

Article



A Portable Device for I–V and Arrhenius Plots to Characterize Chemoresistive Gas Sensors: Test on SnO₂-Based Sensors

Michele Astolfi^{1,2}, Giulia Zonta^{1,2}, Sandro Gherardi², Cesare Malagù^{1,2}, Donato Vincenzi¹, and Giorgio Rispoli^{3,*}

- ¹ Department of Physics and Earth Science, University of Ferrara, 44122 Ferrara, Italy; michele.astolfi@unife.it (M.A.); giulia.zonta@unife.it (G.Z.); malagu@fe.infn.it (C.M.); donato.vincenzi@unife.it (D.V.)
- ² SCENT S.r.l., 44124 Ferrara, Italy; gherardi@fe.infn.it
- ³ Department of Neurosciences and Rehabilitation, University of Ferrara, 44121 Ferrara, Italy
- * Correspondence: rsg@unife.it; Tel.: +39-0532-455470

Abstract: Chemoresistive nanostructured gas sensors are employed in many diverse applications in the medical, industrial, environmental, etc. fields; therefore, it is crucial to have a device that is able to quickly calibrate and characterize them. To this aim, a portable, user-friendly device designed to easily calibrate a sensor in laboratory and/or on field is introduced here. The device comprises a small hermetically sealed chamber (containing the sensor socket and a temperature/humidity sensor), a pneumatic system, and a custom electronics controlled by a Raspberry Pi 4 developing board, running a custom software (Version 1.0) whose user interface is accessed via a multitouch-screen. This device automatically characterizes the sensor heater in order to precisely set the desired working temperature, it acquires and plots the sensor responses to different gases and environments. These tests were performed in dry air on two representative sensors based on widely used SnO₂ material. The device demonstrated the independence of the Arrhenius plot from the film applied voltage and the linearity of the I–Vs, which resulted from the voltage step length (1–30 min) and temperature (200–550 °C).

Keywords: gas sensor; chemoresistivity; sensor device; current-voltage characteristics; Arrhenius plot; metal-oxide; portable device; sensor calibration

1. Introduction

Metal-oxide (MOX) gas sensors, thanks to their high sensitivity (attaining a detection limit up to tens of ppb) [1–4], low power consumption, fast response (within 10–20 min), and recovering time (typically 15–30 min) [4,5], are widely used in different application fields, such as environmental [6–8], industrial [9], agri-food [10–14], medical [15–21], etc. MOX sensor sensing principle is based on chemoresistivity (i.e., their electrical resistance changes as a function of the chemical reactions between the surface and a gaseous analyte), which is enhanced by nanostructured manufacturing the sensing film (i.e., the film is made up of an interconnected network of semiconductor nanograins). The latter can be obtained through several methods [22], such as sputtering [23,24], chemical vapor deposition [22,25], screen-printing deposition of a nanostructured paste [15,26] (synthesized for instance by means of the sol-gel technique [27,28]), etc. Despite their high sensitivity, these sensors exhibit a low selectivity to specific compounds if used singularly [4]; this limit can be overcome to some extent by combining them into arrays of two or more sensors and carefully calibrating each one, so as to optimize the array for specific applications (e.g., detection of a particular gas target or mixture). The large number of possible applications for these sensors makes it necessary to have a system able to easily calibrate and test them, in order to select the most suitable ones for a specific purpose. Usually, most laboratories



Citation: Astolfi, M.; Zonta, G.; Gherardi, S.; Malagù, C.; Vincenzi, D.; Rispoli, G. A Portable Device for I–V and Arrhenius Plots to Characterize Chemoresistive Gas Sensors: Test on SnO₂-Based Sensors. *Nanomaterials* 2023, *13*, 2549. https://doi.org/ 10.3390/nano13182549

Academic Editor: Li Hai

Received: 30 August 2023 Revised: 8 September 2023 Accepted: 10 September 2023 Published: 12 September 2023



Copyright: © 2023 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). perform on-site calibrations only by using large, bulky, and costly set-ups, which are often not suitable for performing on-field calibrations (of environmental sensors, for instance), and require trained personnel. Nowadays, much research is focused on the development of user-friendly and portable devices for gas analysis and the detection of their impurities by employing different technologies [29–32]. In this work, a novel, portable, and user-friendly device is introduced (so far in the form of a hand-made demonstrator), which is entirely designed, produced, and assembled at the Sensor Laboratory (SL) of the Department of Physics and Earth Sciences of the University of Ferrara and is able to characterize and/or calibrate a single MOX sensor in a laboratory and/or field, and able to analyze single or mixed gases. The electronics and pneumatics employed for this device rely on the SL team's experience acquired in manufacturing devices for medical screening and environmental monitoring purposes [17-19,21,33]. The device was also optimized to be remarkably versatile and low power consuming without any loss of reliability, and is therefore capable of handling most of the currently available MOX sensors. The device was conceived for the following applications: (i) automatic calculation and tuning of the heater resistance to set precisely the desired working temperature (WT); (ii) rapid construction of the sensor current-to-voltage (I–V) and Arrhenius curves [5,34,35]; (iii) acquisition of the sensor signal to different gases and environments and the sensor response calculation. To validate the device performances, all these tests were performed in dry air on both the SnO_2 and SnO_2 + 1%Au representative sensors, because of the wide use of the tin-oxide semiconductor material in the sensor field.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Chemoresistive Sensors Fabrication

The representative sensors employed here are based on tin-oxide semiconductor nanostructures decorated or not with gold nanoparticles (SnO₂ and SnO₂ + Au 1%), because of the high versatility and popularity of SnO₂-based materials [29,36]. These sensors were entirely designed, produced, and assembled at SL, through commonly employed techniques for thick-film MOX sensors production. The molar addition of 1% Au in the SnO₂ material leads to an improvement of material stability, sensitivity, and selectivity, and increases its conductance with respect to pure SnO₂ [3]: noble materials work as catalysts, thereby improving the molecular oxygen dissociation at the sensor surface during the sensing process [36]). Sensors are typically made of the following three components (Figure 1):

- the substrate: an alumina-made insulating layer hosting two interdigitated combshaped gold electrodes, connecting the sensor to the readout circuit;
- the sensing material (or active material): a porous thick film (thickness ~20 μm) of interconnected MOX nanoparticles;
- the heater: a platinum meander aimed to heat the sensor to the proper WT by controlling the current flowing through it.

The MOX nanopowder, comprising the sensing material, was synthesized through sol-gel technique, in a similar way as indicated in [28], then converted into viscous paste through the addition of organic vehicles and a glass frit (a mixture of glassy silicon oxides, which are crucial to optimize the adhesion between the film and the substrate). The paste was then printed onto the alumina substrate by means of a screen-printing machine (Aurel C920, Modigliana, Italy) and thermally treated through drying (at about 100 °C) and firing (at temperatures up to 850 °C). The former process eliminates the residual volatile additives, the latter one uniforms and stabilizes the nanograin's dimensions and permanently fixes the film to the substrate. Finally, the sensor was welded by thermo-compression (bonding technique) on a four pin TO-39 socket, connecting it to the readout circuit [5].



Figure 1. 3D sketches of a sensor. (**a**) Sensor upper view: the semiconductor film is printed onto the substrate (connecting the two comb-shaped gold electrodes); the sensor is bonded with golden wires to a TO-39 socket; (**b**) sensor bottom view: the heater (platinum meander) is shown on the substrate backside.

The SnO₂ nanoparticles were synthesized by dissolving Sn(II) ethylhexanoate in a hydro-alcoholic solution (H₂O/2-propanol mix) and stirring at room temperature. Then, an aqueous solution of AuBr₃ was added to this mixture to obtain a 100:1 SnO₂ to Au molar ratio. The precursor was hydrolyzed by adding 0.15 M of HNO₃ and then calcinated in air for 2 h at 650 °C to obtain the SnO₂ (Au 1%) nanostructured powder composed of grains that ranged between 50–200 nm in size. All materials were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and were used without further purification, as reported in [37]. Finally, the semiconductor paste was printed onto the front side of an alumina substrate and equipped with comb-shaped gold-electrodes and a heather (platinum meander; Figure 1b) printed on the backside to heat up the sensor to the desired WT (generally ranging from 300 to 600 °C) [5].

2.2. Single Sensor Device and Set-Up

The portable device for I–V and Arrhenius plots that characterizes chemoresistive gas sensors ($20 \times 25 \times 15$ cm³ weighting about 2.5 kg) hosts an electronic system powered by a 24 W power supply, including a sensor board controlled by a Raspberry Pi 4 and a cylindrical sensor chamber. The Raspberry Pi 4 works as the device-computing unit, providing the serial communication (I²C) to the electronics components (i.e., digital-analog converter, DACs; analog-digital converter, ADCs; etc.; Figure 2) and managing the entire device through the external touch screen by means of a dedicated software (written in Python language; Version 1.0). The sensor board comprises one unit responsible for the sensor heating and a second unit dedicated to the sensor signal acquisition.

The sensor heating is attained by means of a 12-bit DAC (DAC1, MCP4725, Microchip Technology Inc., Chandler, AZ, USA) that supplies a reference voltage (up to 10 V) to a LDO (low drop-out voltage regulator, LT3080, Analog Devices, Wilmington, MA, USA) necessary to boost up the DAC1 current feeding the heater (Figure 2). The voltage drop across the resistor R_c (which is read by one of the four 16-bit ADC channels; ADS1115, Texas Instruments, Dallas, TX, USA) in series with the heater, is used to monitor the actual current flowing through the latter, to fine-tune the DAC1 output to reach the desired WT (see Section 2.3). This electronic device is very precise and at the same time over dimensioned, since it can heat the sensor to temperatures higher than one thousand degrees Celsius (though it is strongly recommended to not heat this sensor type above its firing temperature, typically between 600–900 °C).



Figure 2. Block-scheme of the device electronics. H+ and H–, S+, and S– are the heater (driven by DAC1) and the sensor film pins, respectively; the voltage drop across R_c is acquired by one of the four channels of the ADC; DAC2 provides the sensor film voltage (V_i); R_f sets the IOA gain whose output (*SIGNAL*) is sampled by a second ADC channel.

The signal acquisition circuit (Figure 3) applies a variable voltage V_i (0–10 V) to the sensor film by means of a second 12-bit DAC (DAC2, MCP4725; Figure 2), while an inverting operational amplifier (IOA) returns a voltage *SIGNAL* (Equation (1)) as a function of time inversely proportional to the sensor film resistance R_s :

$$SIGNAL = -\frac{R_f}{R_s}V_i \tag{1}$$

where R_f is the IOA feedback resistance. The managing electronics can easily handle sensors with a film resistance exceeding 3 G Ω (therefore covering most of the MOX sensors currently available) and also generating very low signal amplitudes, thanks to its very low noise and reliability. The *SIGNAL* was sampled and digitized by a second 16-bit ADC channel of the ADS1115 integrated circuit, and acquired and plotted in real time by means of the custom software. In order to acquire the I–V relationships, it is necessary to measure the current i_f flowing through the film: since the film voltage V_i is related to the film current intensity by

$$V_i = R_s i_f \tag{2}$$

the absolute value of i_f can be calculated by combining Equations (1) and (2):



Figure 3. Sketch of the sensor signal transduction circuit. V_i is the sensor film feeding voltage, S_+ and S_- are the sensor film pins, R_f is the IOA feedback resistor, *SIGNAL* is the sensor voltage output, and V₊ and V₋ are the IOA power supply.

The sensor chamber is a hermetically sealed aluminum cylinder having both external height and diameter of 7 cm, 1 cm wall thickness, and an openable head. The chamber hosts a gas sensor socket, a humidity/temperature sensor (SHTC3, Sensirion AG, Stäfa Switzer-

land), an 8-pin electronic connector to couple the tested and the humidity/temperature sensors to the external electronics, and two swift pneumatic connectors for 4 mm-Teflon tubes, working as gas inlet and outlet (Figure 4).



Figure 4. Photograph of the device interior. (**a**) The entire device interior hosting the sensor chamber, the sensor board, the Raspberry Pi 4, and the 24 W power supply; (**b**) enlargement of the top view of the opened chamber showing the board hosting the tested sensor; the humidity/temperature is visible through a hole drilled in this board.

The device is completed with a pneumatic system that conveys the gas to the sensor chamber from, for instance, an external cylinder, and regulates the gas flux though a mass flow controller (GF40 series, Brooks Instruments, Hatfield, PA, USA) and a multitouch external screen (Figure 5). Other gas lines, when equipped with a mass flow controller, could be merged by means of a multiple connector to convey different interfering gases to the inlet of the sensor chamber (Figure 5); the humidity of a particular gas line could be arbitrarily changed by using a bubbler [3,5].



Figure 5. Sketch of the device laboratory set-up. PS is the device power supply; the mass flow controller conveys the air from (for instance) a cylinder to the sensor chamber; the electronic board manages the sensor heating and the data acquisition; the custom software acquires, stores, and plots the data in real time on the external multitouch screen.

Furthermore, it is possible to convey the environmental air to the sensor chamber through a supplementary gas line comprising an optional internal pump, a carbon filter (to reduce the air relative humidity variation), and a 0.2 μ m filter to remove most of the particulate contaminating the incoming air.

2.3. WT Determination

For the correct sensor functioning, it is important to heat its film to a specific WT [5], depending on the material type, the environmental atmosphere, the target gas, or gas mixture to be analyzed. The optimal WT at which the sensor exhibits the best performances (sensitivity, repeatability, detection limit, recovery time, etc.) for a certain application can be experimentally determined after calibration tests and usually ranges from 300 to 650 °C for most of the MOX sensors. To precisely heat the sensor to a proper WT, it is necessary to apply an appropriate voltage to the platinum heater, generating a current (measured by the voltage drop across R_c ; Figure 2) that heats the sensor through the Joule effect. The heater resistance, calculated by dividing the applied voltage and the measured current, increases with temperature, according to

$$R_T = R_0 \left(1 + \alpha T + \beta T^2 \right) \tag{3}$$

where R_T is the heater resistance at the generic temperature *T* (in °C), R_0 is the resistance at 0 °C, while α and β are constants particular to the heater material ($\alpha = 0.003263 \text{ °C}^{-1}$ and $\beta = -6.6668 \times 10^{-7} \text{ °C}^{-2}$). R_0 is the unique parameter of Equation (3) that must be known to calculate R_T (by the software; Version 1.0), being calculated through Equation (4) (obtained by rearranging Equation (3)):

$$R_0 = \frac{R_h}{1 + \alpha T_h + \beta T_h^2} \tag{4}$$

where R_h is the heater resistance measured at the reference temperature T_h . Therefore, it is possible to precisely control the sensor temperature by applying an appropriate voltage V_T that generates a current intensity I flowing through the heater given by

$$I = \frac{V_T}{R_T} \tag{5}$$

All the above procedures (i.e., T_h and R_h measurement, R_0 calculation, and V_T setting) could be executed manually or automatically by the custom program (see Section 2.5).

The chamber temperature and humidity measured by the dedicated sensor were kept at almost constant values ($34-36 \pm 0.2$ °C and 2 ± 1 %, respectively) during the measurements, while the dry air or the test gas flow was kept constant by means of a mass flow controller (Figure 5).

2.4. I-V Characteristic Curves Determination

The study of the I–V curves of a material is crucial to understand its electrical behavior and hence to monitor its expected electrical functioning. For instance, it can verify whether I–Vs exhibit a hysteresis or if they have a particular shape (e.g., linear, exponential, power law, etc.). These experiments could also enlighten possible film structural changes (long lasting or not) induced by the electrical field variations. The voltage region in which there is no hysteresis allows easy control of the IOA gain (Equation (1)), aside from R_f (which is often inconvenient), also by changing the film applied voltage, which can be easily adjusted. These tests are generally performed in a laboratory, following the sensor manufacturing and assembly, and/or before the sensor replacement in an operative device. The portable device described here obtains I–V curves with high accuracy, rapidity, and, if necessary, directly on field as follows. After the sensor WT determination (see Section 2.3) and the sensor stabilization at this temperature (i.e., when the sensor SIGNAL is constant in a reference atmosphere), the film voltage can be changed, manually or automatically, from 0 to 10 V in arbitrary steps of an arbitrary duration, while the device records the current intensity at the end of each step. In automatic mode, the device performs the I–V curve with a number of voltage steps and duration previously entered by the user through the software graphical interface (Version 1.0; Figure 5); the voltage steps can be applied in

increasing and/or decreasing directions. At the end of the I–V curve acquisition, the data are stored in a .txt file, which can be used by any analysis or plotting software (Version 1.0).

2.5. The Managing Software

The entire device is controlled by means of a custom software (written in Python language; Version 1.0) running on a Raspberry Pi 4 developing board computer. A multitouchscreen allows the user to interface with the software, which handles, monitors, and plots all the sensor parameters in real time. The user can interact with the device by clicking on the desired button and entering values and notes by typing on an on-screen virtual keyboard. All the commands and plots are organized in three main pages (Figures 6 and 7) named Heaterpage, IVpage, and Signalpage. The first one (Figure 6) manages the sensor temperature settings, allowing adjustment to the desired WT manually or automatically, collecting the data for the Arrhenius plots by clicking on the corresponding button, and displaying the chamber internal temperature ("T") and humidity ("H"). First of all, on this page (Figure 6, top panel), the "Create Sensor" button allows the user to enter the sensor name, "Name:", the senor "Code" (univocally identifying it), and some arbitrary "Notes" that may keep track of the experiment type and conditions. This page displays in real time the "Voltage" applied to the heater, the measured "Current" flowing through it, and the corresponding heater resistance "Rh". In order to characterize the sensor heater, the device measures R_h at room temperature T_h by applying a very small voltage to the heater and measuring the corresponding current. By exploiting R_h and T_h , the software calculates "R0" (according to Equation (3)), that is required to calculate the heater resistance corresponding to the desired WT. Once "R0" is computed, the software calculates the theoretical heater resistances (R_T s) related to a list of arbitrary preselected temperatures (from 200 to 650 $^{\circ}$ C in 50 °C step here) exploiting Equation (2), and generates the temperature table (Figure 6, upper panel) where the temperatures and the "Rh"s are inserted in the first and second row (black and red numbers), respectively. At this point, by trial and error, the user can find the appropriate value to enter in "H.DAC" field to obtain the desired WT, by matching "Rh" and the value of the second row of the table (red numbers) related to the desired WT (first row of the table). Moreover, it is possible to set the WT directly by clicking on the corresponding temperature label (that turns red): this starts the software algorithm that automatically sets the correct "H.DAC" value (within an arbitrary error set via software, being currently 2%) by successive approximations.

The "Arrhenius plot" button opens a subpage (Figure 6, bottom panel), where the starting ("From") and the final ("to") DAC1 values (between 0 and 4096) can be entered, which set the corresponding WTs; the number of temperature steps in this range can be entered in the "N.Steps" field and the duration of each step is entered in "St.Time"; these four entries cannot be modified during the Arrhenius data acquisition to avoid possible user errors. The Arrhenius data acquisition is started by clicking the "Start" button and forced to stop with the "Cancel" one. Finally, the user can enter/update the value of "Rfeed" after the physical insertion or replacement of R_f in a dedicated connector (this could be done during the measurements). At the end of each temperature step, "Rh", "Vs" (which is the sensor *SIGNAL*), and "Rf" data are reported in the appropriate list box field, ready to be stored in a .txt file by clicking on "SvD" (Save Data) button. "Rm" (Remove) and "RmA" (Remove All) buttons delete a selected or all the data items in the list box, respectively. The program also plots *SIGNAL* vs. time, to ensure the operator that the data are acquired correctly and to prevent a possible signal saturation.

T: 37.4°C ; H: 2%	Heaterpage			IVpag e				Signalpage			
Create Sensor		Heater Characterization									
Name	H.DAC:			1825				SET			
SnO2		Voltag	Current: 0.22				Rh: 22.59				
Code:		_		CALCULATE							
	R0: 9.3	24	-					_			
Notes: IV-400°	200°C	250°C	300°C	350°C	400°C	450°C	500°C	550°C	600°C	650°C	
	16.16	17.81	19.43	21.02	22.57	24.1	25 59	27.05	28.48	29.88	
	ARRHENIUS PLOT										
Create Sensor	From 7	60 800		0.0							
Name:	N.steps 1	00									
SnO2	St.Time 6	0		72			-	-			
Code:	Rh \	/s R	1	Vs(
				-0							
Notes: IV - 400°C				2.4	_		-	-			
	SVD	Rm R	mA	000	1	_	2 t(s	s) 2	_	-	
	Start		R	feed	25k	SET	-	0		Cancel	

Figure 6. Screenshot of the pages handling the sensor heating and the Arrhenius plot.



Figure 7. Screenshot of the pages handling the I–V and the sensor output.

The "IVpage" (Figure 7 upper panel) is composed of three main frames: the first one is for sensor film management, a second one is to preview the sensor *SIGNAL* vs. time (the same plot is shown in a larger frame in the "Signalpage", Figure 7 lower panel, where the plot can be stopped and erased by the "STOP" and "RESET" buttons), and a third one includes a list box stacking the acquired data. The list box columns include the film voltage "V (V)", the film current "I (microA)", the feedback resistor "Rf" (entered in Ω), and the sensor chamber temperature "T (°C)" and humidity "H (%)". The data stored in the list box can be saved in a .txt file by clicking the "Save Data" button. "Remove" and "Remove All" buttons have the same function of "Rm" and "RmA". The sensor film management frame includes an entry ("DAC") to set the DAC2 value corresponding to the film voltage, a further "Rfeed" entry (in Ω , that is coupled to the one in the "Arrhenius plot" page), the real time-updated film voltage ("V"), current ("I"), and resistance ("R"). This frame also includes the "ACQUIRE I–V" and "AUTO ACQUISITION" buttons to acquire the data manually (each button press acquires a single I–V curve data) or automatically (through a dedicated software algorithm), respectively.

In brief, the managing software (comprising more than 1600 program codes, Figure 8) is organized with a "Main Thread", flanked by a further thread ("Thread 1") that is aimed to continuously read the data from the electronics and to update the software variable values (it is the sole thread that has access to the electronic board in order to avoid any race condition). A second thread ("Thread 2") is used to plot and save the sensor voltage (acquired from "Thread 1") in real time to execute the Arrhenius plot (Arrhenius Plot Page) or to simply execute the voltage plotting vs. time ("SignalPage"). Finally, a third thread ("Thread 3") performs the voltage changes to the sensor film, plots the I–V points, and stores the I–V data in a .txt file.



Figure 8. Block scheme of the main program handling the I–V plot, the Arrhenius plot, and the sensor output. Blue and red squared blocks represent the Graphical User Interface (written by exploiting the Tkinter module of Python) pages and the software threads, respectively.

3. Results and Discussion

An important sensor characterization is provided by the Arrhenius plot that describes how the sensor conductance (G) changes with the sensor heating temperature (T) in the presence of a defined target gas (Figure 9). In this plot, it is possible to distinguish three regions: the first one between 2.2 and 1.8 ($1000/^{\circ}$ K), the second one between 1.1 and 1.4, and the last one between 1.4 and 1.0.



Figure 9. Arrhenius plot of $SnO_2 + 1\%Au$ sensor in dry air. Upper panel: plot of the conductance (G, in a logarithmic scale) versus the reciprocal of the absolute temperature (T) at three different film voltages; the red circles indicate the temperatures (200, 350, and 550 °C) at which the I–Vs were taken (see below); lower panel: the average Arrhenius plot for the three voltages (black line) and the +/- standard errors (gray lines).

In the first region, it is reasonable to assume that the almost linear conductance increases in the logarithmic scale with T (i.e., G varies exponentially on 1/T with negative exponent), depending on the progressive increase of the number of conduction band electrons excited by thermionic effect. Indeed, the atomic oxygen (O) is more reactive in respect to the molecular one (O_2) and prevails at those low temperatures O_2 , leading to a small number of electrons seized from the film conduction band. In the second region, the conductance is roughly constant despite the temperature increase, since the further thermionic growth of the number of conduction band electrons is compensated by an almost equal number of electrons captured by the atomic oxygen (whose number is increased in respect to the first region because of the increased temperature) ionosorbed on the sensor surface. In the third region, the oxygen (mainly in its atomic form), seizes a number of conduction band electrons at a rate smaller than the one produced by thermal excitation. The Arrhenius plot was negligibly affected by the change of the applied film voltage (from 2.7 to 8.4 V, Figure 9, upper panel). To test the reproducibility of these measurements, the Arrhenius plot at each voltage was repeated three times, and the nine resulting curves were averaged and plotted with their standard errors (Figure 9, lower panel). In conclusion, the electrical field applied to the sensor film nanograins does not influence the trend with which the rate of the electrons drift along the film changes with the temperature [34,38], i.e., the applied voltage does not affect the film conductance at an arbitrary WT.

In order to investigate the influence of the Au addition to the sensor paste, the Arrhenius plots at the various film voltages were also performed on the pure SnO_2 material (Figure 10, red lines).



Figure 10. Comparison between the average Arrhenius plots of SnO_2 and $SnO_2 + 1\%Au$ in dry air. The Arrhenius plot for the three voltages in Figure 9 (upper panel) is repeated three times. Each are averaged together (nine total readouts for each point) for SnO_2 (dark red thick line) and $SnO_2 + 1\%Au$ (black thick line); for clarity, the +/- standard errors are represented by the two red and gray lines above and below the average ones, respectively.

The Au addition increased the film conductance by about an order of magnitude in the range of 320–400 °C, and slightly widened the plateau phase (i.e., where the conductance is almost constant with the temperature, making the sensor more stable in a wider range around the WT of this material). To further characterize the electrical performance of a chemoresistive sensor film, it is crucial to study the I-V behavior of its material. On this basis, the current flowing through the sensor film $(SnO_2 + 1\%Au)$ was measured for voltages ranging from 0 to 10.4 V in ten steps of 1.04 V (Figure 11) at three different key temperatures (200, 350, and 550 $^{\circ}$ C) in ascending and descending directions. These temperatures were carefully selected in order to measure the IVs at the center of the three Arrhenius plot representative regions (Figure 9). This particular sensor film exhibited a negligible hysteresis and a slight non-linearity for voltages above 9 V, although it was challenged with voltages exceeding the ones usually employed. In any case, this slight nonlinearity is not present in the averaged IVs (Figure 12), making this sensor kind particularly suitable for applications where the film I–V linearity is strongly required. Since the typical MOX sensors WT ranges between 300 and 600 °C, the I–Vs performed at 200 °C are reported only for completeness and not for practical reasons.







Figure 12. Average I–Vs of SnO₂ + 1%Au sensor at 350 °C. I–V curves recorded for voltages ranging from 0 to 10.4 V in 1.04 V steps at the WT of 350 °C; each data point of the top panel is the average of three current measurements to voltage steps in ascending and descending directions that lasted 1, 10, and 30 min, each one repeated three times; the bottom panel groups all the data points (n = 171) related to the same film voltage (no matter if it was taken at ascending or descending voltages); the data were linearly fitted by the equation: $I = a \cdot V + b$, where $a = 9.95 \mu A/V$ and $b = 6.02 \mu A$.

In order to estimate how long it took for the sensor film to attain a steady state current once the voltage step is applied (i.e., whether there were time-dependent changes in film resistance), the latter lasted 1, 10, and 30 min, and the corresponding I–Vs were plotted

by measuring the current intensity just at the end of each step (Figure 11). The relative high voltage steps and their duration aimed to verify whether the strong and long lasting electric fields applied to the sensor film could produce structural changes that could modify the sensor performances (here in dry air). In the case of $SnO_2 + 1\%Au$, the ascending and descending I–V curves were almost linear and superimposed at all the tested temperatures and the step durations considered. Moreover, the almost overlapping I–Vs at 1, 10, and 30 min indicated that the applied voltage did not cause long-lasting changes in the film structure. In order to check the reproducibility of the above measurements, they were repeated three times for each step duration (1, 10 and 30 min) at the three key WTs (200, 350, and 550 °C). For clarity, in Figure 12 (top panel) the average I–Vs and the related standard errors are shown at 350 °C only, which is the usual WT for this sensor type. The resulting curve shows a good linearity and a negligible hysteresis. Furthermore, the standard error was always <4%, and once grouping together all the data point at the same voltage, the standard error fell down to <3% and the I–Vs were well fitted by a straight line (Figure 12, bottom panel).

The influence on the I–Vs waveform of the Au addition to the sensor paste was evaluated by repeating all the I–V protocols of Figure 12 on the pure SnO_2 material (Figure 13).



Figure 13. Average I–Vs of SnO₂ sensor at 350 °C. I–V curves were recorded for the same voltage range, step, and durations of Figure 12; the bottom panel groups all the data points (n = 171) as in Figure 12; the data are linearly fitted by the equation: $I = a \cdot V + b$, where $a = 1.84 \mu$ A/V and $b = 0.01 \mu$ A.

The average I–Vs and the related standard errors for SnO_2 material at 350 °C only, which is the usual WT for this sensor type, shows again a good linearity and a negligible hysteresis; the standard error was always <4% and it fell down to <3% once grouping together all the data points at the same voltage (Figure 13, bottom panel). The resulting conductance at 350 °C resulted about one order of magnitude smaller than the $SnO_2 + 1$ %Au sensor heated at the same temperature, which is consistent with the Arrhenius plot outcomes (Figure 10).

Similar results were obtained at 200 and 550 °C for both $SnO_2 + 1\%Au$ and pure SnO_2 materials; all the above measurements could be repeated in the presence of various gases of interest or a mixture of them by using the set-up described in Section 2.2.

4. Conclusions

The user friendly device introduced here, developed to handle most of the currently available MOX sensors, was able to rapidly test and calibrate two representative SnO₂-type sensors in dry air. The SnO_2 and $SnO_2 + 1\%$ Au sensors, based on the widely used tin-oxide material, were chosen to test and validate the device. The latter precisely calculated and set the desired sensor WT and it acquired and plotted the sensor Arrhenius plots and I–V relationships on a touch screen. The Arrhenius plots were performed automatically for each one of the two sensors at different film voltages (ranging from 2.7 to 8.4 V) in dry air, demonstrating the independency between them and the film-applied voltage, resulting as well superimposable. This indicates that the film voltage does not affect the chemical reactions occurring on the sensor surface. The I-Vs in dry air of the two sensors were performed at three key WTs (200, 350, and 550 °C) that were identified in the center of the three Arrhenius plots representative regions. In particular, the I–Vs of these sensors were almost linear with a negligible hysteresis effect at all three selected temperatures and for long-lasting voltage applications. Based on the I–Vs and the Arrhenius plots, the Au addition significantly increased the SnO₂ conductance and enlarged the Arrhenius plot plateau region without any alteration of the I–Vs linearity. The lack of time-dependent changes of sensor film resistance following a voltage change also allows control over the sensor signal output amplification by adjusting the film applied voltage. Finally, this study showcases the suitability of SnO₂-based sensors when employed in applications where the film conductance linearity is required at different WTs, independently from the duration of the applied voltage.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, M.A. and C.M; methodology, M.A., S.G. and D.V; software, M.A.; validation, M.A. and G.R.; formal analysis, M.A. and D.V; investigation, M.A., S.G. and C.M; resources, G.R. and D.V.; data curation, M.A.; writing—original draft preparation, G.R. and G.Z.; writing—review and editing, G.R., M.A. and G.Z.; visualization, M.A. and G.R.; supervision, C.M.; project administration, M.A.; funding acquisition, C.M. and G.R. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not Applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are available under request.

Acknowledgments: The present research has been supported and partially funded by the Italian Ministero dell' Università e della Ricerca (PRIN 2017KC8WMB) and many thanks to Andrea Margutti for the technical support.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- Spagnoli, E.; Gaiardo, A.; Fabbri, B.; Valt, M.; Krik, S.; Ardit, M.; Cruciani, G.; Della Ciana, M.; Vanzetti, L.; Vola, G.; et al. Design of a Metal-Oxide Solid Solution for Sub-Ppm H2 Detection. ACS Sens. 2022, 7, 573–583. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 2. Elmi, I.; Zampolli, S.; Cozzani, E.; Mancarella, F.; Cardinali, G.C. Development of Ultra-Low-Power Consumption MOX Sensors
- with Ppb-Level VOC Detection Capabilities for Emerging Applications. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2008, 135, 342–351. [CrossRef]
 Gherardi, S.; Zonta, G.; Astolfi, M.; Malagù, C. Humidity Effects on SnO₂ and (SnTiNb)O₂ Sensors Response to CO and
- Two-Dimensional Calibration Treatment. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 2021, 265, 115013. [CrossRef]
- 4. Dey, A. Semiconductor Metal Oxide Gas Sensors: A Review. Mater. Sci. Eng. B 2018, 229, 206–217. [CrossRef]
- Astolfi, M.; Rispoli, G.; Gherardi, S.; Zonta, G.; Malagù, C. Reproducibility and Repeatability Tests on (SnTiNb)O₂ Sensors in Detecting Ppm-Concentrations of CO and Up to 40% of Humidity: A Statistical Approach. *Sensors* 2023, 23, 1983. [CrossRef] [PubMed]

- Getino, J.; Arés, L.; Robla, J.I.; Horrillo, C.; Sayago, I.; Fernández, M.J.; Rodrigo, J.; Gutiérrez, J. Environmental Applications of Gas Sensor Arrays: Combustion Atmospheres and Contaminated Soils. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 1999, 59, 249–254. [CrossRef]
- Martinelli, G.; Carotta, M.C.; Ferroni, M.; Sadaoka, Y.; Traversa, E. Screen-Printed Perovskite-Type Thick Films as Gas Sensors for Environmental Monitoring. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 1999, 55, 99–110. [CrossRef]
- Wetchakun, K.; Samerjai, T.; Tamaekong, N.; Liewhiran, C.; Siriwong, C.; Kruefu, V.; Wisitsoraat, A.; Tuantranont, A.; Phanichphant, S. Semiconducting Metal Oxides as Sensors for Environmentally Hazardous Gases. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2011, 160, 580–591. [CrossRef]
- 9. Deininger, D.J. Metal Oxide Sensors Applied to Industrial and Consumer Applications: Examples and Requirements for Successful Implementation. *Proceedings* **2019**, *14*, 31. [CrossRef]
- Abbatangelo, M.; Carmona, E.N.; Sberveglieri, V.; Comini, E.; Sberveglieri, G. Overview of Iot Mox Chemical Sensors Arrays for Agri-Food Applications. In Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Symposium on Olfaction and Electronic Nose (ISOEN), Fukuoka, Japan, 26–29 May 2019; pp. 1–3.
- 11. Bonaccorsi, L.; Donato, A.; Fotia, A.; Frontera, P.; Gnisci, A. Competitive Detection of Volatile Compounds from Food Degradation by a Zinc Oxide Sensor. *Appl. Sci.* 2022, *12*, 2261. [CrossRef]
- Falasconi, M.; Concina, I.; Gobbi, E.; Sberveglieri, V.; Pulvirenti, A.; Sberveglieri, G. Electronic Nose for Microbiological Quality Control of Food Products. Int. J. Electrochem. 2012, 2012, e715763. [CrossRef]
- Ponzoni, A.; Comini, E.; Concina, I.; Ferroni, M.; Falasconi, M.; Gobbi, E.; Sberveglieri, V.; Sberveglieri, G. Nanostructured Metal Oxide Gas Sensors, a Survey of Applications Carried out at SENSOR Lab, Brescia (Italy) in the Security and Food Quality Fields. Sensors 2012, 12, 17023–17045. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 14. John, A.T.; Murugappan, K.; Nisbet, D.R.; Tricoli, A. An Outlook of Recent Advances in Chemiresistive Sensor-Based Electronic Nose Systems for Food Quality and Environmental Monitoring. *Sensors* **2021**, *21*, 2271. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 15. Astolfi, M.; Rispoli, G.; Benedusi, M.; Zonta, G.; Landini, N.; Valacchi, G.; Malagù, C. Chemoresistive Sensors for Cellular Type Discrimination Based on Their Exhalations. *Nanomaterials* **2022**, *12*, 1111. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Vasiliev, A.A.; Varfolomeev, A.E.; Volkov, I.A.; Simonenko, N.P.; Arsenov, P.V.; Vlasov, I.S.; Ivanov, V.V.; Pislyakov, A.V.; Lagutin, A.S.; Jahatspanian, I.E.; et al. Reducing Humidity Response of Gas Sensors for Medical Applications: Use of Spark Discharge Synthesis of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles. *Sensors* 2018, 18, 2600. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 17. Zonta, G.; Anania, G.; Astolfi, M.; Feo, C.; Gaiardo, A.; Gherardi, S.; Giberti, A.; Guidi, V.; Landini, N.; Palmonari, C.; et al. Chemoresistive Sensors for Colorectal Cancer Preventive Screening through Fecal Odor: Double-Blind Approach. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2019**, *301*, 127062. [CrossRef]
- Astolfi, M.; Rispoli, G.; Anania, G.; Nevoso, V.; Artioli, E.; Landini, N.; Benedusi, M.; Melloni, E.; Secchiero, P.; Tisato, V.; et al. Colorectal Cancer Study with Nanostructured Sensors: Tumor Marker Screening of Patient Biopsies. *Nanomaterials* 2020, 10, 606. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Landini, N.; Anania, G.; Astolfi, M.; Fabbri, B.; Guidi, V.; Rispoli, G.; Valt, M.; Zonta, G.; Malagù, C. Nanostructured Chemoresistive Sensors for Oncological Screening and Tumor Markers Tracking: Single Sensor Approach Applications on Human Blood and Cell Samples. *Sensors* 2020, 20, 1411. [CrossRef]
- 20. Shin, W. Medical Applications of Breath Hydrogen Measurements. Anal. Bioanal. Chem. 2014, 406, 3931–3939. [CrossRef]
- 21. Astolfi, M.; Rispoli, G.; Anania, G.; Artioli, E.; Nevoso, V.; Zonta, G.; Malagù, C. Tin, Titanium, Tantalum, Vanadium and Niobium Oxide Based Sensors to Detect Colorectal Cancer Exhalations in Blood Samples. *Molecules* **2021**, *26*, 466. [CrossRef]
- 22. Nikolic, M.V.; Milovanovic, V.; Vasiljevic, Z.Z.; Stamenkovic, Z. Semiconductor Gas Sensors: Materials, Technology, Design, and Application. *Sensors* 2020, 20, 6694. [CrossRef]
- 23. Haidry, A.A.; Kind, N.; Saruhan, B. Investigating the Influence of Al-Doping and Background Humidity on NO₂ Sensing Characteristics of Magnetron-Sputtered SnO₂ Sensors. *J. Sens. Syst.* **2015**, *4*, 271–280. [CrossRef]
- Rydosz, A.; Brudnik, A.; Staszek, K. Metal Oxide Thin Films Prepared by Magnetron Sputtering Technology for Volatile Organic Compound Detection in the Microwave Frequency Range. *Materials* 2019, 12, 877. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Shujah, T.; Ikram, M.; Butt, A.R.; Shahzad, M.K.; Rashid, K.; Zafar, Q.; Ali, S. H₂S Gas Sensor Based on WO₃ Nanostructures Synthesized via Aerosol Assisted Chemical Vapor Deposition Technique. *Nanosci. Nanotechnol. Lett.* 2019, 11, 1247–1256. [CrossRef]
- Bârsan, N.; Weimar, U. Understanding the Fundamental Principles of Metal Oxide Based Gas Sensors; the Example of CO Sensing with SnO₂ Sensors in the Presence of Humidity. *J. Phys. Condens. Matter* 2003, 15, R813. [CrossRef]
- Navas, D.; Fuentes, S.; Castro-Alvarez, A.; Chavez-Angel, E. Review on Sol-Gel Synthesis of Perovskite and Oxide Nanomaterials. Gels 2021, 7, 275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 28. Parashar, M.; Shukla, V.; Singh, R. Metal Oxides Nanoparticles via Sol–Gel Method: A Review on Synthesis, Characterization and Applications. *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. Electron.* **2020**, *31*, 3729–3749. [CrossRef]
- 29. Zhou, C.; Yao, J.; Zhan, L.; Yuan, C.; Kudryavtsev, A.; Saifutdinov, A.; Wang, Y.; Yu, Z.; Zhou, Z. Using Collisional Electron Spectroscopy to Detect Gas Impurities in an Open Environment: CH4-Containing Mixtures. *Molecules* 2022, 27, 6066. [CrossRef]
- Zhou, C.; Yao, J.; Saifutdinov, A.I.; Kudryavtsev, A.A.; Yuan, C.; Ma, G.; Dou, Z.; Cao, J.; Ma, M.; Zhou, Z. Determination of Organic Impurities by Plasma Electron Spectroscopy in Nonlocal Plasma at Intermediate and High Pressures. *Plasma Sources Sci. Technol.* 2022, 31, 107001. [CrossRef]

- Kogan, V.T.; Lebedev, D.S.; Pavlov, A.K.; Chichagov, Y.V.; Antonov, A.S. A Portable Mass Spectrometer for Direct Monitoring of Gases and Volatile Compounds in Air and Water Samples. *Instrum. Exp. Tech.* 2011, 54, 390–396. [CrossRef]
- 32. Hou, C.; Li, J.; Huo, D.; Luo, X.; Dong, J.; Yang, M.; Shi, X. A Portable Embedded Toxic Gas Detection Device Based on a Cross-Responsive Sensor Array. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* **2012**, *161*, 244–250. [CrossRef]
- Astolfi, M.; Rispoli, G.; Anania, G.; Zonta, G.; Malagù, C. Chemoresistive Nanosensors Employed to Detect Blood Tumor Markers in Patients Affected by Colorectal Cancer in a One-Year Follow Up. *Cancers* 2023, 15, 1797. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Schipani, F.; Aldao, C.M.; Ponce, M.A. Schottky Barriers Measurements through Arrhenius Plots in Gas Sensors Based on Semiconductor Films. *AIP Adv.* 2012, 2, 032138. [CrossRef]
- Yamada, Y.; Seno, Y.; Masuoka, Y.; Nakamura, T.; Yamashita, K. NO2 Sensing Characteristics of Nb Doped TiO₂ Thin Films and Their Electronic Properties. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2000, *66*, 164–166. [CrossRef]
- 36. Wang, C.; Yin, L.; Zhang, L.; Xiang, D.; Gao, R. Metal Oxide Gas Sensors: Sensitivity and Influencing Factors. *Sensors* **2010**, *10*, 2088–2106. [CrossRef]
- Gaiardo, A.; Krik, S.; Valt, M.; Fabbri, B.; Tonezzer, M.; Feng, Z.; Guidi, V.; Bellutti, P. Development of a Sensor Array Based on Pt, Pd, Ag and Au Nanocluster Decorated SnO2 for Precision Agriculture. *Electrochem. Soc. Meet. Abstr.* 2021, MA2021-01, 1550. [CrossRef]
- Merdrignac-Conanec, O.; Moseley, P.T. Gas Sensing Properties of the Mixed Molybdenum Tungsten Oxide, W_{0.9}Mo_{0.1}O₃. J. Mater. Chem. 2002, 12, 1779–1781. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.