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ABSTRACT

Acetylcholine muscarinic receptors (mAChRs) contribute to both the facilitation and inhibition of levodopa-induced dyskinesia operated by striatal cholinergic interneurons, although the receptor subtypes involved remain elusive. Cholinergic afferents from the midbrain also innervate the substantia nigra reticulata, although the role of nigral mAChRs in levodopa-induced dyskinesia is unknown. Here, we investigate whether striatal and nigral M1 and/or M4 mAChRs modulate dyskinesia and the underlying striato-nigral GABAergic pathway activation in 6-hydroxydopamine hemileisioned rats. Reverse microdialysis allowed to deliver the mAChR antagonist telenzepine (M1 subtype preferring), PD-102807 and tropicamide (M4 subtype preferring), as well as the selective M4 mAChR positive allosteric modulator VU0152100 in striatum or substantia nigra, while levodopa was administered systemically. Dyskinetic movements were monitored along with nigral GABA (and glutamate) and striatal glutamate dialysate levels, taken as neurochemical correlates of striato-nigral pathway and cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical loop activation. We observed that intrastriatal telenzepine, PD-102807 and tropicamide alleviated dyskinesia and inhibited nigral GABA and striatal glutamate release. This was partially replicated by intrastriatal VU0152100. The M2 subtype preferring antagonist AFDX-116, used to elevate striatal acetylcholine levels, blocked the behavioral and neurochemical effects of PD-102807. Intranigral VU0152100 prevented levodopa-induced dyskinesia and its neurochemical correlates whereas PD-102807 was ineffective. These results suggest that striatal, likely postsynaptic, M1 mAChRs facilitate dyskinesia and striato-nigral pathway activation in vivo. Conversely, striatal M4 mAChRs can both facilitate and inhibit dyskinesia, possibly depending on their localization. Potentiation of striatal and nigral M4 mAChR transmission leads to powerful multilevel inhibition of striato-nigral pathway and attenuation of dyskinesia.

1. Introduction

The contribution of striatal cholinergic interneurons (ChIs) to striatal functions and parkinsonism has long been recognized (Calabresi et al., 2000; Pisani et al., 2007). In the last decade, the role of striatal ChIs in levodopa (L-DOPA) induced dyskinesia (LID) has also emerged (Bordia and Perez, 2019; Moehle and Conn, 2019). Dyskinesia is a major side-effect of L-DOPA pharmacotherapy of Parkinson’s disease (PD), and is clinically characterized by abnormal involuntary movements (AIMs) of dystonic and choreic nature (Bastide et al., 2015; Espay et al., 2018). LID has a complex and partially unknown etiology, but the final neurobiological event is the sensitization of D1 receptor expressing striato-nigral GABAergic medium-sized spiny neurons (MSNs) to L-DOPA (Bastide et al., 2015). Striatal ChIs profusely innervate both striato-pallidal and striato-nigral MSNs and influence their activity directly or indirectly, via modulation of dopamine, serotonin, glutamate (Glu) release from striatal afferents, and GABA release from striatal interneurons. Striatal ChIs play a role in LID although the original findings that ablation or functional inhibition of ChIs attenuate LID (Ding et al., 2011; Won et al., 2014) were complemented by a more
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recent optogenetic study showing that ChIs facilitate LID at low firing rates and attenuating it at higher ones (Bordia et al., 2016). Pharmacological studies showed that both acetylcholine muscarinic receptors (mAChRs) (Bordia et al., 2016; Chambers et al., 2019; Ding et al., 2011; Shen et al., 2015) and acetylcholine nicotinic receptors (nAChRs) (Quik et al., 2015) are involved in LID. However, while it has been convincingly demonstrated that mAChR blockade (with receptor antagonists) or nAChR desensitization (with receptor agonists) prevents LID (Quik et al., 2015), the role of mAChRs is far from clear (Bordia and Perez, 2019). This might be due to the different neuronal distribution and functional roles of mAChR subtypes. In fact, mAChRs are GPCRs grouped in two major families which couple to G_{i/o} (M2 and M4 subtypes) or G_{q/11} (M1, M3 and M5 subtypes), and are unevenly distributed on the different populations of striatal neurons and nerve terminals. In particular, striato-pallidal MSNs predominantly express M1 mAChRs, while striato-nigral MSNs express both M1 and M4 mAChRs (Bernard et al., 1992; Hersch et al., 1994). The M4 subtype is considered the main autoreceptor type at striatal ChIs (Zhang et al., 2020), although also M2 autoreceptors contribute to negative autofeedback (Bonsi et al., 2008). Another major problem in dissecting out the role of endogenous ACh and mAChR subtypes in LID is the poor selectivity of tropicamide for M4 mAChRs. However, the selectivity of tropicamide for M4 mAChRs has been questioned (Croy et al., 2016), calling for a re-evaluation of its disappearance demonstrated by showing that reverse dialysis of the D1 receptor antagonist raclopride, in striatum prevented LID and its neurochemical correlates (Mela et al., 2012).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Animal subjects

Experimental procedures involving the use of animals complied with the ARRIVE guidelines, and EU Directive 2010/63/EU for animal experiments. Male Sprague-Dawley rats (Charles River Lab, Calco, Lecco; Italy) were housed in a standard facility with free access to food (4R2F1 standard diet; Mucedola, Settimo Milanese, Milan, Italy) and water, and kept under regular lighting conditions (12 h dark/light cycle). Animals were housed in groups of two in an 850 cm² polysulfone cage (OptiRat; Animal Care Systems, Centennial, CO, USA) with a Scobis Uno bedding (Mucedola, Settimo Milanese, Milan, Italy) and environmental enrichments. Adequate measures were taken to minimize animal pain and discomfort. Experimental protocols were approved by the Ethical Committee of the University of Ferrara and the Italian Ministry of Health (license 170/2013-B).

2.2. Unilateral 6-OHDA lesion

One hundred thirty-two (132) rats (150 g) were unilaterally injected in the (right) medial forebrain bundle with 8 μg of 6-OHDA hydrobromide (dissolved in 0.02% ascorbate-saline), according to the following stereotoxic coordinates from bregma and the dorsal surface (in mm): antero-posterior (AP) -4.4, medio-lateral (ML) 1.2, dorso-ventral (DV) -7.8, tooth bar at −2.4 mm (Paxinos and Watson, 1986), as previously described (Mela et al., 2012; Morari et al., 1996; Paolone et al., 2015). Animals were pretreated with antibiotics (Synulox™, 50 μl/Kg, i.p.). The wound was sutured and infiltrated with 2% lidocaine solution (Esteve™). Two weeks later, rats were screened by assessing the motor asymmetry score in two different ethological tests (the bar and drag tests) (Pisanò et al., 2020). Rats showing immobility time > 20 s at the contralateral paw in the bar test, and < 3 steps at the contralateral paw (or alternatively a contralateral/ipsilateral paw ratio < 50%) were enrolled in the study (Pisanò et al., 2020).

2.2.1. Microdialysis experiments

Dual probe microdialysis was performed as previously described (Mela et al., 2012; Morari et al., 1996; Paolone et al., 2015). Concentric microdialysis probes were constructed using AN69 semipermeable hollow membranes (65 kDa molecular weight cut-off, 340 μm outer diameter; Gambro Industries, Meyzieu, France). Ninety-six (96) 6-OHDA fully dyskinetic rats (AIs > 100) obtained after the 21-day priming with L-DOPA were addressed to surgery, so treatment groups were relatively homogeneous in terms of dyskinetic behavior (Supplementary Fig. 1). Even if AIM evaluation in the microdialysis setting (i.e. 24–48 h after surgery) revealed a reduction in limb and axial AIM scores when the response to L-DOPA was compared in the same group of rats at the end of the 21-day L-DOPA treatment (Bido et al., 2011), the degree of dyskinesia severity remained relatively similar across treatment groups also after surgery (Supplementary Fig. 1). One microdialysis probe was stereotactically implanted under isoflurane anesthesia in the dopamine (DA) depleted dorsolateral striatum and another in the ipsilateral SNr. Implantation coordinates from bregma and the dorsal surface (in mm) (Paxinos and Watson, 1986), and dialysis membrane lengths were: dorsolateral striatum, AP +1.0, ML ± 3.5, DV -6.0 (3 mm), SNr, AP -5.5, ML ± 2.2, DV -8.3 (1 mm). Twenty-four hours after surgery, probes were perfused with a modified Ringer solution (CaCl2 1.2 mM; KCl 2.7 mM; NaCl 148 mM; MgCl2 0.85 mM) at a 3 μl/min flow rate. Sample collection (every 20 min) started after 6 h rinsing. At least four baseline samples were collected, then treatments were administered in a randomized fashion (see Experimental design). At the end of experiment, animals were sacrificed by an overdose of isoflurane, and the correct placement of the probes was verified histologically. Severe (> 90%) DA depletion was randomly confirmed by post-mortem Western analysis of tyrosine hydroxylase (TH) levels.
(optical density of TH band normalized over α-tubulin: 0.22 ± 0.04 vs 3.13 ± 0.39 lesioned vs unlesioned striatum, respectively, t = 7.37 df = 19, Student’s t-test, two-tailed for paired data; n = 20 rats; Supplementary Fig. 2).

2.3. LID induction and AIM ratings

6-OHDA hemilesioned rats received 6 mg/Kg L-DOPA (plus 12 mg/Kg benzerazide, s.c.), once a day for 21 days, as originally described by MA Cenci and collaborators (Cenci et al., 1998; Cenci and Lundblad, 2007) and also reported by our group (Marti et al., 2012; Mela et al., 2012; Paolone et al., 2015). Rats were observed individually for 1 min every 20 min during the 3 h that followed an L-DOPA injection. Dyskinetic movements were classified into four subtypes based on their topographic distribution: (i) axial AIMs, i.e. twisted posture or choreiform twisting of the neck and upper body toward the side contralateral to the lesion; (ii) forelimb AIMs, i.e. jerky or dystonic movements of the contralateral forelimb and/or purposeless grasping movements of the contralateral paw; (iii) orolingual AIMs, i.e. orofacial muscle twitching, empty masticatory movements and contralateral tongue protrusion. Each AIM subtype was rated from 0 to 4 on frequency and amplitude scales (Cenci and Lundblad, 2007). Dyskinesia score was calculated (in the induction phase and during the microdialysis sessions) as the product of frequency x amplitude scores (Cenci and Lundblad, 2007), and presented as cumulative axial, limb and orolingual (ALO) AIM score (values given in text) or separate score for each AIM subtype (figure panels A). The theoretical maximal total ALO AIM score for each animal was 432 during the induction phase (axial, limb and orolingual AIMS scored on a 0–4 intensity x amplitude scale, maximum score of 48 for each evaluation session, nine 20-min sessions), and 288 during microdialysis (six 20-min evaluation sessions). In addition to ALO AIMS, a fourth subtype of dyskinetic movements, known as locomotive AIMS, i.e. increased locomotion with contralateral side bias, was monitored and presented in figure panels A to improve the behavioral analysis.

2.4. Endogenous Glu and GABA analysis

Glu and GABA in the dialysate were measured by HPLC coupled with fluorometric detection as previously described (Mela et al., 2012; Paolone et al., 2015). Thirty microliters of o-phthaldialdehyde/mercaptoethanol reaction mixture were added to 30 μl aliquots of sample, and 50 μl of the mixture were automatically injected (Triathlon autosampler; Spark Holland, Emmen, Netherlands) onto a 5-C18 Hypersil ODS analytical column (3 mm inner diameter, 10 cm length; Thermo-Fisher, USA) perfused at a flow rate of 0.48 ml/min (Jasco PU-2089 Plus quaternary pump; Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) with a mobile phase containing 0.1 M sodium acetate, 10% methanol and 2.2% tetrahydrofuran (pH 6.5). Glu and GABA were detected by means of a fluorescence spectrophotometer FP-2020 plus (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan) with the excitation and the emission wavelengths set at 370 and 450 nm respectively. Under these conditions, the limits of detection for Glu and GABA were ~ 1 nM and 0.5 nM, and their retention times were ~ 3.5 min and ~ 18.0 min, respectively. Chromatogram analysis was made by a blinded experimenter using a dedicated ChromNav software (Jasco, Tokyo, Japan).

2.5. TH analysis

Rats were sacrificed by an overdose of isoflurane. Striata were solubilized and homogenized in lysis buffer (RIPA buffer, protease and phosphatase inhibitor cocktail) and centrifuged at 18,000 xg for 15 min at 4 °C (Pisanò et al., 2020). Supernatants were collected and total protein levels were quantified using the bicinchoninic acid protein assay kit (Thermo Scientific). Thirty micrograms of protein per sample were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred onto polyvinylidifluoride membrane and incubated overnight (4 °C) with the rabbit anti-TH primary antibody (Merck Millipore, AB152, 1:1000). Membranes were then washed and incubated with horseradish peroxidase-linked secondary antibody (Merck Millipore, goat anti-rabbit IgG HRP-conjugate 12–34–8, 1:4000). Immunoreactive proteins were visualized by enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL) detection kit (Pierce® BCA Protein Assay Kit, Thermo Scientific or ECL+, GE Healthcare). Images were acquired and quantified using the ChemiDoc MP System and the ImageLab Software (Bio-Rad). Membranes were then stripped and re-probed with rabbit anti-α-tubulin antibody (Merck-Millipore 04–1117, 1:25000). Data were analyzed by densitometry and the optical density of TH protein band was normalized to α-tubulin levels.

2.6. Experimental design

After surgery, dyskinetic rats were randomized to L-DOPA or L-DOPA in combination with a mAChR ligand in Day 1 microdialysis session, and treatments were crossed in Day 2 session. For instance, rats received L-DOPA alone in Day 1 session and L-DOPA plus telenzepine in Day 2 session, in a counterbalanced design. We have previously shown that 24 h is sufficient time for drug washout (Mela et al., 2012; Paolone et al., 2015; Pisanò et al., 2020). To accelerate drug clearance at the end of reverse dialysis experiments, probes were flushed with Ringer solution for 30 min. Experimenters were blinded to treatments. The effect of mAChR ligands OFF L-DOPA was investigated in separate groups of rats. In this case, one rat received two different ligands, randomized in Day 1 and Day 2 sessions in a counterbalanced design.

Reverse dialysis of mAChR antagonists through the microdialysis probe allowed to deliver constant amount of compounds in the extracellular space at stable and pharmacologically selective levels, approximating the affinity values for the target receptor. This was accomplished by taking into account in vitro recovery, that approximates 10% for the 3 mm probe implanted in striatum. We therefore estimated that roughly one tenth of the nominal concentration of the compound in the perfusion Ringer would be delivered to the extracellular space. Considering the poor selectivity of mAChR antagonists, this approach might represent an advantage over classical microinjection protocols. Telenzepine is an M1 mAChR preferring antagonist, with an affinity of 1–3 nM for M1 mAChRs, a 2–5-fold selectivity for M1 over M4 mAChRs, and a 5–30-fold selectivity for M1 over M2, M3 and M5 mAChRs (Doody et al., 1987; Lazareno et al., 1990; Tanda et al., 2007). Telenzepine was perfused through the probe at 100 nM, in order to generate extracellular concentrations of ~10 nM (i.e. 3–10-fold greater than the affinity for M1 mAChRs). PD-102807 is an M4 mAChR preferring antagonist, with 7–28 nM affinity for M4 mAChRs, a 14–36-fold selectivity for M4 over M3 mAChRs, and 76–260-fold selectivity for M4 over M1, M2 and M5 mAChRs (Bohme et al., 2002; Croy et al., 2016). PD102807 was perfused at 3 μM to generate extracellular concentrations 10–30 times greater than its affinity for M4 mAChRs but much lower than its affinity for M1, M2 and M5 mAChRs. Tropicamide is considered an M4 mAChR preferring antagonist, with an affinity of 15 nM for M4 mAChRs (Lazareno and Birdsell, 1993; Lazareno et al., 1990). However, its selectivity for M4 over M1, M2 and M3 mAChRs is only 3-fold greater (Lazareno and Birdsell, 1993; Lazareno et al., 1990). In addition, a more recent study (Croy et al., 2016) questioned even such a small pharmacological preference. Thus, we decided to infused 100 nM tropicamide to generate concentrations just below the affinity value for M4 mAChRs. VU0152100 is an M4 mAChR PAM, that increased by 70-fold the ACh potency in stimulating Ca2+ responses at the M4 mAChR orthosteric site or potentiates ACh binding to the other muscarinic receptor subtypes, thus appearing very selective for M4 mAChRs. VU0152100 through AFDX-116 is an M2 mAChR preferring antagonist, showing nanomolar affinity for M2 mAChRs (pKi 6.7–7.5), 3–4-fold selectivity over M4 mAChRs, 4–10-fold selectivity over M1.
mACHRs and > 10-fold selectivity over M5 mACHRs (Billard et al., 1995; Doods et al., 1987; Stoll et al., 2009). The concentration used for reverse dialysis (200 nM) was chosen based on a microdialysis study showing that perfusion of 100 nM AFDX-116 through the probe was capable of increasing by > 70% ACh levels in striatum (Billard et al., 1995). Based on the affinity values reported above, such concentration is expected to generate extracellular levels slightly below the highest affinity value found in functional studies (pKi 7.5)(Billard et al., 1995).

2.7. Data and statistical analysis

The data and statistical analysis comply with the recommendations on experimental design and analysis in pharmacology (Curtis et al., 2018). Since in microdialysis experiments basal amino acid levels can differ greatly from animal to animal, data were normalized as a percentage of baseline values to minimize experimental variability, as in our previous publications. Statistical analysis on neurochemical data was performed (Graphpad Prism 6.0) on area-under-the-curve values (AUC; calculated in the 100–220 min range) followed by the Newman-Keuls test for multiple comparisons. AIMS were statistically analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test or the Kruskal-Wallis test followed by the Dunn test for multiple comparisons when more than two groups were compared. Cumulative ALO AIM scores were given in text whereas scores of each AIM subtype were presented separately in graph (figure panels A). Alpha was set at 0.05.

2.8. Materials

L-DOPA methyl ester, benserazide hydrochloride, tropicamide and VU0152100 were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (S. Louis MO, USA), 6-OHDA hydrobromide, telenzepine, PD-102807 and AFDX-116 from Tocris (Bristol, UK). Telenzepine, PD-102807, tropicamide, AFDX-116 and VU-0152100 were dissolved in Ringer for local perfusion through the microdialysis probe. 6-OHDA was dissolved in ascorbate-saline for intracerebral injection, whereas all the other drugs were dissolved in saline and administered systemically at the volume of 1.0 ml/Kg body weight.

3. Results

3.1. Striatal perfusion of telenzepine alleviated LID and inhibited nigral GABA and striatal Glu release

In order to evaluate whether M1 mACHRs influence LID and its neurochemical correlates, the M1 mACHR preferring antagonist telenzepine was perfused at the 100 nM concentration through the probe implanted in striatum, starting from 40 min before systemic (s.c.) L-DOPA administration. Acute L-DOPA injection induced severe ALO AIM expression (74.50 ± 5.71), and co-administration of telenzepine reduced it by ~35% overall (50.38 ± 6.32; U = 10.50, p = 0.022), a significant reduction being observed for axial AIMS (Fig. 1A). Basal dialysate levels were 21.53 ± 2.61 nM and 65.90 ± 7.47 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr (n = 24 each), respectively, and 65.64 ± 7.27 nM (n = 24) for Glu in striatum. Basal dialysate levels of nigral GABA (F₃,2₂₇ = 1.16; p < 0.331) and Glu (F₃,2₂₇ = 1.12; p < 0.303), and striatal Glu (F₃,1₉₆ = 0.85; p < 0.521) did not significantly differ among this and the following sets of microdialysis experiments, and, more in general, across all sets of microdialysis experiments. Lid expression was accompanied by the rise of nigral GABA (F₂,₂₁ = 12.64, p = 0.0002; Fig. 2B), SNr Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 8.93, p = 0.0016; Fig. 2C), and striatal Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 14.04, p = 0.0001; Fig. 2D). Likewise, tropicamide (100 nM) attenuated LID expression by ~40% overall (59.38 ± 8.54 vs 35.25 ± 4.29, n = 8 each; U = 24.50, p = 0.0163), with a significant effect on limb AIMS (Fig. 3A). Tropicamide also prevented the LID-associated rise of SNr GABA (F₂,₂₁ = 8.04, p = 0.0025; Fig. 3B), SNr Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 7.91; p = 0.0027; Fig. 3C) and striatal Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 6.75; p = 0.0054; Fig. 3D).

3.2. Striatal perfusion of PD-102807 and tropicamide alleviated LID and inhibited nigral GABA and Glu along with striatal Glu release

In order to evaluate whether striatal M4 mACHRs influence LID and its neurochemical correlates, the M4 mACHR preferring antagonists PD-102807 and tropicamide were perfused through the probe implanted in striatum. Basal dialysate levels in PD-102807 experiment were 19.19 ± 2.62 nM and 47.77 ± 3.42 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr, respectively (n = 24 each), and 41.38 ± 4.25 nM (n = 24) for Glu in striatum, whereas in tropicamide experiment were 22.04 ± 2.56 nM and 48.89 ± 5.04 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr, respectively (n = 24 each), and 38.51 ± 4.11 nM (n = 24) for Glu in striatum. PD-102807 (3 μM) reduced global ALO AIM expression from 70.75 ± 5.64 to 25.38 ± 6.64 (~65%; n = 8 each; U = 0.00, p = 0.0009), significantly attenuating limb, axial and orolingual AIMS (Fig. 2A). PD-102807 (3 μM) inhibited the L-DOPA-induced rise of SNr GABA (F₂,₂₁ = 12.64, p = 0.0002; Fig. 2B), SNr Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 8.93, p = 0.0016; Fig. 2C), and striatal Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 14.04, p = 0.0001; Fig. 2D). Likewise, tropicamide (100 nM) attenuated LID expression by ~40% overall (59.38 ± 8.54 vs 35.25 ± 4.29, n = 8 each; U = 24.50, p = 0.0163), with a significant effect on limb AIMS (Fig. 3A). Tropicamide also prevented the LID-associated rise of SNr GABA (F₂,₂₁ = 8.04, p = 0.0025; Fig. 3B), SNr Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 7.91; p = 0.0027; Fig. 3C) and striatal Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 6.75; p = 0.0054; Fig. 3D).

3.3. Striatal perfusion of VU0152100 alleviated LID and inhibited nigral GABA and striatal Glu release

A study in mice and non-human primates revealed that an M4 mACHR PAM palliated LID (Shen et al., 2015). To confirm this finding in rats, and investigate whether an M4 mACHR PAM modulated striatonigral MSN activity in vivo, VU0152100 (100 μM) was perfused in striatum. Basal dialysate levels were 19.97 ± 2.91 nM and 7.72 ± 6.07 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr, respectively (n = 24 each), and 63.09 ± 7.30 nM (n = 24) for Glu in striatum. Striatal perfusion of VU0152100 reduced L-DOPA-induced dyskinetic movements from 67.38 ± 3.57 to 42.13 ± 7.30 (~40%, U = 7.50, p = 0.0076, n = 8), the effect being significant on orolingual AIMS (Fig. 4A). VU0152100 also prevented the LID-associated surge of nigral GABA (F₂,₂₁ = 7.06, p = 0.0045; Fig. 4B) and Glu (F₂,₂₁ = 6.25, p = 0.0074; Fig. 4C). However, as revealed by 2-way ANOVA on single time-points, the inhibition of nigral Glu release was delayed, becoming significant from the 180-min time-point (time F₂,₂₁ = 3.73 p < 0.0002, treatment F₂,₂₁ = 18.06 p < 0.0001, time x treatment interaction F₁₈,₂₁₀ = 2.25 p < 0.0035). Unlike the M4 mACHR preferential antagonists, intrastriatal VU0152100 did not affect the LID-associated increase of striatal Glu release (F₂,₂₁ = 4.15, p = 0.0302; Fig. 4D).

3.4. Striatal perfusion of AFDX-116 blocked the antidyakinetic effect of PD-102807

Striatal M4 mACHRs are predominantly located presynaptically on Chls (autoreceptors) (Alcantara et al., 2001; Yan and Surmeier, 1996; Zhang et al., 2002) and postsynaptically on glutamatergic cortico-striatal terminals (Pancani et al., 2014) and striato-nigral MSNs (Bernard et al., 1992; Hersch et al., 1994). To investigate whether the behavioral and neurochemical effects induced by striatal perfusion of PD-102807 were due to pre- or postsynaptic mechanisms, we intrastratally perfused PD-102807 in combination with the M2 mAChR preferring antagonist, AFDX-116 (100 nM). Indeed, this concentration of AFDX-116 has been shown to elevate striatal ACh levels (Billard et al., 1995; Galarreta et al., 1999) and affect MSNs postsynaptically.
We reasoned that if the effects of PD-102807 were mediated by M4 autoreceptor blockade and elevation of striatal ACh levels, M2 autoreceptor blockade by AFDX-116 would further enhance or, at most, leave unchanged the effects of PD-102807. On the contrary, if the effects of PD-102807 were induced by postsynaptic M4 mAChR blockade, an increase of ACh levels would reverse them. Basal dialysate values were 14.59 ± 2.01 nM and 69.62 ± 8.37 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr, respectively (n = 34 each), and 60.02 ± 5.78 nM for Glu in striatum (n = 34). L-DOPA-induced AIMs levels in dialysates were monitored in SNr and striatum. Data are means ± SEM of 8 rats per group. Data were statistically analyzed using the Mann-Whitney test (AIM scores, arbitrary units; A) or ANOVA followed by the Newman-Keuls test (AUC values, B-D). *p < 0.05 different from Ringer + L-DOPA 6 mg/Kg; †p < 0.01, ‡p < 0.01, different from Telenzepine 100 nM + saline.

Consistently, in the presence of AFDX-116, PD-102807 failed to inhibit the LID-associated rise of nigral GABA (F3,30 = 7.89, p = 0.0005; Fig. 5B) or Glu (F3,30 = 5.54, p = 0.0038; Fig. 5C). Surprisingly enough, however, AFDX-116 failed to prevent the PD-102807 inhibition of the LID-associated increase of striatal Glu release (Fig. 5D).

3.5. Nigral perfusion of VU0152100 alleviated LID and its neurochemical correlates whereas nigral perfusion of PD-102807 was ineffective

Nigral M4 mAChRs, activated by cholinergic afferents from the PPN, exert a powerful modulation of D1 receptor signaling at the nerve terminals of striato-nigral MSNs in SNr (Moehle et al., 2017). To prove
that this modulation is relevant to LID, VU0152100 (100 μM) or PD-102807 (3 μM) were perfused through the probe implanted in SNr. Basal dialysate levels in VU0152100 experiment were 20.44 ± 2.58 nM and 73.05 ± 8.01 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr, respectively (n = 24 each), and 57.28 ± 4.72 nM for Glu in striatum (n = 24), whereas basal dialysate levels in PD-102807 experiment were 19.48 ± 2.01 nM and 67.32 ± 4.76 nM for GABA and Glu in SNr, respectively (n = 24 each). Dyskinetic movements induced by L-DOPA (69.75 ± 3.09, n = 8) were not affected by PD-102807 (80.00 ± 5.55) but inhibited (65%) by VU0152100 (30.75 ± 8.70, n = 8; H = 16.50 p = 0.0003). Both limb and axial AIMs were significantly reduced by PD-102807 (Fig. 6A). Intrin-}

4. Discussion

An in vivo reverse dialysis approach was undertaken to deliver stable and target-selective concentrations of mAChR ligands in LID-relevant areas, in the attempt to circumvent the poor selectivity of mAChR antagonists and contribute to dissect out the role of striatal and nigral M1/M4 mAChRs in LID. Ligand concentrations were carefully selected based on a ~10% in vitro probe recovery and literature
affinity data obtained at cloned and/or native mAChRs. This approach has obvious limitations, related to the lack of information on the actual concentrations of the compounds in the extracellular space, and the true affinity values of mAChRs in native tissue and in vivo conditions. Moreover, reverse dialysis of a compound in a specific brain area might not fully replicate the effect of the same compound administered systemically. Nonetheless, this approach provides novel information on the role of M1 or M4 mAChRs in LID, integrating studies where mAChR ligands were administered systemically or locally microinjected in the brain, often without control over receptor selectivity.

4.1. TeLENZEPINE IN STRIATUM

Intrastriatal reverse dialysis of the M1 mAChR preferring antagonist telenzepine attenuated LID expression and the accompanying rise of GABA in SNr. Previous in vivo microdialysis studies in dyskinetic rodents (Bido et al., 2011; Bido et al., 2015; Marti et al., 2012; Mela et al., 2007; Paolone et al., 2015) demonstrated that the rise of nigral GABA release which accompanies AIMs appearance reflects the activation of D1 receptor expressing striato-nigral MSNs. Therefore, telenzepine might inhibit LID through the blockade of M1 mAChRs located on striato-nigral MSNs. Indeed, M1 receptors increase striato-nigral and striato-pallidal MSN excitability through different mechanisms: i) closure of Kir2 and KCNQ (Kv7) K⁺ channels (Shen et al., 2005), particularly in striato-pallidal MSNs (Shen et al., 2007); ii) enhancement of Ca²⁺-currents through Cav1 channels, particularly in striato-nigral MSNs (Hernandez-Flores et al., 2015; Hernandez-Lopez et al., 1997; Perez-Garci et al., 2003); iii) facilitation of NMDA transmission (Calabresi et al., 1998) through persistent sodium currents (Carrillo-Reid et al., 2009); iv) inactivation of N- and P/Q Ca, channels,
leading to reduced GABA release from striatal interneurons (Perez-Rosello et al., 2005). To possibly confirm an action of telenzepine at M1 mAChRs on striato-nigral MSNs, systemic administration of the M1 mAChR preferring antagonist trihexyphenidyl inhibited dyskinesia triggered by the D1 receptor agonist SKF81297 (Chambers et al., 2019). In that study, however, systemic trihexyphenidyl worsened LID. This might be due to an interaction with extrastriatal M1 mAChRs and/or with striatal or extrastriatal non-M1 mAChRs. To further confirm an action along the striato-nigral direct pathway, intrastriatal telenzepine also reduced the LID-associated elevation of striatal Glu release (Brugnoli et al., 2016; Gardoni et al., 2018; Ostock et al., 2011; Paolone et al., 2015). In fact, elevation of striatal Glu release might result from stimulation of striato-nigral MSNs leading to activation of cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical loop (Mark et al., 2004; Marti et al., 2005) and cortico-striatal terminals (Ostock et al., 2011). However, Glu might also derive from striatal ChIs, which express the vesicular Glu transporter 3 (VGLUT3) (Kljakic et al., 2017). In fact, this pool of Glu contributes to both PD symptoms and LID (Divito et al., 2015; Gangarossa et al., 2016). Finally, Glu might also be released from thalamo-striatal terminals, which predominantly innervate striatal ChIs (Ding et al., 2010). This thalamic modulation plays a key role in regulating a cholinergic gating of cortico-striatal inputs, particularly setting a presynaptic M2 inhibitory/postsynaptic M1 excitatory mAChR balance over striato-pallidal MSNs activity (Ding et al., 2010; Sciamanna et al., 2012). Therefore, the reduction of striatal Glu in our model might not only reflect cortico-basal ganglia-thalamo-cortical loop inhibition but also normalization of ChIs activity and cortico/thalamo-striatal transmission. This might explain why striatal Glu release can dissociate from AIM appearance (Gardoni et al., 2018) (AFDX-116 and PD-102807 experiment in the present study) and impact behaviors beyond...
dyskinesia. Indeed, high frequency stimulation of the subthalamic nucleus normalized the elevation of cortico-striatal glutamatergic transmission in dyskinetic rats, and this effect resulted in motor improvement but not LID attenuation (Gubellini et al., 2006). Moreover, inhibition of Glu release from thalamo-striatal terminals (via nAChR desensitization) reduced the firing of striato-pallidal MSNs and improved PD-related motor learning deficits (Tanimura et al., 2019). Due to the limitations of the microdialysis setting it was not possible to establish whether intrastriatal M1 mAChR blockade impacts on parkinsonian symptoms and/or the therapeutic effect of L-DOPA. Previous studies reported that M1 mAChR antagonists improve motor deficits in 6-OHDA hemilesioned mice (Ztaou et al., 2016) and enhance the L-DOPA antiparkinsonian effect in 6-OHDA hemilesioned rats (Chambers et al., 2019) likely acting at M1 mAChRs on striato-pallidal MSNs (Ztaou et al., 2016). The present study offers evidence of a behaviorally-relevant role of M1 mAChRs also along the striato-nigral MSNs.

4.2. VU0152100, PD-102807 and tropicamide in striatum

Consistent with previous finding that an M4 mAChR PAM VU0467154 attenuated LID and LID-associated aberrant plasticity at striato-nigral MSNs in mice and non-human primates (Shen et al., 2015), reverse dialysis of VU0152100 in striatum reduced LID and striato-nigral MSN activation in vivo. Quite unexpectedly, this pattern was replicated by reverse dialysis of the M4 mAChR preferring antagonists PD-102807 and tropicamide, paradoxically suggesting that
M4 mAChR stimulation and blockade regulate LID and LID-associated pathways in a similar way. The neurochemical patterns of VU0152100 and M4 mAChR antagonists, however, were not overlapping, possibly indicating that different sets of striatal M4 mAChR are involved. Striatal M4 mAChRs are expressed presynaptically on ChIs, where they operate as autoreceptors (Bonsi et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2002), and postsynaptically on glutamatergic terminals, where they inhibit Glu release (Pancani et al., 2014), and striato-nigral MSNs, where they modulate D1 receptor signaling, Ca²⁺ channels, NMDA currents, and also release endocannabinoids that retrogradely modulate DA release (Moehle and...
Conn, 2019). Since PD-102807 concentrations were chosen to generate extracellular levels (~300 nM) well below the affinity for M1 mAChRs (1.3–6.1 μM) (Bohme et al., 2002; Croy et al., 2016), it is unlikely that the effects of PD-102807 are due to an interaction with M1 mAChRs. Opposite actions have been described for postsynaptic M4 mAChRs at striato-nigral MSNs. In dissociated neurons, M4 mAChR stimulation enhanced striato-nigral MSN excitability via the opening of CaV1 channels, thus facilitating a subsequent stimulation induced by a D1 receptor agonist (Hernandez-Flores et al., 2015). This is consistent with the increase of L-type Ca2+ currents mediated by M4 mAChRs in atrial cells (Pemberton and Jones, 1995). Conversely, in striatal slices a negative interaction between M4 mAChRs and D1 receptors at striato-nigral MSNs was described, which was relevant for the modulation of cAMP production, Ca2+ influx via dendritic NMDA receptors, and LTP (Shen et al., 2015). Consistent with a negative M4 mAChR regulation of the increase of L-type Ca2+ currents mediated by M4 mAChRs in atrial terminals (Pancani et al., 2014) contributes to the antidyskinetic effect of VU0152100.

4.3. VU0152100 and PD-102807 in SNr

Reverse dialysis of VU0152100 in SNr prevented LID and the accompanying rise of nigral GABA release. This is consistent with the findings that the M4 mAChR PAM VU0467154 inhibited the D1 agonist induced GABA release from striato-nigral MSNs terminals in vitro, and CBV in SNr in vivo (Moehle et al., 2017). The profile of intranigral VU0152111 confirms the role of the cholinergic afferents arising from PPN in the modulation of D1 signaling in SNr (Moehle et al., 2017) and shows that this modulation shapes LID. Indeed, the neurochemical responses to intranigral VU0152100, also considering the inhibition of striatal Glu, are consistent with the view that M4 mAChR stimulation inhibits GABA release from striato-nigral MSN terminals in vivo, thus limiting the overinhibition of nigro-thalamic GABAergic neurons (i.e. the nigral output) associated with LID. In vitro electrophysiological experiments in nigral slices showed that the M4 mAChR inhibition of nigral D1 signaling and GABA release is tonically active (Moehle et al., 2017). However, in the present in vivo model, blockade of nigral M4 mAChR with PD-102807 did not alter nigral LID and nigral GABA and Glu release, suggesting that endogenous ACh acting at nigral M4 mAChRs does not contribute to LID. Nonetheless, we should consider that LID is already maximal in these animals, thus making it difficult to capture further AIM increases.

4.4. Concluding remarks

Consistent with previous evidence that unspecific muscarinic antagonists dicyclomine and atropine prevented LID expression (Bordia et al., 2016; Ding et al., 2011), intrastriatal telencezepine, PD-102807 and tropicamide attenuated LID and striato-nigral MSNs activation, indicating that both M1 and M4 receptors contribute to LID. Moreover, in keeping with the view that mAChRs also mediate an inhibitory action of endogenous ACh on LID (Bordia et al., 2016), potentiation of cholinergic transmission at striatal M4 mAChRs resulted in significant inhibition of LID and striato-nigral MSN activation. Therefore, M4 mAChRs can both facilitate and inhibit LID. During LID, endogenous ACh seems to facilitate LID acting at striatal postsynaptic M4 mAChRs. However, pharmacological potentiation of different populations of striatal M4 mAChRs (possibly presynaptically located on dopaminergic terminals or Chls) would unmask an overwhelming inhibitory control over LID. Moreover, consistent with previous evidence that PPN cholinergic inputs to SNr affect basal ganglia functions (Moehle et al., 2017; Xiao et al., 2016), the present study shows for the first time that stimulation of M4 mAChRs in SNr inhibited LID and nigral output in vivo. Altogether, this study provides in vivo evidence for powerful, multilevel M4 mAChR modulation of striato-nigral MSNs, reinforcing the view that the M4 mAChR is a promising target in LID therapy (Moehle and Conn, 2019).
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