Phenotypic heterogeneity of COVID-19 pneumonia: clinical and pathophysiological relevance of the vascular phenotype
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Recent data support the existence of a distinctive ‘vascular’ phenotype with the involvement of both pulmonary parenchyma and its circulation in COVID-19 pneumonia. Its prompt identification is important for the accurate management of COVID-19 patients. The aim is to analyse the pro and contra of the different modalities to identify the ‘vascular’ phenotype. Chest computed tomography scan and angiogram may quantify both parenchyma and vascular damage, but the presence of thrombosis of pulmonary microcirculation may be missed. Increased D-dimer concentration confirms a thrombotic state, but it cannot localize the thrombus. An elevation of troponin concentration non-specifically reflects cardiac injury. Echocardiogram and electrocardiogram provide specific signs of right ventricular pressure overload. This is particularly relevant for the ‘vascular’ phenotype, which does not necessarily represent the result of thrombo-embolic venous complications, but more frequently, it is the result of pulmonary microcirculation thrombosis in situ and needs immediate therapeutic action.

Condensed abstract Despite diagnosis of the ‘vascular’ phenotype of COVID-19 pneumonia may be subtle, the evidence indicates a reasonable possibility of identifying it already in the initial stage of the infection. Chest computed tomography scan and angiogram, increased D-dimer concentration, and elevation of troponin concentration may be not sufficient to identify ‘vascular’ phenotype. Echocardiogram and electrocardiogram provide specific signs of right ventricular pressure overload. This is particularly relevant for the ‘vascular’ phenotype, which does not necessarily represent the result of thrombo-embolic venous complications, but more frequently, it is the result of pulmonary microcirculation thrombosis in situ and needs immediate therapeutic action.
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Towards the concept of vascular phenotype in COVID-19 pneumonia

Several studies have unveiled a great heterogeneity of COVID-19 pneumonia, and from clinical and pathophysiological point of view, the spectrum of the pulmonary involvement ranges from mild flu-like symptoms to a devastating rapid progression of the respiratory distress.1 Recent data support the existence of a distinctive ‘vascular’ phenotype with the involvement of both pulmonary parenchyma and its circulation in COVID-19 pneumonia.2 Awareness of such ‘vascular’ phenotype and its prompt identification is important for the comprehensive and accurate management of COVID-19 patients. There are several clinical and instrumental variables that may raise the alert and are the grounds for diagnosis of the ‘vascular’ phenotype.

The aim is to analyse the pro and contra of the different modalities to identify the ‘vascular’ phenotype of COVID-19 pneumonia with emphasis on the contribution of the cardiological tools.
Pathological observations

The first autopic studies reported the presence of microangiopathic, haemorrhagic, and thrombotic phenomena in the lungs of critically ill COVID-19 patients, in addition to alveolar/interstitial diffuse oedema, consistent with acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS). Ackermann et al.3 found that COVID-19 patients and those with influenza A H1N1 share the morphological pattern of diffuse alveolar damage and infiltrating perivascular lymphocytes. More precisely, they presented three angiocentric features: (i) severe endothelial injury with intracellular presence of SARS-CoV-2 virus, (ii) widespread vascular thrombosis with microangiopathy and occlusion of alveolar capillaries, and (iii) new vessel growth through a mechanism of intussusceptive angiogenesis. These observations are, in part, shared with those reported by Wichmann et al. of high incidence of thrombo-embolic events (about one-third of the patients), suggesting an important role of COVID-19-induced coagulopathy.4 In another series of autopsies,5 high d-dimer levels were associated with the presence of microvascular thrombosis (retiform purpura or livedo racemose) and SARS-CoV-2 glycoprotein, both in the lungs and in the skin. Furthermore, the detection of macrovascular and microvascular thrombosis (on arterial and venous sides) both in ‘red’ form, made up of erythrocytes, leucocytes, and fibrin, and in ‘white’ form, constituted by platelets and fibrin, were common findings amongst several reports.6 In a selected COVID-19 population, Basso et al.7 found myocardial interstitial macrophage infiltration in 84% of myocardium specimen, but only in three cases there was a true multifocal lymphocytic myocarditis. They also described signs of right ventricular (RV) strain probably related to pulmonary circulation involvement, in 19% of autopsies. Finally, Bussani et al. reported the systematic analysis of 41 consecutive autopsies of COVID-19 patients showing not only the extensive alveolar damage in 100% of the patients but also the thrombosis of pulmonary microcirculation and macrocirculation in 71% of the patients. These authors described a minor involvement of other organs including the heart.8 Taken together, all these observations suggest an involvement of the lung circulation in COVID-19 pneumonia.

Imaging of the lung

Chest computed tomography scan

The most common presentation of chest computed tomography (CT) pattern in COVID-19 is ground glass opacities described as bilateral, peripheral, posterior, diffuse, and in lower zone of the lung. Ground glass opacities can evolve in a crazy paving pattern when associated with thickened interlobular septa and intralobular lines resembling irregularly shaped paving stones.9,10

Computed tomography scan images correlate with lung ultrasound findings characterized by bilateral, patchy distribution of areas with multiple separated or coalescent B-lines separated by ‘spared’ areas and the presence of sub-pleural and lobar consolidation.11 Additionally, peripheral and pulmonary consolidations are correlated with fibroproliferative diffuse alveolar damage.12

Computed tomography angiogram

Several retrospective studies showed an association between COVID-19 pneumonia and higher prevalence segmental and/or sub-segmental pulmonary embolism diagnosed with chest CT angiogram as well as thrombi in the peripheral venous system.13–16 Other studies describe the pulmonary thrombosis in situ as a complex interplay between endothelial dysfunction, vascular inflammation, platelet activation, and cytokine hyperstimulation related to the infection.14 This phenomenon, firstly observed by Zapol et al. already 50 years ago in ARDS patients,17 could explain the high prevalence of segmental, sub-segmental, and small vessels thrombosis in the lungs of COVID-19 patients.16,18 Thus, CT pulmonary angiogram can be useful to diagnosis and prognosis of pulmonary embolism in patient with COVID-19 pneumonia, however does not explore damages in the microcirculation.18

Respiratory phenotypes

Respiratory phenotypes of patients with COVID-19-related ARDS may present different features, depending on the stage of the disease.Gattinoni et al. hypothesized two clinical presentations: the low (L) type and the high (H) type.19,20 The L type is characterized by the absence of subjective distress: low elastance (compliance >50 mL/cmH2O), low ventilation/perfusion ratio (V/Q), low lung weight (only ground glass is present on CT scan), and low lung recruitability (non-aerated tissue is rare). Instead, the H type shares the clinical and diagnostic feature of classic ARDS: high elastance (i.e. low compliance), high intra-pulmonary shunt, high lung weight, and potentially, high recruitability. However, the two phenotypes represent different stages of the disease, which may overlap with evolution from L to H type. Recently, Grasselli et al. demonstrated that the majority of patients admitted to intensive care unit had lung morphology and respiratory mechanics matching those with ‘classical’ ARDS according to the Berlin definition.21 Additionally, a subgroup (30%) with high mortality was characterized by low static compliance of the respiratory system, higher d-dimer, and increased dead space (DS).21 In these patients, there was no relationship between severity of hypoxaemia and static
compliance, confirming the role of DS and of vascular pathology in the reduction of PaO₂/FiO₂. The cause for the increase in DS may be due to the presence of dilated, branching, and/or tortuous vessels in peripheral lung, to perfusion defects due to pulmonary thrombotic angiopathy, or to peripheral embolism. Patients with severe lung parenchymal disease (identified by low compliance) and increased D-dimer have a mortality rate twice that of patients who have just one of the two. The reasons for the high prevalence of microangiopathy and peripheral embolism in some, but not in all the patients are unknown.

**Laboratory tests**

Blood coagulation aspects have received particular attention in most of the studies with the proposition of several markers. Between these, the prognostic role of the increased levels of D-dimer and troponin has immediately emerged.

**D-Dimer**

The activation of cytokines has also a role in coagulation pathways with the increase of vascular hyperpermeability, capillary damage, and activation of thrombin resulting in micro-thrombosis, CId, and multiple organ failure. D-Dimer is an indicator of hypercoagulable state, and an increase of its concentration is one of the most common laboratory findings in COVID-19 patient requiring hospitalization, and it is associated to poor prognosis. Different observations suggest that D-dimer on admission >2.0 μg/mL is a marker of poor prognosis at an early stage. High D-dimer concentration is common in mechanically ventilated patients characterized by low static compliance of the lung and a poor short-term prognosis. The increase of D-dimer in critical COVID-19 patient has multiple explanations: a pro-inflammatory response, endothelial damage, and dysfunction, sepsis-induced hypercoagulability, as well as general condition including age and critically ill conditions. However, despite D-dimer expressing a clear procoagulant state, its increase is highly non-specific and, therefore, cannot discriminate between thrombosis occurring inside or outside the lung.

**Troponin**

Relationship between acute infection and acute myocardial injury is well known. In a larger single-sample study using cardiac troponin I, Shi et al. demonstrated that 19.7% (82/416) of hospitalized COVID-19 patients had cardiac troponin I >99th percentile. This group was characterized by high prevalence of hypertension, coronary heart disease, heart failure, and co-morbidities. Furthermore, sepsis has a correlation with impaired systolic and diastolic function leading to increase high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (Hs-cTnT) and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide. Elevated Hs-cTnT and N-terminal pro-brain natriuretic peptide have prognostic value in COVID-19 including a higher risk for death and arrhythmias. The setting of COVID-19 confirms the association between myocardial injury and adverse outcomes, using the Hs-cTnT as a robust, continuous prognostic marker to measure the short-term and long-term cardiovascular risk. Elevated levels of troponin do not discriminate between injuries occurring in the left or in the right side of the heart or both. The prognostic role of elevated high-sensitivity troponin was confirmed by Lombardi et al., in a multicentric study enrolling 614 patients with laboratory-confirmed severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 and high-sensitivity plasma troponin levels (either troponin I or troponin T) measured within 24 h from the time of COVID-19 diagnosis. Elevated troponin levels were found in 45.3% of the patients and were associated with a 71% increase in the risk of in-hospital death and with a more than two-fold increase in major complications, including sepsis, acute kidney failure, multiple organ failure, pulmonary embolism, and major bleeding. The group with the presence of both elevated troponin levels and cardiac co-morbidity was associated with the highest mortality rate in comparison with the group with low troponin levels and the group with high troponin levels or the presence of cardiac co-morbidity.

**Inflammatory markers**

Immune dysregulation plays an emerging role in the analysis of myocardial injury defined as troponin elevation. Li et al. showed that in 317 patients with COVID-19, 39 (21.4%) patients experienced myocardial injury, 144 (79.1%) patients were diagnosed with immune dysregulation, of which 139 (76.4%) presented with cellular immune dysregulation, and 48 (26.4%) had humoral immunity dysregulation. The multivariate logistic regression analysis showed that white blood cells count, high-sensitivity C-reactive protein, and procalcitonin were independently associated with myocardial injury in patients with COVID-19. In support of these data, also Barman et al. report how the blood levels of white blood cell, C-reactive protein, procalcitonin, and ferritin were significantly higher in the myocardial injury group.

**Cardiac involvement**

**Echocardiogram**

A myocardial injury can present with various clinical manifestations, up to heart failure and cardiogenic shock. Today, echocardiography is the first line for the assessment of...
ventricular function, and it has a role for identification of ventricular dysfunction in COVID-19 patients. Deng et al. report that 112 hospitalized COVID-19 patients had a mean left ventricular (LV) ejection fraction (EF) of 60 ± 5.6%, and only 5.4% of patients had an EF < 50% with no patients with EF < 40%. The Seattle-based American group founded, in the acute phase of COVID-19, LV dysfunction in a higher percentage (33%) of cases admitted to intensive care unit. There are different scenarios of non-ischaemic LV dysfunction in COVID-19 patients: ventricular stress dysfunction, myocarditis, and cytokine-induced dysfunction. Ventricular stress-induced dysfunction, known as takotsubo syndrome, has been observed in a few cases. The diagnosis was suspected at echocardiography in view of the typical contraction of the LV with systolic apical ballooning and confirmed on coronary angiography with the absence of significant coronary lesions. Clinical cases of suspected myocarditis are also few, including one proven by biopsy, six by severe LV systolic dysfunction and marked reduction in EF, and two by biventricular dysfunction.

Besides the evaluation of LV, echocardiogram studies highlighted the relatively frequent RV enlargement suggesting RV pressure overload. The role of increased RV afterload during ARDS, in terms of acute cor pulmonale, has already widely described. Argulian et al. reviewed the echocardiographs of 105 hospitalized COVID-19 patients, 30% of whom were critically ill at the time of the echocardiographic examination. Right ventricular dilation was observed in 31% of them. Patients with RV dilation often had ventricular hypokinesia and moderate to severe tricuspid insufficiency. In the multivariate analysis, RV dilation was the only variable associated with mortality. These data show that RV dilation is prevalent in COVID-19 patients. An analysis of echocardiographic parameters in over 400 COVID-19 patients with matched controls assessed the prognostic value of LV and RV function. The systolic function of both ventricles was reduced in patients with COVID-19 and associated with an increased risk of mortality. These observations have been confirmed by Li et al. in 120 consecutive patients with COVID-19: RV strain impairment was associated with increased incidence of acute myocardial injury, ARDS, and deep vein thrombosis. Thus, echocardiography, despite all the technical, logistical, and safety limitations in its execution especially in intubated patients, appears to be of importance to identify the dysfunction of both ventricles, left and right, thus contributing to the identification of the ‘vascular’ phenotype in case of prevalence of RV pressure overload.

Figure 1 Summary of test and imaging tools that can unmask ‘vascular’ phenotype. CT, computed tomography; ECG, electrocardiogram.
Standard electrocardiogram

Standard electrocardiogram (ECG) as a screening tool for cardiovascular complications was almost neglected in the initial phase of COVID-19. Thus far, few studies have been published, which indicate that signs of RV pressure overload are present in critically ill COVID-19 patients.46–50 This has shifted the initial attention from the LV to the RV, and it is useful to timely suspect injuries in pulmonary macrocirculation or microcirculation typical of the ‘vascular’ phenotype. The ECG offers several advantages compared with other tools: it can be obtained easily, rapidly, and safely, and it is useful to show acute or chronic cardiac diseases, which, in turn, are linked to negative outcome in these patients. Lanza et al. analysed 324 ECGs of COVID-19 patients admitted to emergency room.46 They found that the 37% of these ECGs had some major abnormalities, confirming previously reported data.47–49 We analysed 431 ECGs of critically ill COVID-19 patients admitted to emergency rooms: 93% of these patients had an abnormal ECG, and more specifically, 30% had signs of RV pressure overload unrelated to differences in values of positive end expiratory pressure.49

Paradigm shifts in COVID-19 pneumonia: clinical and pathophysiological relevance of the vascular phenotype

Despite diagnosis of the ‘vascular’ phenotype of COVID-19 pneumonia may be subtle, the evidence indicates a reasonable possibility of identifying it already in the initial stage of the infection. This is clinically relevant as the vascular component is the result of thrombosis of the lung and may benefit of immediate therapeutic action. (see supplemental Table S1).

Figure 1 summarizes the diagnostic value of each tool. Chest CT scan and chest CT angiogram may quantify both parenchyma and vascular damage. However, chest CT angiogram may be normal even in the presence of thrombosis of pulmonary microcirculation. Increased d-dimer concentration is of additional value to confirm a thrombotic state, but it is non-specific and cannot localize the thrombus. An elevation of troponin concentration may reflect cardiac injury but cannot distinguish whether the injury is on the left or in the right site of the heart; only the last condition reflects an obstruction of the lung circulation. Standard ECG provides specific signs of RV pressure overload already in the early state, at low cost, and without risk for patients and healthcare workers. The ECG may and should be complemented by echocardiography, although in SARS-CoV-2 patients, echocardiography is often of poor quality and technically difficult because of the obliged decubitus and mechanical ventilation.

This is particularly relevant for the ‘vascular’ phenotype, which does not necessarily represent the result of thrombo-embolic venous complications, but more frequently, it is the result of pulmonary microcirculation thrombosis in situ and needs immediate therapeutic action to improve prognosis of the patients.51

Conclusions

COVID-19 pneumonia is not all the same. There is the need to timely identify in a simple manner the specific phenotype of each patient to start a proper treatment and evaluate the prognosis. In the hospital, already at the admission, it is possible to reach a ‘phenotypic’ diagnosis mixing the various instrumental tests. We need to realize that COVID-19 pneumonia is dynamic: the ‘parenchyma’ phenotype may evolve in the ‘vascular’ one. The daily, ECG-based, reconsideration of patient phenotype may be useful to guide the right treatment.
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Endnote

aTake home message

- Diagnosis of the ‘vascular’ phenotype (involvement of both pulmonary parenchyma and its circulation) of COVID-19 pneumonia may be subtle.
- Chest computed tomography scan and angiogram may be not sufficient to identify ‘vascular’ phenotype.
- Increased d-dimer concentration and elevation of troponin levels are non-specific.
- Echocardiogram and electrocardiogram provide specific signs of right ventricular pressure overload unmasking ‘vascular’ phenotype.
- The electrocardiogram should be complemented by echocardiography, although in SARS-CoV-2, patients’ echocardiography is often of poor quality and technically difficult.
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