its surface. However, on its face A red sediment
  powder is in a fracture in the left bottom part, while on right side are the traces of
  pigment (A); below (B), on face B the reddish patina caused by natural alteration of the
  limestone support can be recognised.
- Fig. 4 Stone X has the red curved line in the left part of face A, under a calcite veil (A),
  differently from face B which does not show any anthropic intervention, nor any other
  specific natural alteration, apart some surface detachments (B).
- **Fig. 5** Tracing of the faces A of the ochred Stones VII (A), VIII (B), IX (C), X (D).
- **Fig. 6** Indication of the stratigraphical position of the ochred stones.
- Fig. 7 The spatial distribution of the ochred stones within the Fumane cave space. Thedifferently coloured triangles indicate the provenance layer of the findings.
- Fig. 8 Face A of Stone IX: the area analysed by stereomicroscope outlined in white (A).
  Microscopic photography of the face A of Stone IX: I) the rock bedrock; II) the red
  pigment; III) the concretion (B).
- Fig. 9 Face A of Stone VIII: the zoomed red mark where the parallel striations were
  recognised is outlined in white (A). Microscopic photography of the striations indicated
  by arrows (B).



782
783
784 Figure 1
785









Stone IX

799 Figure 5 



804 Figure 6



Figure 7









16 Figure 9